

1 **Effect of maturity at harvest on *in vitro* methane production from ensiled grass**

2

3 L. Holtshausen<sup>a\*</sup>, S. H.-O. Liestøl<sup>b</sup>, S. K. Nes<sup>b</sup>, K. A. Beauchemin<sup>a</sup>, O. M. Harstad<sup>b</sup>, T. A.

4 McAllister<sup>a</sup>

5

6 <sup>a</sup>Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Research Centre, P.O. Box 3000, Lethbridge,

7 Alberta, Canada T1J 4B1

8 <sup>b</sup>Department of Animal and Aquacultural Sciences, Norwegian University of Life

9 Sciences, P.O. Box 5003, N-1432 Ås, Norway

10

11

12

13

14 \*Corresponding author:

15 phone: 403-327-4561 ext. 4143

16 fax: 403-317-2182

17 e-mail: lucia.holtshausen@agr.gc.ca

18 **Abstract**

19 Controlling the time of harvest to affect grass maturity for silage was evaluated as a  
20 methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) mitigation strategy in a batch culture *in vitro* with ruminal fluid as  
21 inoculum and silage from a mixed timothy (*Phleum pratense*)-meadow fescue (*Festuca*  
22 *pratensis*) stand. The stand was cut in May (EM; first cutting), June (LM; first cutting)  
23 and August (MM; third cutting). Disappearance of NDF (EM: 0.58; MM: 0.50; LM: 0.45)  
24 and ADF (EM: 0.57; MM: 0.49; LM: 0.45) after 48 h were greater for EM compared to  
25 MM and LM, with no difference between the latter two. With advancing maturity, total  
26 gas (EM: 166.6; MM: 149.7; LM: 119.3 mL), CH<sub>4</sub> production (EM: 21.4; MM: 17.6;  
27 LM: 14.8 mL) and methane production per g NDF digested decreased at 48 h (EM: 120;  
28 MM: 92; LM: 74 mL/g NDF digested). Ensiling less mature grass resulted in more CH<sub>4</sub>  
29 per unit of NDF digested.

30

31 **Keywords:** grass silage; maturity; methane production

32

33 **1. Introduction**

34 Grass silage forms an important part of ruminant diets in Western Europe and many  
35 other regions of the world (Wilkinson et al., 1996). It is well known that its nutritive  
36 value can greatly affect animal performance and the need for supplemental concentrates  
37 (Randby et al., 2012). The nutritive value of grass silage is influenced by the stage of  
38 maturity of the grass at the time of harvest. Advanced maturity in grass is associated with  
39 a decrease in crude protein (CP) and an increase in neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid  
40 detergent fibre (ADF) content (Rinne et al., 1997a; Cone et al., 1999).

41 Changes in nutrient composition of grass will have an effect on digestibility and the  
42 proportions and amount of fermentation end-products produced in the rumen. Grass  
43 harvested for ensiling at an advanced maturity has decreased organic matter (OM), CP,  
44 NDF and ADF digestibility (Rinne et al., 1997a,b; Cone et al., 1999; Rinne et al., 2002).  
45 Fermentation of silage from more mature grass yielded less total ruminal volatile fatty  
46 acids (VFA) compared to silage from less mature grass (Rinne et al., 2002). The effect of  
47 stage of maturity of grass at ensiling on ruminal VFA proportions is not consistent, but  
48 the majority of experiments show higher proportions of acetate and lower proportions of  
49 butyrate and propionate in late as compared to early maturity grass at ensiling (Bosch et  
50 al., 1992; Rinne et al., 1997a; Rinne et al., 2002).

51 Acetate and butyrate production promotes hydrogen gas (H<sub>2</sub>) and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>)  
52 formation, with propionate acting as a net sink of H<sub>2</sub> (Hegarty & Gerdes, 1998;  
53 McAllister & Newbold, 2008). Furthermore, because fermentation of CP gives rise to less  
54 VFA compared to carbohydrates (Sveinbjörnsson et al., 2006), the higher CP content of  
55 silage from grass harvested at an earlier stage of maturity may result in less CH<sub>4</sub> per unit  
56 dry matter (DM) digested.

57 Early maturity grass silage promotes high milk production of dairy cows (Randby et  
58 al., 2012) and therefore decreased stage of maturity at harvest is often promoted as a CH<sub>4</sub>  
59 mitigation strategy for dairy cows to decrease enteric CH<sub>4</sub> production when expressed on  
60 the basis of milk yield (*i.e.*, g CH<sub>4</sub>/kg milk; Beauchemin et al., 2009). However, it is  
61 uncertain whether CH<sub>4</sub> production in the rumen is also affected.

62 Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the impact of grass ensiled at  
63 an early, mid or late stage of maturity on CH<sub>4</sub> production and VFA profiles. We

64 hypothesized that harvesting grass silage at a vegetative stage (early maturity) would  
65 decrease NDF content of silage, increase *in vitro* DM (DMD) and NDF disappearance  
66 (NDFD) and CH<sub>4</sub> production, and decrease CH<sub>4</sub> production per g DMD and per g NDFD.

67

## 68 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 69 *2.1. Substrates*

70 Three grass silages grown near Ås, Norway (longitude, 11.3°W; latitude 61.3°N)  
71 were used as substrates. The grass was from a single sward consisting of 0.66 timothy  
72 (*Phelum pratense*), 0.20 meadow fescue grass (*Festuca pratensis*), 0.05 red clover  
73 (*Trifolium pratense*), 0.04 smooth meadow grass (*Poa pratensis*) and 0.05 weeds. Grass  
74 was harvested on May 15 (first cutting, early maturity; EM), June 11 (first cutting, late  
75 maturity; LM) and August 6 (third cutting, mid-maturity; MM) of 2007 and made into  
76 three silages differing in grass maturity at time of harvest. Grass NDF was measured  
77 frequently and NDF content served as the criteria for harvesting. Grass harvested on June  
78 11 was considered a late maturity grass because this grass had not been cut before that  
79 date and therefore contained growth from the start of the season through June 11. Grass  
80 harvested on August 6, on the other hand, was considered a mid-maturity grass because  
81 this grass had been cut twice before and therefore contained younger plant material  
82 compared to the June 11 cutting.

83 The grass was wilted before baling, but due to unfavorable weather the target DM  
84 content of 27% was not achieve for all three silages. A preservative (740 g/kg formic acid  
85 plus sodium formate containing 20 g lactose/kg) was added at a rate of 4.5 L/t during  
86 baling of the grass (baler: Orkel GP 1260, Fannrem, Norway; plastic bale wrap: Trio

87 wrap, Trioplast, Smålandsstenar, Sweden) to inhibit undesirable bacterial and mold  
88 growth. Bales were ensiled for at least 7 months before it was processed through a feed  
89 mixer (Kuhn Euromix I, Saverne, France) to ensure consistent chop length. Immediately  
90 thereafter quantities of 20 kg of silage from each individual bale were packed in plastic  
91 bags and stored at -20°C. A representative sample was taken from several bags for each  
92 of the three silages, combined per silage and then freeze-dried and ground through a 1  
93 mm screen Wiley mill (standard model 4, Arthur H. Thomas, Philadelphia, PA, USA).

#### 94 *2.1. In vitro incubation*

95         The freeze-dried samples were sent to the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's  
96 Research Center in Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada where three replicate 24-h and 48-h *in*  
97 *vitro* batch cultures (fermentation runs) were conducted. Approximately 0.7 g ( $\pm$  0.01 g)  
98 DM of dried ground silage was weighed into 5 replicate filter bags (F57, ANKOM  
99 Technology, Macedon, NY, USA) for each of the three silages by incubation time (24  
100 and 48 h) combination. Five blanks (buffered medium and inoculum plus bags with no  
101 substrate) were also included for each of the 24 and 48 h incubations.

102         The filter bags were heat-sealed and placed in 120 mL glass vials (one bag per vial).  
103 Sixty mL of buffered medium (Goering & Van Soest, 1970) was added to each glass vial  
104 and closed with a rubber stopper (2048-11800, Bellco Glass Inc., Vineland, NJ, USA).  
105 The vials were placed in an incubator at 39°C to pre-warm while inoculum was being  
106 collected. For the inoculum, ruminal contents (2 L per cow) were obtained approximately  
107 3 h after the morning feeding from 2 non-lactating cannulated Holstein cows that were  
108 fed a diet at maintenance level of consumption consisting of (g/kg DM basis): 720 barley  
109 silage, 240 steam-rolled barley and 40 mineral-vitamin supplement. The ruminal fluid

110 was strained through a PECAP polyester screen (pore size 355  $\mu\text{m}$ ; B & S H Thompson,  
111 Ville Mont-Royal, QC, Canada) into an insulated flask, pooled across the 2 cows and  
112 immediately transported to the laboratory. After adding 15 mL of the inoculum to the pre-  
113 warmed buffered medium while gassing the headspace with  $\text{CO}_2$ , the vials were crimp-  
114 sealed with rubber stoppers to avoid gas leakage, and placed on a rotary shaker platform  
115 at 120 rpm in an incubator at 39°C.

116 Gas pressure was measured at 4, 8 12, 18, 24, 30, 42 and 48 h using a manual  
117 pressure transducer (model PX4200-015GI, Omega Engineering, Inc., Laval, QC,  
118 Canada) fitted with a 1.5 inch 22 gauge needle at one end of a three-way stopcock, and  
119 connected to a visual display (Data Track, Christchurch, UK). Gas samples  
120 (approximately 10 mL) for determination of  $\text{CH}_4$  concentration were taken at 4, 8, 12, 24  
121 and 48 h from another outlet of the three-way stopcock with a gas-tight syringe and  
122 transferred to pre-evacuated 5.9 mL glass vials (Catalog code: Exetainer 718W, Labco  
123 Ltd., Buckinghamshire, UK) while ensuring positive pressure to prevent contamination of  
124 the gas sample with atmospheric gas. Positive pressure was ensured by applying pressure  
125 to the plunger of the syringe before, during and after transferring the gas sample from the  
126 syringe into the glass vial. Gas was vented after each gas sampling and pressure  
127 measurement. At the end of 24 and 48 h of incubation the fermentation was terminated by  
128 placing the glass vials in ice water and removing the rubber stoppers to expose the  
129 samples to air. A 1 mL aliquot of the supernatant was transferred to microcentrifuge vials  
130 containing 200  $\mu\text{L}$  of 25% metaphosphoric acid solution, and stored at  $-10^\circ\text{C}$  until  
131 analysis for VFA concentrations. The filter bags were then carefully removed from the

132 vials using tweezers and rinsed under a gentle stream of cold water until the water ran  
133 clear and then transferred to an oven for determination of DM disappearance (DMD).

134

## 135 2.2. Analytical procedures and calculations

136 Representative samples of the three silages were oven-dried at 55°C for 48 h for DM  
137 determination (Table 1). For analytical DM, OM, CP, NDF and ADF content silage  
138 samples were freeze-dried and ground through a 1 mm screen. Analytical DM was  
139 determined by drying samples at 135°C for 2 h, followed by hot weighing (AOAC, 1995;  
140 method 930.05). The OM content was calculated as the difference between 100 and the  
141 percentage ash (AOAC, 1995; method 942). Crude protein ( $N \times 6.25$ ) was determined by  
142 the Kjeldahl method (AOAC, 1995; method 984.13) on a Foss Kjeltac™ 2400 (Tecator™  
143 Technology, Foss, Höganäs, Sweden) using a Cu catalyst. The ANKOM<sup>200</sup> Fiber  
144 Analyzer (Ankom Technology, Macedon, NY, USA) was used to determine NDF, with  
145 heat stable  $\alpha$ -amylase and sodium sulfite, and ADF; both expressed inclusive of residual  
146 ash. Following *in vitro* incubation, filter bags were placed in a 55°C oven for 48 h to  
147 determine DMD. Thereafter, sequential NDF and ADF analyses, using the ANKOM<sup>200</sup>  
148 Fiber Analyzer (Ankom Technology, Macedon, NY, USA), were performed to determine  
149 NDF and ADF disappearance (NDFD and ADFD, respectively).

150 A sub-sample of gas (3 mL) was removed from each glass vial and CH<sub>4</sub> as a  
151 percentage of total gas was analyzed using a dual channel gas chromatograph (model  
152 4900, Varian Canada Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada) equipped with two micro-thermal  
153 conductivity detectors. The second channel had a 10 meter PPU H column and resolved  
154 CH<sub>4</sub> at 0.77 min. The carrier gas was helium at 80 kPa. The column temperature was

155 36°C and the injector was at 70°C. The run was isothermal (75 s) and the injection time  
156 was 40 ms with no back flush.

157 Gas pressure measurements were converted to volume (mL) produced using the  
158 equation developed by Mauricio et al. (1999) and then corrected for average gas  
159 production from blank fermentation vials. Volume (mL) of CH<sub>4</sub> produced was calculated  
160 by multiplying the volume of gas at each specific sampling time by the percentage of CH<sub>4</sub>  
161 (of total gas) at the midpoint between the applicable sampling time and the preceding  
162 sampling time. Cumulative total gas and cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> production at 24 and 48 h were  
163 calculated by adding the respective gas volumes for all applicable sampling hours.

164 The VFA were quantified using a gas chromatograph (model 5890, Hewlett-Packard,  
165 Palo Alto, CA, USA) with a capillary column (30 m × 0.32 mm i.d., 1-μm phase  
166 thickness, Zebron ZB-FAAP, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), and flame-ionization  
167 detection. The oven temperature was 170°C held for 4 min, which was then increased by  
168 5°C/min to 185°C, and then by 3°C/min to 220°C, and held at this temperature for 1 min.  
169 The injector temperature was 225°C, the detector temperature was 250°C, with helium as  
170 the carrier gas.

171

### 172 2.3. Statistical analysis

173 Data were analyzed as a completely randomized design, using the MIXED procedure  
174 of SAS (2001). A BY statement was used to analyze sampling time points (24 and 48 h)  
175 separately. Substrate (n = 3) was considered a fixed effect and fermentation run (n = 3) a  
176 random effect. Multiple LSM comparison was performed using the PDIFF option.  
177 Significance was declared at  $P < 0.05$  and a tendency at  $0.05 \leq P < 0.10$ .



178

### 179 **3. Results**

180 Silage CP content decreased and NDF and ADF content increased with increasing  
181 maturity (Table 1). Dry matter disappearance decreased with an increase in maturity of  
182 grass after 24 and 48 h of fermentation. Disappearance of NDF after 24 h tended to  
183 decrease with increasing grass maturity, whereas ADFD after 24 h did not differ among  
184 silages. After 48 h of fermentation, NDFD and ADFD were higher for EM compared to  
185 MM and LM, with no difference between the latter two silages.

186 Differences among treatments for total gas and CH<sub>4</sub> production, and CH<sub>4</sub> production  
187 per gram DMD followed the same pattern for the 24 and 48 h incubations. Total gas and  
188 CH<sub>4</sub> production decreased with increasing silage NDF (Table 2). Methane production per  
189 g DMD did not differ among silages, whereas CH<sub>4</sub>/g NDFD was higher for EM compared  
190 to MM (24 h:  $P = 0.02$ ; 48 h:  $P = 0.03$ ) and LM (24 and 48 h:  $P < 0.01$ ), and did not  
191 differ between the latter two silages (24 h:  $P = 0.16$ ; 48 h:  $P = 0.10$ ).

192 Total VFA concentration after 48 h fermentation was higher for EM and MM  
193 compared with LM; Table 2), whereas after 24 h there was a higher concentration for EM  
194 compared with MM ( $P = 0.02$ ) and LM ( $P < 0.01$ ), but no difference in concentrations for  
195 the latter two silages ( $P = 0.23$ ). The proportion of acetate after 24 h tended to increase  
196 with grass maturity, but did not differ after 48 h of fermentation among silages. The  
197 proportion of propionate did not differ among silages after 24 h, whereas after 48 h there  
198 was a lower propionate proportion for EM compared with MM ( $P = 0.02$ ) and LM ( $P <$   
199  $0.01$ ), and no difference in concentrations for the latter two silages ( $P = 0.31$ ). Butyrate  
200 proportion after 24 h of fermentation did not differ among silages. After 48 h of

201 fermentation, however, butyrate proportion tended to differ, with a lower proportion for  
202 LM compared to EM ( $P = 0.05$ ), a tendency for a lower butyrate proportion for LM  
203 compared to MM ( $P = 0.06$ ) and no difference in proportions for MM and EM ( $P = 0.82$ ).  
204 The ratio of acetate+butyrate to propionate after 48 h of fermentation for EM was higher  
205 compared with MM ( $P < 0.01$ ) and LM ( $P < 0.01$ ), and tended to be higher for MM  
206 compared with LM ( $P = 0.09$ ).

207

#### 208 **4. Discussion**

209 There is general acceptance that grass harvested in an early stage of maturity is a  
210 valuable forage for dairy cows because of its relatively low production cost and high  
211 nutritive value (Randby et al., 2012). However, effects of grass maturity on enteric CH<sub>4</sub>  
212 production are not well known. Thus, we examined the effects of maturity of ensiled  
213 grass on *in vitro* fermentation and CH<sub>4</sub> production. While the study design permitted us to  
214 explore the forage maturity effects on *in vitro* fermentation, it should be noted that one  
215 limitation to the design was that the early and late forages were from the same cutting,  
216 whereas the mid-maturity forage was from the third cutting. Nevertheless, the forages  
217 obtained provided the desired range in NDF and ADF content, this limitation was  
218 considered minor.

219 The general decrease in CP content and the increase in cell wall content (NDF and  
220 ADF) with increased maturity was expected and in agreement with other reports (Rinne  
221 et al., 1997; Cone et al., 1999). Of interest though is the fact that the CP content was  
222 similar for the silages from grass harvested in June and August. The grass harvested in  
223 June (LM) was from the first cutting, whereas that from August (MM) was from the third

224 cutting. Therefore, the re-growth of the grass harvested in August could have contributed  
225 to the similar CP content compared to grass harvested in June (Kuoppala et al., 2008).  
226 Also, the fibre component of this re-growth (younger plant material) might have been less  
227 lignified, which could explain why despite the higher NDF and ADF content for LM  
228 compared to MM, fibre disappearance was similar after 48 h of fermentation for these  
229 two silages. The apparent difference in cell wall composition and degradation  
230 characteristics between the first cutting in June and that from the third cutting in August  
231 could also have been influenced by the difference in growing conditions (*e.g.*, light  
232 intensity, temperature).

233 Total gas and CH<sub>4</sub> production decreased as grass was ensiled with increasing maturity  
234 in accord with the decrease in DM disappearance. Reduction in CH<sub>4</sub> production can also  
235 result from a shift in the VFA pattern. The difference in the ratio of acetate+butyrate to  
236 propionate in the current study support the effect that increased maturity of ensiled grass  
237 had on CH<sub>4</sub> production as acetate and butyrate production promotes H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>  
238 formation and propionate is a net sink of H<sub>2</sub> (McAllister & Newbold, 2008). In agreement  
239 with other reports (Rinne et al., 2002), the proportion of acetate tended to increase with  
240 increasing forage maturity after 24 h of incubation. However, the increase in the  
241 proportion of propionate with increased maturity of ensiled grass after 48 h of incubation  
242 is contrary to other studies which reported no change (Bosch et al., 1992; Rinne et al.,  
243 1997a, Rinne et al., 2002).

244 Our hypothesis was that *in vitro* DM disappearance and CH<sub>4</sub> production would  
245 decrease as grass with increasing maturity was ensiled, but that CH<sub>4</sub> production per g  
246 DMD would increase. However, instead of an increase in CH<sub>4</sub> per g DMD for silages

247 from more mature grass, there was no difference and CH<sub>4</sub>/g NDFD actually decreased.  
248 The higher CH<sub>4</sub>/g NDFD observed for silage from less mature grass could have been due  
249 to CH<sub>4</sub> production resulting from the highly fermentable non-NDF fraction combined  
250 with more potentially digestible NDF. Less mature grass usually has high water soluble  
251 carbohydrate concentration and the NDF fraction is less lignified compared with mature  
252 grass (Randby et al., 2012).

253 The increase in DM and NDF disappearance of grass that is less mature at harvest  
254 would be expected to improve animal performance (Randby et al., 2012). Methane  
255 intensity (*i.e.*, emission per unit of meat or milk produced) typically declines in a  
256 curvilinear manner with improved animal productivity, because the maintenance energy  
257 requirement of the animal is proportionally larger at low levels of productivity  
258 (Beauchemin et al., 2009). Thus, an earlier stage of maturity of grass at harvest may  
259 decrease CH<sub>4</sub> intensity, but our study suggests that the scale of reduced CH<sub>4</sub> intensity is  
260 curtailed in part by increased CH<sub>4</sub> emissions per unit of forage fibre digested. The extent  
261 to which this offset occurs needs further study *in vivo*.

262

## 263 **5. Conclusions**

264 Total *in vitro* gas production and CH<sub>4</sub> production decreased, in accord with the  
265 decrease in DM and NDF disappearance with increasing maturity of ensiled grass. The *in*  
266 *vitro* CH<sub>4</sub>/g NDFD for silages also decreased with advancing maturity. Therefore, when  
267 recommending harvesting grass at an early stage of maturity as a CH<sub>4</sub> mitigation practice,  
268 the expected decreases in CH<sub>4</sub> per unit of animal product due to improvements in energy

269 partitioning need to offset increased CH<sub>4</sub> emissions per unit of forage fibre consumed and  
270 digested.

271

## 272 **References**

273 AOAC. 1995. Official Methods of Analysis. 16th ed. Association of Official Analytical  
274 Chemists, Arlington, VA.

275 Beauchemin, K.A., McAllister, T.A. & McGinn, S.M. (2009). Dietary mitigation of  
276 enteric methane from cattle. CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary  
277 Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources. 4 (No. 035), 18 pp.

278 Bosch, M.W., Tamminga, S., Post, G., Lefferinger, C.P. & Muylaert, J.M. (1992).  
279 Influence of stage of maturity of grass silage on digestion processes in dairy cows. 1.  
280 Composition, nylon bag degradation rates, fermentation characteristics, digestibility  
281 and intake. Livest. Prod. Sci. 32, 245-264.

282 Cone, J.W., Van Gelder, A.H., Soliman, I.A., De Visser, H. & Van Vuuren, A.M. (1999).  
283 Different techniques to study rumen fermentation characteristics of maturing grass  
284 and grass silage. J. Dairy Sci. 82, 957-966.

285 Goering, H.K. & Van Soest, P.J. (1970). Forage fiber analysis (apparatus, reagents,  
286 procedures and some applications). Agric. Handb. No. 379. ARS-USDA,  
287 Washington, DC.

288 Hegarty, R. & Gerdes, R. (1998). Hydrogen production and transfer in the rumen. Rec.  
289 Adv. Anim. Nutr. 1, 37-44.

290 Kuoppala, K., Rinne, M., Nousiainen, J. & Huhtanen, P. (2008). The effect of cutting  
291 time of grass silage in primary growth and regrowth and the interactions between

292 silage quality and concentrate level on milk production of dairy cows. *Livest. Sci.*  
293 116, 171–182.

294 Mauricio, R.M., Mould, F.L., Dhanoa, M.S., Owen, E., Channa, K.S. & Theodorou, M.K.  
295 (1999). A semi-automated in vitro gas production technique for ruminant feedstuff  
296 evaluation. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 79, 321-330.

297 McAllister, T.A. & Newbold, C.J. (2008). Redirecting rumen fermentation to reduce  
298 methanogenesis. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* 48, 7-13.

299 Randby, Å.T., Weisbjerg, M.R., Nørgaard, P. & Heringstad B. (2012). Early lactation  
300 feed intake and milk yield responses of dairy cows offered grass silages harvested at  
301 early maturity stages. *J. Dairy Sci.* 95, 304–317.

302 Rinne, M., Jaakkola, S. & Huhtanen, P. (1997a). Grass maturity effects on cattle fed  
303 silage-based diets. 1. Organic matter digestion, rumen fermentation and nitrogen  
304 utilization. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 67, 1-17.

305 Rinne, M., Huhtanen, P. & Jaakkalo, S. (1997b). Grass maturity effects on cattle fed  
306 silage-based diets. 2. Cell wall digestibility, digestion and passage kinetics. *Anim.*  
307 *Feed Sci. Technol.* 67, 19-35.

308 Rinne, M., Huhtanen, P. & Jaakkola, S. (2002). Digestive processes of dairy cows fed  
309 silages harvested at four stages of grass maturity. *J. Anim. Sci.* 80, 1986-1998.

310 SAS Institute. (2001). SAS System for Windows Release 9.1. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.

311 Sveinbjörnsson, J., Huhtanen, P. & Udén, P. (2006). The Nordic dairy cow model  
312 Karoline – development of VFA sub-model. In: Kebreab, E., Dijkstra, J., Bannink,  
313 A., Gerrits, W.J.J., France, J. (Eds.), *Nutrient digestion and utilisation in farm*  
314 *animals: modelling approaches.* CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp. 1-14.

315 Wilkinson, J.M., Wadephul, F. & Hill, J. (1996). Silage in Europe. A survey of 33  
316 countries. Chalcombe publications, Painshall, Church Lane, Welton, Lincoln LN  
317 3LT, United Kingdom.  
318

319 Table 1.  
 320 Substrate chemical composition before incubation and *in vitro* DM, NDF and ADF  
 321 disappearance after a 24-h and 48-h rumen batch culture fermentation of three grass  
 322 silages from a mixed timothy (*Phleum pratense*)-meadow fescue (*Festuca pratensis*)  
 323 stand cut in May (EM), June (LM) and August (MM)

|                      | EM                | MM                | LM                | SEM   | $P_{\text{treatment}}$ |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|------------------------|
| Chemical composition |                   |                   |                   |       |                        |
| DM, g/kg             | 310               | 349               | 242               | --    | --                     |
| OM, g/kg DM          | 928               | 923               | 934               | --    | --                     |
| CP, g/kg DM          | 215               | 127               | 125               | --    | --                     |
| NDF, g/kg DM         | 452               | 554               | 655               | --    | --                     |
| ADF, g/kg DM         | 265               | 319               | 382               | --    | --                     |
| 24-h disappearance   |                   |                   |                   |       |                        |
| DM                   | 0.60 <sup>a</sup> | 0.51 <sup>b</sup> | 0.43 <sup>c</sup> | 0.011 | < 0.01                 |
| NDF                  | 0.37              | 0.31              | 0.29              | 0.048 | 0.06                   |
| ADF                  | 0.31              | 0.29              | 0.26              | 0.041 | 0.13                   |
| 48-h disappearance   |                   |                   |                   |       |                        |
| DM                   | 0.73 <sup>a</sup> | 0.64 <sup>b</sup> | 0.56 <sup>c</sup> | 0.015 | < 0.01                 |
| NDF                  | 0.58 <sup>a</sup> | 0.50 <sup>b</sup> | 0.45 <sup>b</sup> | 0.051 | 0.02                   |
| ADF                  | 0.57 <sup>a</sup> | 0.49 <sup>b</sup> | 0.45 <sup>b</sup> | 0.054 | 0.03                   |

324 ADF, acid detergent fibre; NDF, neutral detergent fibre; CP, crude protein; DM, dry  
 325 matter; OM, organic matter.

326 <sup>a,b,c</sup>LSM with different superscript within a row differ,  $P < 0.05$ .

327



328 Table 2.  
 329 *In vitro* gas production and VFA concentrations after 24-h and 48-h rumen batch culture  
 330 fermentation of three grass silages from a mixed timothy (*Phleum pretense*)-meadow  
 331 fescue (*Festuca pratensis*) stand cut in May (EM), June (LM) and August (MM)

|                                       | EM                 | MM                 | LM                 | SEM   | $P_{\text{treatment}}$ |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|------------------------|
| 24-h incubation                       |                    |                    |                    |       |                        |
| Total gas production, mL              | 119.4 <sup>a</sup> | 99.8 <sup>b</sup>  | 76.3 <sup>c</sup>  | 4.07  | < 0.01                 |
| CH <sub>4</sub> production, mL        | 13.5 <sup>a</sup>  | 10.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 8.4 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.64  | < 0.01                 |
| CH <sub>4</sub> production, mL/g DMD  | 33.5               | 30.1               | 29.6               | 2.34  | 0.11                   |
| CH <sub>4</sub> production, mL/g NDFD | 127.1 <sup>a</sup> | 90.1 <sup>b</sup>  | 73.2 <sup>b</sup>  | 9.70  | 0.01                   |
| Total volatile fatty acids, mM        | 60.2 <sup>a</sup>  | 51.8 <sup>b</sup>  | 48.6 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.18  | 0.02                   |
| Acetate (C2), mol/100 mol             | 54.9               | 55.3               | 56.2               | 0.004 | 0.10                   |
| Propionate (C3), mol/100 mol          | 18.6               | 21.0               | 20.6               | 0.01  | 0.09                   |
| Butyrate (C4), mol/100 mol            | 11.4               | 11.0               | 9.99               | 0.004 | 0.12                   |
| (C2 + C4) : C3                        | 3.58               | 3.17               | 3.26               | 0.22  | 0.07                   |
| 48-h incubation                       |                    |                    |                    |       |                        |
| Total gas production, mL              | 166.6 <sup>a</sup> | 149.7 <sup>b</sup> | 119.3 <sup>c</sup> | 3.50  | < 0.01                 |
| CH <sub>4</sub> production, mL        | 21.4 <sup>a</sup>  | 17.6 <sup>b</sup>  | 14.8 <sup>c</sup>  | 1.16  | < 0.01                 |
| CH <sub>4</sub> production, mL/g DMD  | 43.5               | 39.9               | 38.2               | 2.26  | 0.16                   |
| CH <sub>4</sub> production, mL/g NDFD | 120.4 <sup>a</sup> | 92.0 <sup>b</sup>  | 74.3 <sup>b</sup>  | 8.25  | 0.01                   |
| Total volatile fatty acids, mM        | 76.5 <sup>a</sup>  | 71.8 <sup>a</sup>  | 63.6 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.48  | < 0.01                 |
| Acetate (C2), mol/100 mol             | 54.4               | 54.4               | 53.8               | 0.02  | 0.50                   |
| Propionate (C3), mol/100 mol          | 19.9 <sup>b</sup>  | 22.0 <sup>a</sup>  | 22.6 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.01  | 0.01                   |

|                            |                   |                   |                   |       |        |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|--------|
| Butyrate (C4), mol/100 mol | 11.4              | 11.3              | 10.3              | 0.004 | 0.08   |
| (C2 + C4) : C3             | 3.33 <sup>a</sup> | 3.01 <sup>b</sup> | 2.87 <sup>b</sup> | 0.22  | < 0.01 |

---

332 CH<sub>4</sub>, methane; DMD, dry matter disappearance; NDFD, neutral detergent fibre

333 disappearance.

334 <sup>a,b,c</sup>LSM with different superscript within a row differ, *P* < 0.05.

335