

# Measuring the Planck Length and the Speed of Gravity with a Newton Cradle and a Photogate

Espen Gaarder Haug

## Abstract

We demonstrate how one can remarkably use a simple Newton's Cradle combined with an accurate photogate (also known as time-gate or speed-gate) to deduce the speed of gravity, as well as the Planck length. We conducted 100 observations and found values quite close to those observed from other methods. The fact that we can extract the speed of gravity, as well as the Planck length, from just accurate measurements of the ball's speed in Newton's Cradle, is in line with the predictions from recent literature.

## Index Terms

Newton cradle, Planck length, Planck time, quantum gravity, speed of gravity.

## I. FINDING THE PLANCK LENGTH AND THE SPEED OF GRAVITY USING A NEWTON CRADLE AND A PHOTOGATE

The velocity of the ball going out from an ideal Newton cradle is given by (see for example Ehrlich [1])

$$v_{out} = \sqrt{2gH(1 - \cos \theta)} \quad (1)$$

This is naturally under ideal conditions. In practice, the balls will not be perfectly aligned, there is friction in the wires, some will convert into mechanical heat, and there is aerodynamic drag if not performed in a vacuum, etc. Nevertheless, even in practice, the formula derived under ideal considerations should be a good approximation, something we will revisit when discussing our experimental results.

In the special case  $\theta = 90^\circ$ , then  $\cos \theta = 0$ , and we can write the formula as:

$$v_{out} = \sqrt{2gH} = \sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r^2}H} \quad (2)$$

Max Planck [2], [3] in 1896 introduced the Planck units: a length  $l_p = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}}$ , time  $t_p = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^5}}$ , mass  $m_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}}$ , and temperature  $T_p = k_b = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^5}{G}}$ . In 1984, Cahill [4], [5] suggested that the gravitational constant could be expressed by simply solving the Planck mass formula for  $G$ , which gives  $G = \frac{\hbar c}{m_p^2}$ . However, already in 1987, Cohen [6] pointed out that this seemed to simply lead to a circular problem as one had to know  $G$  in the first place to find the Planck units (using dimensional analysis), so then one could not simply use Planck units to find  $G$ . This view has been held until recently, see [7]. This, however, changed in 2017 where we, for the first time, demonstrated how to find the Planck [8] length using a Cavendish [9] apparatus independent of  $G$ , and later also without knowledge of both  $\hbar$ ,  $G$ , and  $c$ , see [10], [11]. Based on this, we can indeed express the gravitational constant  $G$  from the Planck units, if we solve the Planck length formula with respect to  $G$  we get  $G = \frac{l_p^2 c^3}{\hbar}$ . This means the gravitational constant can be seen as a composite constant, many have suggested this, but first the recent methods discovered to extract the Planck length without knowing the gravity constant take us away from the circular problem, see [12] for an overview of the composite view of  $G$ . In addition, we must solve the Compton [13] wavelength formula  $\lambda = \frac{h}{Mc}$  with respect to  $M$  which gives:

$$M = \frac{h}{\lambda c} = \frac{\hbar}{\bar{\lambda} c} \quad (3)$$

where  $h$  is the Planck constant and  $\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}$  is the reduced Planck constant also known as the Dirac constant, further  $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}$  is the reduced Compton wavelength. This has been carefully discussed in multiple recent papers [10], [12], [14] that the Compton wavelength can be found for any mass without knowledge of  $G$  and also without knowledge of  $\hbar$ . Composite masses do not have a single physical Compton wavelength, but the single Compton wavelength used in the formula to find the kilogram mass of the mass is an aggregate of the individual Compton wavelengths from the masses making up the mass (one can even include such as binding energy in this approach), which are related by the formula:

ISSN: 2684-4451

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24018/ejphysics.2023.5.5.279>

Published on October 05, 2023.

E. G. Haug is with Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Norway.

(e-mail: [espenhaug@mac.com](mailto:espenhaug@mac.com)).

$$\bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sum_i^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i}} \quad (4)$$

Next, we replace  $G$  with  $G = \frac{l_p^2 c_g^3}{\hbar}$  and  $M$  with  $M = \frac{\hbar}{\lambda c}$  and replace this into equation 2 above and solve for  $c_g$ , which gives:

$$c_g = v_{out} \frac{r}{l_p} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2H}} \quad (5)$$

where  $r$  is the radius of the Earth and  $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\hbar}{Mc}$  is the reduced Compton wavelength of the Earth, where  $M$  is the mass of the earth in kilogram, but this can also be found with no prior knowledge of the kilogram mass of the Earth or even without knowledge of  $\hbar$ , see [10].

Further the Planck length is given by:

$$l_p = v_{out} \frac{r}{c_g} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2H}} \quad (6)$$

One cannot determine both the speed of gravity and the Planck length from this approach. Calculating the speed of gravity requires knowledge of the Planck length, and conversely, determining the Planck length requires knowledge of the speed of gravity. However, we can first find the Planck length by utilizing the fact that it has been experimentally measured in recent years that gravitational waves move at a speed practically indistinguishable from the speed of light (see [15]). The idea that gravitational waves move at the speed of light has long been anticipated [16], but it has only recently been observed and confirmed. Therefore, when we aim to find the Planck length, we can simply replace  $c_g$  with the speed of light. And we can naturally measure the speed of light without needing any knowledge of  $G$  or any other information about gravity.

## II. EXPERIMENT

We use a Newton's cradle and a photogate (BeeSpi V Self Contained Photogate) to accurately measure the outgoing speed of the ball in the Newton's cradle. The Newton's cradle setup with the photogate (speed-gate) is shown in Fig. 1. From the photogate, we can directly read the meters per second for the outgoing ball. For the photogate used, which is one of the most affordable and therefore well-suited for students and anyone interested in physics. We have to turn the photogate around to obtain readings, as illustrated in Figure 2.



Fig. 1: The figure illustrates Newton's cradle setup with a photogate (speed-gate). This is a straightforward and cost-effective experiment to perform. Unlike previously shown, we can use this setup to extract the Planck length and the speed of gravity.

We drop the ball from a height of about 11.5 cm ( $H = 0.115$ ), which in our Newton's cradle corresponds to an angle of 90 degrees ( $\theta = 90^\circ$ ). We used a reduced Compton wavelength of the earth of  $\bar{\lambda} = 5.68 \times 10^{68}$  m. Be aware that the reduced



Fig. 2: The figure shows the speed gate reading screen. One can directly read the speed in meters per second for the outgoing ball in the Newton's cradle. In this particular reading, the speed of the outgoing ball was 1.51 meters per second.

Compton wavelength of the Earth (or any other object) can be determined independently of knowing the mass of the Earth in kilograms or having any knowledge of  $\hbar$  or  $G$ , as demonstrated by Haug [10].

We repeat this one hundred times and measure the outgoing speed of the ball to be between 1.4 to 1.58 meters per second. From each measured outgoing speed, we can derive the speed of gravity and the Planck length using equations 5 and 6, respectively. The results from the 100 ball drops and their measurements are shown in Table I.

We are not conducting this experiment to achieve more accurate measurements than other methods. Instead, our goal is to demonstrate that even from very simple gravitational experiments, one can surprisingly extract the speed of gravity and the Planck length. The average speed of gravity over these 100 measurements is  $0.987c$ , and the Planck length is also 0.987% of the CODATA 2019 Planck length ( $1.616255 \times 10^{-35}$ ). Our measurements, on average, are slightly lower than the likely real values. While the Planck length has a large uncertainty in its value, we know the exact value of the speed of light.

However, we expected our estimates to be slightly lower than the real values since we did not take into account air drag and the loss of heat energy when all the balls in the Newton's cradle collide. Our aim was not to obtain the most accurate observations; instead, it was to demonstrate that remarkably, a Newton's cradle can be used to extract the speed of gravity and the Planck length. This aligns with and has been predicted in a previous published paper by Haug [14], but this is the first time we have demonstrated this holds experimentally.

TABLE I: ONE HUNDRED MEASUREMENTS USING A SPEED GATE AND NEWTON'S CRADLE

Observation	$v_o$	$c_g$	$c_g/c$	$l_p$	Observation	$v_o$	$c_g$	$c_g/c$	$l_p$
1	1.40	278 977 798	0.931	$1.51 \times 10^{-35}$	50	1.53	304 882 879	1.017	$1.65 \times 10^{-35}$
2	1.45	288 941 291	0.964	$1.56 \times 10^{-35}$	51	1.47	292 926 688	0.977	$1.58 \times 10^{-35}$
3	1.54	306 875 578	1.024	$1.66 \times 10^{-35}$	52	1.45	288 941 291	0.964	$1.56 \times 10^{-35}$
4	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$	53	1.46	290 933 990	0.970	$1.57 \times 10^{-35}$
5	1.54	306 875 578	1.024	$1.66 \times 10^{-35}$	54	1.46	290 933 990	0.970	$1.57 \times 10^{-35}$
6	1.51	300 897 482	1.004	$1.62 \times 10^{-35}$	55	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$
7	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$	56	1.52	302 890 181	1.010	$1.63 \times 10^{-35}$
8	1.53	304 882 879	1.017	$1.65 \times 10^{-35}$	57	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$
9	1.46	290 933 990	0.970	$1.57 \times 10^{-35}$	58	1.50	298 904 784	0.997	$1.61 \times 10^{-35}$
10	1.51	300 897 482	1.004	$1.62 \times 10^{-35}$	59	1.47	292 926 688	0.977	$1.58 \times 10^{-35}$
11	1.51	300 897 482	1.004	$1.62 \times 10^{-35}$	60	1.44	286 948 592	0.957	$1.55 \times 10^{-35}$
12	1.45	288 941 291	0.964	$1.56 \times 10^{-35}$	61	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$
13	1.44	286 948 592	0.957	$1.55 \times 10^{-35}$	62	1.44	286 948 592	0.957	$1.55 \times 10^{-35}$
14	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$	63	1.45	288 941 291	0.964	$1.56 \times 10^{-35}$
15	1.53	304 882 879	1.017	$1.65 \times 10^{-35}$	64	1.50	298 904 784	0.997	$1.61 \times 10^{-35}$
16	1.47	292 926 688	0.977	$1.58 \times 10^{-35}$	65	1.46	290 933 990	0.970	$1.57 \times 10^{-35}$
17	1.51	300 897 482	1.004	$1.62 \times 10^{-35}$	66	1.47	292 926 688	0.977	$1.58 \times 10^{-35}$
18	1.46	290 933 990	0.970	$1.57 \times 10^{-35}$	67	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$
19	1.50	298 904 784	0.997	$1.61 \times 10^{-35}$	68	1.50	298 904 784	0.997	$1.61 \times 10^{-35}$
20	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$	69	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$
21	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$	70	1.51	300 897 482	1.004	$1.62 \times 10^{-35}$
22	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$	71	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$
23	1.52	302 890 181	1.010	$1.63 \times 10^{-35}$	72	1.44	286 948 592	0.957	$1.55 \times 10^{-35}$
24	1.52	302 890 181	1.010	$1.63 \times 10^{-35}$	73	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$
25	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$	74	1.46	290 933 990	0.970	$1.57 \times 10^{-35}$
26	1.51	300 897 482	1.004	$1.62 \times 10^{-35}$	75	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$
27	1.55	308 868 277	1.030	$1.67 \times 10^{-35}$	76	1.44	286 948 592	0.957	$1.55 \times 10^{-35}$
28	1.54	306 875 578	1.024	$1.66 \times 10^{-35}$	77	1.46	290 933 990	0.970	$1.57 \times 10^{-35}$
29	1.55	308 868 277	1.030	$1.67 \times 10^{-35}$	78	1.47	292 926 688	0.977	$1.58 \times 10^{-35}$
30	1.47	292 926 688	0.977	$1.58 \times 10^{-35}$	79	1.47	292 926 688	0.977	$1.58 \times 10^{-35}$
31	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$	80	1.50	298 904 784	0.997	$1.61 \times 10^{-35}$
32	1.51	300 897 482	1.004	$1.62 \times 10^{-35}$	81	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$
33	1.56	310 860 975	1.037	$1.68 \times 10^{-35}$	82	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$
34	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$	83	1.47	292 926 688	0.977	$1.58 \times 10^{-35}$
35	1.47	292 926 688	0.977	$1.58 \times 10^{-35}$	84	1.47	292 926 688	0.977	$1.58 \times 10^{-35}$
36	1.46	290 933 990	0.970	$1.57 \times 10^{-35}$	85	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$
37	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$	86	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$
38	1.46	290 933 990	0.970	$1.57 \times 10^{-35}$	87	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$
39	1.52	302 890 181	1.010	$1.63 \times 10^{-35}$	88	1.45	288 941 291	0.964	$1.56 \times 10^{-35}$
40	1.53	304 882 879	1.017	$1.65 \times 10^{-35}$	89	1.46	290 933 990	0.970	$1.57 \times 10^{-35}$
41	1.54	306 875 578	1.024	$1.66 \times 10^{-35}$	90	1.49	296 912 085	0.990	$1.60 \times 10^{-35}$
42	1.53	304 882 879	1.017	$1.65 \times 10^{-35}$	91	1.50	298 904 784	0.997	$1.61 \times 10^{-35}$
43	1.45	288 941 291	0.964	$1.56 \times 10^{-35}$	92	1.51	300 897 482	1.004	$1.62 \times 10^{-35}$
44	1.44	286 948 592	0.957	$1.55 \times 10^{-35}$	93	1.44	286 948 592	0.957	$1.55 \times 10^{-35}$
45	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$	94	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$
46	1.44	286 948 592	0.957	$1.55 \times 10^{-35}$	95	1.44	286 948 592	0.957	$1.55 \times 10^{-35}$
47	1.45	288 941 291	0.964	$1.56 \times 10^{-35}$	96	1.48	294 919 387	0.984	$1.59 \times 10^{-35}$
48	1.50	298 904 784	0.997	$1.61 \times 10^{-35}$	97	1.50	298 904 784	0.997	$1.61 \times 10^{-35}$
49	1.58	314 846 372	1.050	$1.70 \times 10^{-35}$	98	1.47	292 926 688	0.977	$1.58 \times 10^{-35}$

The gravitational speed and Planck length are estimated from the velocity of the ball in Newton's cradle. The drop height is about 11.5 cm, and the drop angle is 90 degrees.

### III. CONCLUSION

We have successfully demonstrated how one can extract the speed of gravity and the Planck length from a Newton's cradle. While this idea has been suggested before, this is the first time we have carefully demonstrated it through our experimental setup.

### REFERENCES

[1] Ehrlich R. Experiments with 'Newton's cradle'. *The Physics Teacher*. 1996;34:181, . <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.2344392>.  
 [2] Planck M. *Naturliche Masseinheiten*. Der Königlich Preussischen Akademie Der Wissenschaften: Berlin, Germany, 1899. <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/93034page/7/mode/1up>.

- [3] Planck M. *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der Wärmestrahlung*. Leipzig: J.A. Barth, p. 163, see also the English translation “The Theory of Radiation” (1959) Dover, 1906.
- [4] Cahill K. The gravitational constant. *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento*. 1984;39:181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02790586>.
- [5] Cahill K. Tetrads, broken symmetries, and the gravitational constant. *Zeitschrift Für Physik C Particles and Fields*. 1984;23:353. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01572659>.
- [6] Cohen ER. *Fundamental Physical Constants, in the book Gravitational Measurements, Fundamental Metrology and Constants*. Edited by Sabbata, and Melniko, V. N., Netherland, Amsterdam, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1987.
- [7] McCulloch ME. Quantised inertia from relativity and the uncertainty principle. *Europhysics Letters (EPL)*. 2016;115(6):69001. <https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/115/69001>.
- [8] Haug EG. Can the planck length be found independent of big  $G$  ? *Applied Physics Research*. 2017;9(6):58. <https://doi.org/10.5539/apr.v9n6p58>.
- [9] Cavendish H. Experiments to determine the density of the earth. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, (part II)*. 1978;88:469.
- [10] Haug EG. Finding the Planck length multiplied by the speed of light without any knowledge of  $G$ ,  $c$ , or  $h$ , using a spring. *Journal Physics Communication*. 2020;4:075001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2399-6528/ab9dd7>.
- [11] Haug EG. Planck units measured totally independently of big  $G$ . *Open Journal of Microphysics*. 2022;12:55. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojm.2022.122004>.
- [12] Haug EG. Progress in the composite view of the Newton gravitational constant and its link to the Planck scale. *Universe*. 2022;8(454). <https://doi.org/10.3390/universe8090454>.
- [13] Compton AH. A quantum theory of the scattering of x-rays by light elements. *Physical Review*. 1923;21(5):483. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.21.483>.
- [14] Haug EG. Demonstration that Newtonian gravity moves at the speed of light and not instantaneously (infinite speed) as thought! *Journal of Physics Communication*. 2021;5(2):1. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2399-6528/abe4c8>.
- [15] Cadeau C, Morsink SM, Leahy D, and Campbell SS. Light curves for rapidly rotating neutron stars. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*. 2017;848(2): 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c>.
- [16] Salisbury WW. Velocity of gravitational waves. *Nature*. 1969;224. <https://doi.org/10.1038/224782a0>.