

Animal Feed Science and Technology

Increasing the proportion of hazel leaves in the diet of dairy cows reduced methane yield and excretion of nitrogen in volatile form, but not milk yield

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	ANIFEE-D-20-00320R3
Article Type:	Research Paper
Section/Category:	Ruminant
Keywords:	Woody forage, Methanogenesis, Nitrogen balance, Cattle, Additive effect, Tannin
Corresponding Author:	Melissa Terranova SWITZERLAND
First Author:	M. Terranova
Order of Authors:	M. Terranova L. Eggerschwiler S. Ortmann M. Clauss M. Kreuzer A. Schwarm
Abstract:	<p>A B S T R A C T</p> <p>Various feeds for ruminants have been identified that help to mitigate the greenhouse gas methane. However, even when there has been success in suppressing absolute methane emissions, intake, digestibility, and performance often decline in parallel. Ideal dietary levels of effective feeds would reduce methane production without affecting performance-related variables. Such favorable associative effects have been demonstrated <i>in vitro</i> by combining a high-quality forage with plants rich in phenols. In the present study, the tannin-rich leaves of hazel (<i>Corylus avellana</i>) gradually replaced (from 0 to 820 g/kg) a high-quality forage (dried alfalfa) in 20 types of experimental pellets fed to 20 mid-to-late lactating cows. Additionally, the cows were fed a mixed basal ration and some concentrate. The proportion of hazel in the 20 complete diets ranged from 0 to 400 g/kg dry matter. After 14 days of adaptation, 8 days were used for intensive sampling of feces (including markers for determining digesta retention time), urine, and milk. In addition, cows stayed for 2 days in open-circuit respiration chambers. Hazel leaves reduced the feed intake only slightly. Digestibility declined and mean digesta retention time was prolonged with increasing hazel proportion, likely due to the lower feeding value of the hazel leaves compared to the alfalfa. As aimed for, there were no significant effects on energy-corrected milk yield, body energy, and body N retention with increasing hazel intake, even though methane emission clearly declined in absolute term and per unit of digestible organic matter and tended to decrease per unit of energy corrected milk. In addition, increasing hazel proportions strongly shifted N excretion from urinary (which declined from about 300 to 100 g/kg N intake) to fecal N. This could also be anticipated from the sharp decline in milk urea concentration (from about 30 to 10 mg/dL). In conclusion, hazel leaves as a feed supplement for dairy cows showed a high palatability within 3 weeks of feeding in dairy cows and great potential to mitigate emissions of methane and nitrogen in volatile form at maintained production levels. No favorable associative dosage effects seem to exist when combining tannin-rich hazel leaves with the high-quality forage alfalfa in a constant proportion to a mixed basal ration. However, the present study is one of the few, where it was possible to mitigate noxious emissions of dairy cows by feeding a tannin rich feed supplement without concomitant negative impact on the animal's performance.</p>
Suggested Reviewers:	Vincent Niderkorn, PhD INRA France vincent.niderkorn@inra.fr

	<p>Expert in field of associative effects; cited 2 times</p> <p>Karl-Heinz Südekum, Prof. Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn ksue@itw.uni-bonn.de expert in field</p> <p>Andre Bannik Wageningen University and Research Wageningen Economic Research andre.bannik@wur.nl expert in field, cited once</p>
Opposed Reviewers:	
Response to Reviewers:	<p>Thank you very much for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript again in accordance with the comments of the reviewers. We marked changes in the manuscript in red font. All references to line numbers refer to the pdf-version of the revised manuscript created by the submission system. We hope that the manuscript can now be accepted for publication in Animal Feed Science and Technology.</p>

**Responses to the comments from the editor and both reviewers of the Manuscript
Number: ANIFEE-D-20-00320R1**

**Increasing the proportion of hazel leaves in the diet of dairy cows reduced methane yield
and excretion of nitrogen in volatile form, but not milk yield**

Comment by the Editor: Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Animal Feed Science and Technology. I have completed my evaluation of your manuscript. The reviewers recommend reconsideration of your manuscript following minor revision and modification. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing the comments below. Please resubmit your revised manuscript by Feb 02, 2021. When revising your manuscript, please consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments carefully: please outline every change made in response to their comments and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed. Please note that your revised submission may need to be re-reviewed. Animal Feed Science and Technology values your contribution and I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Kind regards, Amlan Patra, Editor.

Authors' response: Dear Editor, dear Dr. Patra, many thanks for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript again in accordance with the comments of the reviewers. We marked changes in the manuscript in **red font**. All references to line numbers refer to the pdf-version of the revised manuscript created by the submission system. We hope that the manuscript can now be accepted for publication in Animal Feed Science and Technology.

Responses to the Comments by Reviewer #1

Comment: The authors have done a good job of changing the emphasis of the manuscript. I have only some small suggestions.

Authors' response: Thank you for the suggestions, they were really helpful to improve the quality of the whole manuscript.

Comment: 'Content' is often used when 'concentration' is intended. According to my dictionary 'content' means an amount of something, while 'concentration' in the chemistry sense means "the amount of a given substance in a stated unit of a mixture, solution, or ore; expressed as per cent by weight or by volume, weight per unit volume, normality, molality, etc." For example, because feed DM, milk fat and milk protein are expressed as g/kg, I argue that they are being specified as the "amount of a given substance in a stated unit of mixture" hence they are a concentration and need to be referred to as such.

Authors' response: We changed the "content" to "concentration" everywhere in the text where needed.

Comment: Page 4, L34 contains an unnecessary)

Authors' response: We removed the unnecessary).

Comment: Page 10, L31 bronopol-preserved milk (missing space)

Authors' response: The space was added. (Page 10 , Line 33)

Comment: Page 21, L44 suggest replace noxious with greenhouse. The gases are not directly harmful to humans.

Authors' response: Thank you for this advice, we replaced it with greenhouse (Page 21 , Line 56)

Highlights

- Hazel leaves are highly palatable to cows even at 400 g/kg dietary dry matter.
- Milk yield is maintained with increasing dietary hazel leaves.
- Methane emission was reduced, but clearly so only with high hazel levels.
- Hazel leaves substantially shifted volatile urinary nitrogen to the faeces.
- No favourable associative effects in methane and urine nitrogen losses occurred.

Submitted to Animal Feed Science and Technology

Increasing the proportion of hazel leaves in the diet of dairy cows
reduced methane yield and excretion of nitrogen in volatile form, but
not milk yield

M. Terranova^{a,b,*}, L. Eggerschwiler^c, S. Ortmann^d, M. Clauss^e, M. Kreuzer^a and A.
Schwarm^{a,f}

^a *ETH Zurich, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Universitaetstrasse 2, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland*

^b *ETH Zurich, AgroVet-Strickhof, Eschikon 27, 8315 Lindau, Switzerland*

^c *Agroscope, Ruminant Research Unit, Route de la Tioleyre 4, 1725 Posieux, Switzerland*

^d *Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research (IZW) Berlin, Alfred-Kowalke-Str. 17, 10315 Berlin, Germany*

^e *Clinic for Zoo Animals, Exotic Pets and Wildlife, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 260, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland*

^f *Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Department of Animal and Aquacultural Sciences, PO Box 5003, 1432 Ås, Norway*

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: melissa-terranova@usys.ethz.ch (M. Terranova).

ABSTRACT

1
2 Various feeds for ruminants have been identified that help to mitigate the greenhouse gas
3 methane. However, even when there has been success in suppressing absolute methane
4 emissions, intake, digestibility, and performance often decline in parallel. Ideal dietary levels
5 of effective feeds would reduce methane production without affecting performance-related
6 variables. Such favorable associative effects have been demonstrated *in vitro* by combining a
7 high-quality forage with plants rich in phenols. In the present study, the tannin-rich leaves of
8 hazel (*Corylus avellana*) gradually replaced (from 0 to 820 g/kg) a high-quality forage (dried
9 alfalfa) in 20 types of experimental pellets fed to 20 mid-to-late lactating cows. Additionally,
10 the cows were fed a mixed basal ration and some concentrate. The proportion of hazel in the 20
11 complete diets ranged from 0 to 400 g/kg dry matter. After 14 days of adaptation, 8 days were
12 used for intensive sampling of feces (including markers for determining digesta retention time),
13 urine, and milk. In addition, cows stayed for 2 days in open-circuit respiration chambers. Hazel
14 leaves reduced the feed intake only slightly. Digestibility declined and mean digesta retention
15 time was prolonged with increasing hazel proportion, likely due to the lower feeding value of
16 the hazel leaves compared to the alfalfa. As aimed for, there were no significant effects on
17 energy-corrected milk yield, body energy, and body N retention with increasing hazel intake,
18 even though methane emission clearly declined in absolute term and per unit of digestible
19 organic matter and tended to decrease per unit of energy corrected milk. In addition, increasing
20 hazel proportions strongly shifted N excretion from urinary (which declined from about 300 to
21 100 g/kg N intake) to fecal N. This could also be anticipated from the sharp decline in milk urea
22 **concentration** (from about 30 to 10 mg/dL). In conclusion, hazel leaves as a feed supplement
23 for dairy cows showed a high palatability within 3 weeks of feeding in dairy cows and great
24 potential to mitigate emissions of methane and nitrogen in volatile form at maintained
25 production levels. No favorable associative dosage effects seem to exist when combining
26 tannin-rich hazel leaves with the high-quality forage alfalfa in a constant proportion to a mixed
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 basal ration. However, the present study is one of the few, where it was possible to mitigate
2 noxious emissions of dairy cows by feeding a tannin rich feed supplement without concomitant
3
4 negative impact on the animal's performance.
5
6
7
8

9 *Keywords:* Woody forage, Methanogenesis, Nitrogen balance, Cattle, Additive effect, Tannin
10
11
12
13

14 *Abbreviations:* ADFom, acid detergent fiber corrected for ash **concentration**; aNDFom,
15 assayed with α -amylase and corrected for ash **concentration**; BW, body weight; CH₄, methane;
16
17 CP, crude protein; CT, condensed tannins; DM, dry matter; DMI, dry matter intake; ECM,
18
19 energy-corrected milk; GE, gross energy; GIT, gastrointestinal tract; HT, hydrolysable tannins;
20
21 lignin(sa), lignin determined by solubilization of cellulose with sulfuric acid; MBR, mixed basal
22
23 ration; ME, metabolizable energy; MRT, mean retention time; NTP, non-tannin phenols; OM,
24
25 organic matter; PSM, plant secondary metabolites; RR, reticulorumen; TEP, total extractable
26
27 phenols; TT, total tannins; VFA, volatile fatty acid
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **1. Introduction**

37
38
39 Various attempts have been made to mitigate the emission of the greenhouse gas methane
40
41 (CH₄) from ruminant husbandry in response to its large share of total greenhouse gas emissions
42
43 (Gerber et al., 2013). Several feeding strategies have a high abatement potential (Hristov et al.,
44
45 2013). This especially includes strategies based on feed supplements, some of which are ready
46
47 to be applied in the field (Martin et al., 2010). Particularly promising is the strategic use of
48
49 plants rich in secondary metabolites (PSM), including phenols and, within phenols, tannins
50
51 (Hristov et al., 2013). Furthermore, as a result of the increasing food-feed competition for arable
52
53 land, alternative feeds are becoming increasingly important (Makkar, 2018). In this respect,
54
55 shrub and tree leaves gain interest as they are often rich in PSM (Frutos et al., 2004) and thus
56
57 may mitigate the formation of CH₄ and, when tannins are prevalent, of ammonia in the rumen
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 (Bodas et al., 2008, Jayanegara et al., 2011). When integrated into existing agricultural systems,
2 shrubs may have further environmental benefits. Drawbacks of feeding leaves from woody
3 plants may be their low palatability and adverse effects on nutrient digestibility (Moss et al.,
4 2000; Tiemann et al., 2008). The ruminal and total tract retention time of the feed could also be
5 affected by its phenol concentration (Baker and Hobbs, 1987; Melaku et al., 2005). One
6 promising woody plant source is the leaves of the shrub hazel (*Corylus avellana*). They are rich
7 in phenols, especially the flavanols myricetin 3-rhamnoside and quercetin 3-rhamnoside from
8 the group of condensed tannins (CT) (Amaral et al., 2005). In previous *in vitro* studies, hazel
9 leaves were found to be effective in reducing CH₄ and ammonia emissions without affecting
10 digestibility (Terranova et al., 2018 and 2020). They proved to be highly palatable to dairy cows
11 (Terranova et al., 2020) and to sheep, and they effectively suppressed CH₄ formation in sheep
12 (Wang et al., 2018).

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 When describing effects of feeds on CH₄ or ammonia emissions often only the influence on
30 absolute mitigation is reported. However, in several studies where mitigation with tannin-rich
31 feed supplements was achieved, performance of the animals was concomitantly impaired, this
32 often because of low palatability and adverse effects of tannins on nutritional value and
33 digestibility (Tiemann et al., 2008; Grainger et al., 2009; Adejoro et al., 2020). However,
34 mitigation is only sustainable when it concerns emission yield (per unit of intake of dry matter
35 (DM) or digestible nutrients) or emission intensity (per unit of food produced; i.e. in relation to
36 performance). This would be the case if diet digestibility and the animal's performance is not
37 or not severely impaired by supplementing woody plants. In addition, CH₄ and ammonia
38 mitigation would favorably occur already at dosages where there are no adverse effects on
39 intake and digestibility, resulting in non-linear relationships of digestibility or performance and
40 CH₄ formation with an increasing dosage of PSM would be required. Such non-linear effects,
41 the so-called associative effects, are rarely investigated on a target variable with increasing
42 dosage of the feed additive as typically linear relationships are assumed. The concept of the

1 associative effects was first described for a situation in which one dietary item affected the
2 digestion of another item either positively or negatively (Van Soest, 1994; Niderkorn and
3
4 Baumont, 2009). In general, dietary associative effects are assumed to be very common and
5
6 cause over- or underestimations of the nutritional value of supplements (Van Soest, 1994). In
7
8 the present context, combinations of high-quality feeds with phenol-rich plants that suppress
9
10 ruminal CH₄ and ammonia formation while retaining a high total diet digestibility are of
11
12 particular interest. The presence of this phenomenon was indeed previously demonstrated *in*
13
14 *vitro* when incubating high-quality papaya leaves with several PSM-rich plants (Jayanegara et
15
16 al., 2013). However, this specific phenomenon has only rarely been studied *in vivo*.
17
18
19
20
21

22 Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of replacing a high-
23
24 quality forage (alfalfa) with a PSM-rich forage (hazel leaves) in increasing proportions in the
25
26 diet of dairy cows. The hypotheses tested were that increasing the proportion of hazel leaves in
27
28 the diet would result in (1) a decreasing milk yield, (2) a decreasing enteric CH₄ yield, and (3)
29
30 a gradually increasing shift of nitrogen (N) in the excreta from urine to faeces. In addition, (4)
31
32 the presence of favorable associative effects even at low hazel doses was hypothesized. Hazel
33
34 leaves were chosen as a model for PSM-rich plants because there were indications of a dose-
35
36 dependency of the effects of the hazel leaves *in vitro* (Terranova et al., 2018) and *in vivo* in
37
38 sheep (Wang et al., 2018). In order to be able to determine the slope of the changes, a multiple
39
40 regression analysis approach was applied in the present experiment using 20 experimental
41
42 pellets with gradually increasing concentrations of hazel.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **2. Materials and Methods**

52 *2.1. Animals, diets, and experimental design*

53
54
55
56 The experiment, which took place in late 2017 at AgroVet-Strickhof (Lindau, Switzerland),
57
58 was approved by the Cantonal Veterinary Office of Zurich (license no. ZH271/16). Twelve
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Brown Swiss and eight Holstein cows, being in second to seventh lactation, 151 to 310 days in
2 milk, yielding 23.4 ± 4.7 kg milk/day and weighing 711 ± 50 kg, were selected.
3

4 The experimental diets consisted of a mixed basal ration (MBR) and experimental pellets
5 provided in a ratio of 0.4:0.6 as well as additional energy and protein supplements (Table 1).
6
7 The MBR was composed (in g/kg DM) of corn silage, 550; grass silage, 380; hay, 20; dairy
8 concentrate (UFA-250, UFA, Sursee, Switzerland), 50. Grass silage and hay were from
9 ryegrass-dominated swards. Twenty different types of experimental pellets were produced with
10 increasing hazel leaf proportions (hazel proportion; 0 to 800 g/kg pellet DM) and decreasing
11 levels of dried alfalfa (970 to 170 g/kg pellet DM), all with 30 g molasses/kg DM (Table 2).
12
13 Dried hazel leaves were provided by Alfred Galke GmbH (Bad Grund, Germany) in a particle
14 size of 4 to 6 mm. The leaves were harvested in 2015 and 2016 in Albania. The alfalfa,
15 purchased from Landi (Sense-Düdingen, Switzerland), was harvested in 2016 in France. The
16 alfalfa was ground to a 3-mm particle length with a Sigma 5.2 hammer mill (Kuhn AG,
17 Bottighofen, Switzerland). The hazel leaves, alfalfa, and molasses were mixed with a batch
18 mixer (Speedmix DFML-1000, Bühler AG, Uzwil, Switzerland) and afterward pelleted to a
19 4.5-mm diameter (Kahl 40 PS, Amandus Kahl GmbH & Co, Reinbeck, Germany) using steam
20 of max. 60°C (Bühler AG, Uzwil, Switzerland).
21
22

23 Every cow received one out of the 20 experimental pellet types beside the MBR. The pellets
24 of different type were randomly allocated to the animals, independent of breed, age, and
25 performance. The experiment had to be staggered as only two respiration chambers were
26 available. Concerning hazel level, the sequence with time, required to account for the limitation
27 given by the two respiration chambers, was randomized as well. To meet the individual animals'
28 requirements for protein and energy, pellet composition and milk yield were considered, and
29 the supplementation level of a mixture of soybean meal and wheat flakes was adapted to this
30 information. The average daily supplementation levels were 0.9 kg for soybean meal and 0.9
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 kg for wheat flakes. All cows received 80 g/day of a mineral-vitamin mix (details given in
2 footnote 2 to Table 1).
3

4 Each animal performed 22 days of experiment. At first, animals were allowed to adapt to
5 tie-stall housing and diets for 14 days. In that time, they also performed a first visit to the
6 respiration chambers for 4 h. During this visit, the animals' heart rates were monitored with an
7 electrode belt Polar Team2 (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland). In all cows, the heart rate fell
8 below 80 beats per min after a 10 to 110 min stay in the chamber. After the adaptation, 8 days
9 of sampling followed, of which on days 7 and 8, two cows stayed in the two respiration
10 chambers, with visual contact to each other through the glass walls.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 *2.2. Data recording and sampling*

25 The individual tie-stalls were equipped with individual weighing plates (custom-made
26 model, Mettler-Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland) recording the daily feed intake, respectively.
27 Cows were milked at 05:30 h and 16:30 h. The milk of the individual cows was collected in
28 buckets and weighed on a scale (ID2 Multirange, Mettler-Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland).
29 During each milking event in the sampling period, milk samples were collected and preserved
30 with Bronopol. Feed intake was recorded daily during the sampling period. Soybean meal,
31 wheat flakes, and mineral supplements were offered together with the MBR on the weighing
32 plates in the tie-stall or in the respiratory chamber troughs. Leftovers were recorded and
33 removed before the morning feeding. The test pellets were fed in separate troughs, and leftovers
34 were manually weighed before the morning feeding. Feeding was performed at the times of
35 milking and, additionally, at 13:00 h (minerals were only given in the evening). The animals
36 had unrestricted access to fresh water. Grass silage and corn silage were sampled weekly,
37 resulting in eight samples each. Hay samples were collected three times, soybean meal and
38 wheat flakes were sampled twice. Samples of each test pellet type were collected on days 1, 15,
39 and 22 of the corresponding individual animal's experimental period. The cows were weighed
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2 with a truck load scale (Waagen Döhrn, model Terra ET, Wesel, Germany) on day 1 of
3 adaptation and on the first and last day of the sampling period.

4
5 During the sampling period, all feces were collected in steel trays located below a grid at
6
7 the end of the tie-stall. Urine was collected separately from feces with urinals attached around
8
9 the vulva of the cows. The urine was drained into a container, and a small subsample was
10
11 diverted into a canister containing 30 g of 5 M sulfuric acid to prevent gaseous N losses. Feces
12
13 and urine were weighed and sampled once per day. A proportion of 0.05 of the total feces and
14
15 50 mL each of acidified and non-acidified urine were frozen at -20°C. Feces and non-acidified
16
17 urine were later pooled to one sample per cow. To determine the retention time, Co-EDTA was
18
19 used as a solute marker and fiber from hay cut to 2, 5, and 8 mm lengths and mordanted with
20
21 Cr, La, and Ce, respectively, was used as particle markers. Details on the preparation of the
22
23 markers are described in Grandl et al. (2018). On day 1 of the sampling period, the animals
24
25 received, per kg of body weight (BW), a dosage of about 0.1 g of each particle marker and of
26
27 0.01 g of Co-EDTA. The frozen boli were administered orally with a commercial bolus
28
29 applicator for cows. Fecal samples of about 250 g each were collected on the day before the
30
31 marker application (three samples) and 4, 8, 12, 18, 22, 26, 30, 36, 42, 46, 52, 58, 66, 74, 82,
32
33 90, 98, 106, 114, 126, 138, and 150 h after the application. These samples were dried at 100°C
34
35 to constant weight and ground through a 1-mm screen with a centrifugal mill (Model ZM1,
36
37 Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany). The same procedure was applied for the fecal samples pooled
38
39 across the entire sampling period, except that these were dried at 60°C.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 *2.3. Respiration chamber measurements*

52
53 Two open-circuit respiration chambers with a volume of 22.4 m³ (cf. Grandl et al., 2016b)
54
55 were used to measure the gaseous exchange of the animals. The chambers were entered through
56
57 an airlock for milking and feeding. For cleaning purposes, the measurements were interrupted
58
59 for 0.5 h on day 2, 4.5 h after the morning feeding, and the missing data were interpolated from
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 adjacent values. The chambers were set to an ambient temperature of 17°C, a relative humidity
2 of 60%, and an air pressure of -60 Pa. Airflow was set to 800 L/min (Promethion FG-1000 flow
3 generators, Sable Systems, Las Vegas, NV). Concentrations of CH₄, CO₂, and O₂ were
4 measured in each chamber every 3 min for 1 min with a gas analyzer (Promethion GA-4, Sable
5 Systems). Before starting the measurement, the gas analyzer was calibrated with pure N₂
6 (999.99 mL/L) and a mixed gas (198 mL O₂, 10 mL CO₂, 1 mL CH₄ per L, in N₂ as carrier).
7 Recovery was tested three times in the experiment by burning propane gas. The mean recovery
8 rate was 0.940 of the total. The gaseous exchange from 48 h was used, averaging the individual
9 CH₄, CO₂, and O₂ data to daily amounts.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 *2.4. Laboratory analyses*

25
26 Feed items and excreta were analyzed in duplicate or triplicate in the dried and fresh
27 samples, respectively, according to standard procedures (AOAC, 1995). Dry matter and total
28 ash were analyzed with a TGA-701 furnace (Leco Corporation, St. Joseph, Michigan, USA;
29 AOAC index no. 942.05). Organic matter (OM) was calculated as DM minus total ash. Nitrogen
30 **concentration** in feed items, non-dried feces, and acidified urine were quantified with a C/N
31 analyzer (TruMac CN, Leco Corporation; AOAC index no. 968.06). Crude protein (CP) was
32 calculated as $6.25 \times N$. The carbon **concentration** of the non-acidified urine was determined
33 with the same device. The **concentration** of the ether extract were determined with a Soxhlet
34 extractor (Extraction System B-811, Büchi, Flawil, Switzerland; AOAC index no. 963.15).
35 Detergent fiber fractions were assessed in a Fibertherm system FT 12 (Gerhardt GmbH & Co.
36 KG, Koenigswinter, Germany). Heat-stable α -amylase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri,
37 USA) was used for neutral detergent fiber (aNDFom) analysis by method 6.5.1 from VDLUFA
38 (2012), and both aNDFom and acid detergent fiber (ADFom) were corrected for ash
39 **concentration**. Lignin(sa) was determined sequentially after the ADF analysis by incubation in
40 sulfuric acid (720 mL/L) for 3 h. Gross energy (GE) **concentration** was measured in feed items
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

and feces with a bomb calorimeter (C7000, IKA-Werke GmbH & Co. KG, Staufen, Germany).

Analysis of total phenols and phenol fractions was performed according to Makkar (2003) using a double beam spectrophotometer (UV-6300PC, VWR, Leuven, Belgium). The feed samples were treated twice with a 700 mL acetone/L solution for the preparation of extracts. Concentrations of total phenols (TEP) and non-tannin phenols (NTP) were expressed as gallic acid equivalents. Total tannins (TT) were calculated as the difference of TEP and NTP. The CT were given as leucocyanidin equivalents. The difference between TT and CT was considered to be the hydrolysable tannins (HT).

The marker concentrations in the mordanted hay particles and in the feces were analyzed after wet ashing using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (Optima 8000, Pekin Elmer, Rodgau, Germany). The mordanted particles of 2, 5 and 8 mm size and the EDTA contained, per kg DM, 32.8 g Cr, 49.5 g La, 41.5 g Ce and 151 g Co, respectively. The baseline concentrations measured in samples before the marker application were used to correct for fecal background levels in each individual cow.

The Bronopol-preserved milk was analyzed for fat, protein, lactose, and urea concentrations with a MilkoScan FT6000 (Foss, Hillerød, Denmark) at SuisseLab (Zollikofen, Switzerland).

2.5. Calculations and statistical analysis

Energy-corrected milk (ECM) was calculated as $ECM [kg] = milk [kg] \times (0.038 \times fat [g/kg] + 0.024 \times protein [g/kg] + 0.017 \times lactose [g/kg]) / 3.14$ (Agroscope, 2020).

Mean retention time (MRT) in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) was computed for each marker according to Thielemans et al. (1978) as $MRT_{GIT} = (\sum C_i \times t_i \times dt_i) / (\sum C_i \times dt_i)$, where t_i = mean time (h) after application of markers of two subsequent samplings $i-1$ and i , calculated as $t_{i-1} + (t_i - t_{i-1}) / 2$; C_i = marker concentration in the fecal sample voided in the interval represented by time t_i and t_{i-1} ; and dt_i = sampling interval (h) of the respective sample, calculated as $([t_{i-1} - t_i] + [t_i - t_{i-1}]) / 2$. The MRT of the solute marker Co-EDTA in the reticulorumen (RR) was

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

calculated according to Grovum and Williams (1973). The MRT of the particles in the RR was calculated according to Huhtanen and Kukkonen (1995) as MRT RR particles = MRT GIT particles – (MRT GIT solute – MRT RR solute).

Digestibility and energy-balance related variables were calculated as follows:

(1) Apparent digestibility = (intake [g or MJ/day] – fecal loss [g or MJ/day]) / intake [g or MJ/day];

(2) CH₄ energy (MJ/day) = CH₄ (L/day) × 0.03957 (Brouwer, 1965);

(3) Urine energy (MJ/day) = 0.0331 × urine C (g/day) + 0.0092 × urine N (g/day) (Hoffmann und Klein, 1980);

(4) Heat energy (MJ/day) = 0.01618 × O₂ (L/day) + 0.00502 × (CO₂ [L/day] - 3 × CH₄ [L/day]) – 0.00217 × CH₄ (L/day) – 0.00599 × urine N (g/day) (Chwalibog et al., 1996);

(5) Body energy retention (MJ/day) = GE intake (MJ/day) – fecal energy (MJ/day) – CH₄ energy (MJ/day) – urine energy (MJ/day) – heat energy (MJ/day) – milk energy (MJ/day);

(6) Metabolizability = metabolizable energy (ME) intake (MJ/day) / GE intake (MJ/day).

(7) Metabolic BW was calculated as BW^{0.75}.

Data analysis was performed by multiple regression analysis with the procedure REG of SAS (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Carry NC, USA). The model applied was as follows:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + \beta_i H + \beta_j H^2 + \beta_k \text{ days in milk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$$

where Y_{ijk} is the individual observation of the respective variable, μ is the overall mean, β_{ijk} are the regression coefficients of the fixed linear (H) and quadratic (H²) effects of hazel proportion, as well as of days in milk, and ε_{ijk} is the random residual. Including hazel proportion in both a linear and a quadratic term allowed the identification of linear and non-linear (associative) relationships of the variables with hazel proportion. To ensure that the effects of hazel proportion were corrected for individual variation in performance among animals, days in milk was included as a covariate. A first data evaluation by Student's *t* test showed that there were no significant differences between the cows breed in any of the target variables. Therefore

1 the breed was not included into the statistical model as covariate. For MRT, lactation number
2 was used as a covariate instead, as it is known that animal age can influence MRT (Grandl et
3 al. 2018) and because a preliminary correlation analysis showed no relationship between the
4 days in milk and MRT. The model with the lowest Akaike's information criterion (Akaike,
5 1974) were used for model selection, which comprised either H, H², or both. The parameter
6 estimates are given with the unadjusted R², SE, CV, and significance levels for the entire model
7 as well as for individual parameters. The plots were drawn with SigmaPlot 13. The regression
8 analysis was applied to 18 of the 20 experimental animals. One animal was excluded because
9 of diarrhea during the sampling period, and the second, because it consumed hazel leaf pellets
10 at only 0.60 of the total amount offered for most of the time and had widely varying amounts
11 of total refusals across the sampling period. The figures show the measured individual data
12 points and regression lines through the estimates where the covariates were held constant at
13 their median (246.5 for days in milk and 3.00 for lactation number). Regression equations and
14 coefficients are given in the supplementary material. The ECM-related variables were evaluated
15 without the data of the cow receiving no hazel leaves. The apparent decline in ECM yield was
16 9 kg/day from this cow to the cow receiving the lowest hazel leaf level, an unlikely drastic
17 depression, especially as no further clear decline in ECM was found with higher hazel
18 proportions.

45 **3. Results**

46 Phenol concentrations were low in all feed items except for the hazel leaves (Table 1). Most
47 of the hazel leaf phenols were CT. Alfalfa contained 56 g/kg more CP than the hazel leaves,
48 slightly more aNDFom and ADFom, and clearly less lignin(sa). The ratio between hazel leaves
49 and alfalfa in the experimental pellets varied in the 20 pellet types in a gradient from 0:1 to
50 0.82:0.18 (Table 2). The dietary hazel proportion ranged from 0 to 414 g/kg. Along with this,
51 the concentrations of TEP, NTP, TT, CT, and HT in the pellets increased from very low levels

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

to 86, 20, 67, 49, and 19 g/kg dietary DM, respectively. The corresponding maximal concentrations were 50 g TEP, 14 g NTP, 36 g TT, 27 g CT, and 11 g HT/kg diet (data not shown in table). With increasing hazel proportion, CP concentration declined, and lignin(sa) concentration increased.

The relative DM intake (DMI) was only slightly affected by hazel proportion ($P = 0.01$, Figure 1A). When excluding the zero hazel cow, ECM yield and ECM per DMI (Figure 1B and 1C) were not significantly affected by increasing hazel proportion (Supplementary Table S1). Milk fat, lactose, and protein concentrations were also not significantly affected by hazel proportion (data not shown). Milk urea ($P < 0.001$) declined clearly in a curvilinear manner with increasing hazel proportion from 35 mg/dL to almost 10 mg/dL, with a steep initial decline and a less pronounced decline at higher hazel proportion (Figure 1D). By contrast, in the urinary-N to milk N ratio (N emission intensity), the decline became more prominent at higher hazel proportions (Figure 1E; Supplementary Table S2).

Only weak effects of hazel proportion on MRT of particles and solute in the RR and the GIT were found, all pointing toward an increase with increasing hazel proportion (Figure 2; Supplementary Table S3). Especially the passage of the solutes and that of the medium-sized as well as large particles (all $P < 0.05$) in the GIT and RR showed this effect, whereas there was a trend for small particles ($P < 0.1$) only in the GIT. The OM digestibility declined in a curvilinear manner ($P < 0.001$). The aNDFom digestibility declined in a linear manner ($P < 0.001$) with increasing hazel proportion. The decline was more pronounced than that of OM digestibility.

Fecal energy loss increased with increasing hazel proportion both in absolute amounts ($P = 0.008$) and as a proportion of GE intake ($P < 0.001$) (Figure 3A and 3B; Supplementary Table S2). This resulted in a quadratic decline of energy digestibility ($P < 0.001$). Energy loss via CH₄ declined linearly. Overall, this decline was not sufficient to fully compensate for the fecal losses, causing a curvilinear decline in metabolizable energy supply as well ($P < 0.001$). Heat

1 production was not affected by hazel proportion, whereas milk energy ($P = 0.006$) and body
2 energy retention declined ($P = 0.003$) in absolute terms, and milk energy also declined in
3 proportionate terms ($P = 0.004$).
4
5

6
7 With increasing hazel proportion, fecal N increased in a quadratic manner ($P = 0.002$) and
8 urinary N decreased linearly ($P < 0.001$) in absolute levels g/day (Figure 3C; Supplementary
9 Table S2). When expressed per unit of N intake, both variables were changed linearly with
10 increasing hazel proportion ($P < 0.001$) (Figure 3D). Absolute milk N excretion changed in a
11 curvilinear manner ($P = 0.009$), with the decline becoming less pronounced at higher hazel
12 proportions. The N efficiency (milk N relative to N intake) was not affected by hazel proportion
13 in the diet. The same was true for body N retention both in absolute terms and per unit of N
14 intake.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Generally, CH₄ emissions declined with increasing dietary hazel proportion except when
27 related to intake of digestible aNDFom (Figure 4; Supplementary Table S4). The CH₄
28 emissions, in absolute terms, per BW, and per metabolic BW (presented in Supplementary
29 Table S4 only) declined significantly with increasing hazel proportions ($P < 0.001$) as well as
30 in a trend for ECM ($P = 0.077$). The regression for CH₄ per unit of digestible OM decreased
31 significantly in quadratic hazel proportion terms, with the decline becoming more pronounced
32 at higher hazel proportion ($P < 0.001$). The CH₄ per DMI and per GE intake (Y_m) declined
33 linearly ($P < 0.001$) and substantially with increasing hazel proportion.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **4. Discussion**

49

50
51 The variation in the pellets achieved by replacing the high-quality forage alfalfa with the
52 PSM-rich forage hazel leaves was large. The design thus allowed quite an even and large
53 gradient of hazel proportion to be realized in the diets consumed. The main nutritional changes
54 in the experimental pellets included a decrease in CP concentration by up to 0.33 compared to
55 the pellets without hazel leaves, and an increase in phenol and lignin(sa) concentration by up to
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

16.5- and 2.5-times, respectively. Especially the latter was likely associated with a substantial decline in net energy **concentration**. As the pellets made up 580 g/kg of total diet on average, the individual diets also varied extensively in nutrient composition. Phenol **concentrations** and their composition may vary in forage due to cultivar and environmental conditions (Wam et al., 2017). The TEP level of the hazel leaf batch used in the present study was 103 g/kg DM, which was similar to that used earlier *in vitro* by Terranova et al. (2018 and 2020), but it was higher than found in the batch used by Wang et al. (2018). In the latter study, equal proportions of CT and HT in the TT were found, whereas in the present experiment and in the *in vitro* studies (Terranova et al., 2018 and 2020), the TT mostly consisted of CT.

4.1. Absence of adverse effects on milk yield and feed intake

Contrary to the first hypothesis, the milk yield of the animals was not negatively affected when supplemented with increasing proportions of hazel leaves. This was not even the case at high hazel supplementation level. This is contrary to a number of studies where tannin-rich feed additives were tested for their CH₄ mitigation potential. In the study of Grainger et al. (2009), for example, the CT extract from *A. mearnsii* was found to be effective in CH₄ mitigation but at the same time a significant decrease in milk production occurred. The same extract was found to severely reduce body weight gain of lambs and had no effect on CH₄ emission (Adejoro et al., 2020). In general, it seems that small amounts of tannins may even improve performance of ruminants, including milk yield as shown by Barry and McNabb (1999) in grazing sheep and by Dschaak et al. (2011) in dairy cows. However, high dosages of tannins, as provided in the present study with the higher proportions of hazel leaves in the diet, may impair performance. Accordingly, 57 to 100 g of CT/kg diet from *Lotus pedunculatus* were enough to impair rates of body and wool growth in the study of Barry and McNabb (1999). Highly tanniferous leaves from tropical leguminous shrubs in the diet even led to a body weight loss of growing lambs when included at high proportions (Tiemann et al., 2008). Only one study (Alves et al. 2017) is

1 known to the authors that reported that mitigating greenhouse gas emissions from dairy cows
2 could be accomplished by using tannins without negative impacts on milk production. A low
3
4 level of supplementation of tanniferous feeds also remained without effect on milk yield or even
5
6 increased yield in the study of Maasdorp et al. (1999), but this was likely owed to the
7
8 improvement of the protein supply of the low-quality diet taking place with the supplements.
9
10

11 Despite the lower feeding value and the high concentrations of phenols and lignin(sa), the
12
13 acceptance of the pellets was high even when containing only a small proportion of alfalfa and
14
15 caused refusals in only one cow, which received pellets composed of 750 g hazel leaves/kg.
16
17 Apart from that, the tanniferous hazel leaves had no substantial effect on the relative DMI. This
18
19 was astonishing as highly tanniferous feeds are often of low palatability in domestic ruminants
20
21 (Frutos et al., 2004; Waghorn, 2008), as well as those high in lignin(sa) **concentration**, which is
22
23 known for long. In a recent preference study (Terranova et al., 2020), hazel leaves were shown
24
25 to be the most palatable out of six tannin-rich plants when processed with alfalfa to pellets.
26
27 Hazel leaves pelleted with alfalfa (in a 0.6:0.4 ratio) were also consumed well by adult sheep
28
29 (Wang et al., 2018). Further, Vandermeulen et al. (2016) showed that heifers, given the
30
31 opportunity to browse shrubs on pasture, chose hazel as one of the most preferred among 11
32
33 shrub species.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 *4.2. Gradual mitigation of methane*

44

45 In the present experiment, the CH₄ emissions clearly and substantially declined with
46
47 increasing hazel proportion, confirming the second hypothesis. The level of decline was similar
48
49 to that reported by Wang et al. (2018) with similar dietary hazel proportion. The major phenolic
50
51 compounds of hazel leaves are the flavanols myricetin 3-rhamnoside and quercetin 3-
52
53 rhamnoside, two CT (Amaral et al., 2005). The CT in several plants have been shown to have
54
55 the potential to mitigate enteric CH₄ (reviewed by Beauchemin et al., 2008; Martin et al., 2009).
56
57 In a meta-analysis, Jayanegara et al. (2012) observed a general linear relationship between
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 dietary tannin concentration and methanogenesis, similar to that found in the present study. The
2 decline found in the present study of 0.16 of the total CH₄ amount per g/kg of dietary TT was
3 nearly the same as that Jayanegara et al. (2012) calculated. It is well-known that reduced fiber
4 digestion reduces H₂ availability in the rumen, and this lack of substrate will decelerate the
5 activity of the methanogens (Moss et al., 2000). In the present study, the reduction in fiber
6 digestibility might indeed have been the cause of the CH₄ mitigating effect. Accordingly, the
7 CH₄ emission in relation to the intake of digestible aNDFom did not significantly respond to
8 hazel proportion. It therefore remains unclear whether the CH₄ mitigating effect of the hazel
9 leaves was caused by the tannins or the lignified fiber, or both.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 The mitigation of enteric CH₄ is only useful when related to a constant or less than
23 proportionate decline in intake, digestibility, and milk yield. Methane yield per unit of DMI or
24 Y_m declined by a similar magnitude to absolute CH₄ because relative intake was unchanged
25 with hazel proportion. However, when related to intake of digestible OM, the efficiency of CH₄
26 reduction was lower due to the adverse effects on digestion, illustrating that purely intake-
27 related CH₄ yields (per DMI, Y_m) may miss part of the information when digestibility is varying
28 at the same time. In addition, the relationship was no longer linear, indicating that there were
29 associative effects. When animals were fed with a mixture of forages with or without chicory,
30 Niderkorn et al. (2009 and 2019) found favorable associative effects mediated by digestive
31 interactions among plant components. Concerning the present context, Jayanegara et al. (2013)
32 showed *in vitro* that the combination of phenol-rich plants with a high-quality forage reduced
33 CH₄ not only in absolute terms but also per unit of short-chain fatty acids compared to single-
34 plant incubations. In the present study, the CH₄ emission intensity (i.e., in relation to ECM
35 yield) seemed to decline in a linear manner with increasing hazel proportion, though not
36 significantly. However, there was a curvilinear slope in CH₄ yield per unit of digestible OM,
37 indicating unfavorable associative effects. According to Jayanegara et al. (2012), a tannin level
38 of > 20 g/kg is needed to reduce CH₄ per unit of digestible OM effectively and substantially,

1 which was the case only at ≥ 250 g hazel leaves/kg diet DM in the present study. However, this
2 was when the decrease in CH₄ per unit of digestible OM was actually weakening, thus yielding
3 no explanation for the type of associative effects found.
4
5

6
7 Across the authors' *in vitro* (Terranova et al., 2018 and 2020) and *in vivo* studies (Wang et
8 al., 2018; present study), hazel leaves showed a quite constant and repeatable CH₄ mitigation
9 effect. The decrease in CH₄ yield per unit of OM digested was linear *in vitro* and non-linear *in*
10 *vivo* (Figure 5); i.e., associative effects were observed only *in vivo*. The CH₄ mitigation effect
11 in sheep and cows was very similar even though the basal diets were different, consisting of
12 hay in the sheep and a mixed basal ration in the cows. These observations are noteworthy, as
13 plant additives, promising when tested *in vitro*, are often less efficient when tested *in vivo* (only
14 $R^2 = 0.26$ according to Flachowsky and Lebzien, 2009).
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 4.3. Gradual shift of nitrogen from a volatile form to faecal nitrogen 29 30

31 Our third hypothesis on a gradual increase of the shift of nitrogen in the excreta from easily
32 volatile urinary nitrogen to less degradable faecal nitrogen was verified. In addition to CH₄
33 emissions, N emissions from manure are an environmental problem in animal production.
34 Tannins, especially CT, have the capacity to bind to forage proteins through hydrogen bonds.
35 These tannin-protein complexes are stable in a pH range from 3.5 to 8 and, therefore, under
36 ruminal conditions (Frutos et al., 2004). In the present experiment, there was no clear statistical
37 response to hazel proportion in apparent N digestibility, despite the increased fecal N excretion
38 while N intake expressed per kg ECM (see Supplementary Table S2) did not changed
39 significantly through the diets. This could indicate that the dietary N was bound by the tannins
40 to pass the rumen and could not be released in the lower gut and the animal was therefore not
41 able to metabolize it. This is supported by the sharp decline in milk urea levels. The protein
42 availability in the rumen, became so low with high hazel proportion and low alfalfa proportion
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 that notably low milk urea concentrations were found. However, the lack of effect on N
2 digestibility could indicate that not all dietary N was bound by the tannins.
3

4 Livestock systems account for 65% and 64% of all anthropogenic N₂O and NH₃ emissions
5 worldwide, respectively (Gerber et al., 2013). The N excretion with urine is a good marker for
6 the potential N emissions from manure, as it is easily volatile (Dijkstra et al., 2013). Therefore,
7 the substantial shift from urinary N to fecal N associated with increasing hazel proportion could
8 be considered highly favorable from an environmental point of view. About 60 to 90% of all
9 urinary N in cattle is excreted as urea that can be hydrolyzed rapidly after excretion to ammonia
10 (NH₃). The transformation of urine N to N₂O, happening especially in highly N-dense urine
11 patches on pasture (Giltrap et al., 2020) even let urinary N indicate potential nitrous oxides,
12 further greenhouse gases (Dijkstra et al., 2013). The N emissions can be reduced through a
13 number manure management methods, but reducing the N output by urine via dietary measures
14 is the most immediate counteracting measure effective before most technical measures get
15 active (Hristov et al., 2011). Diet effects on urine N losses were recovered as concomitant
16 changes in NH₃ and, less clear, N₂O emissions from manure in different manure storage systems
17 (Külling et al., 2003). In the present study, the hazel leaves were highly efficient in reducing
18 urine N losses and its proportion of total excreta N. In the present study, a number of indicators
19 of improvements in N efficiency with gradually increasing hazel proportion were evaluated.
20 These included absolute urine N excretion, urine N excretion relative to intake (“urine N
21 yield”), urine N excretion relative to milk N formation (“urine N emission intensity”), and N
22 losses with urea in milk and with urine relative to ECM yield. Also, in urinary N-related traits,
23 associative (non-linear) effects of exchanging alfalfa by hazel leaves could be expected. Indeed,
24 concerning urine N emission intensity (Figure 1E), a curve with a similar shape to that of CH₄
25 emission intensity (related to digestible OM) was found, indicating greater efficiency of greater
26 hazel proportion than smaller hazel proportion in mitigating urine N per kg of ECM. It remains
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 unexplained why milk urea **concentration** showed the opposite type of slope, i.e., large decline
2 at low hazel levels.
3
4
5
6

7 *4.4. Absence of favorable associative effects at low hazel doses*

8

9 The fourth hypothesis concerning the presence of favorable associative effects even at low
10 hazel doses was rejected. There was a clear decline in digestibility with increasing hazel
11 proportion. The digestibility of energy and OM, especially of fiber, declined with increasing
12 hazel proportion, as also found in sheep by Wang et al. (2018) with amounts of 50% DM hazel
13 in the diet. In the case of OM digestibility, the decline was slightly more pronounced between
14 0 and 200 g hazel leaves/kg, and hazel leaf proportion was less adverse above that level, thus
15 indicating a slightly unfavorable associative effect. In contrast, Van Soest (1994) found
16 favorable associative effects in digestibility when integrating a lower-quality feed into a high-
17 quality diet. The decline from 0.48 to 0.28 in aNDFom digestibility when increasing hazel
18 leaves from 0 to 414 g/kg was very pronounced. This was similar to the decline of 0.37 of the
19 total found by Wang et al. (2018) in adult, non-lactating sheep receiving 0 or 500 g hazel
20 leaves/kg DM. The lignin(sa) fraction in the diet has the property of decelerating physical and
21 microbial feed degradation in the rumen because of its association with hemicellulose and
22 cellulose (Waghorn, 2003). A direct effect of the tannins is not very likely, but the fiber
23 degrading microbes were also impaired by the decreasing dietary **concentration** of rumen-
24 degradable protein because of the declining CP **concentration** and the CP-binding activity of
25 the tannins. Compared to fiber digestibility, the effect of the hazel leaves on the OM
26 digestibility was weaker. The fiber digestibility showed a highly significant linear hazel
27 proportion effect, indicating that there were no associative effects. A reduced rate of fiber
28 digestion could also delay RR clearance and hence increase MRT, especially of particles in the
29 RR, but also in the entire GIT. In contrast, Melaku et al. (2005) found a faster passage of the
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

particulate matter when multipurpose trees were added to tef straw compared to adding wheat bran in sheep.

In the present study, the ruminal digesta washing (difference in MRTs between particles and fluids) was not affected by hazel leaf proportion. Therefore, the observed differences in digestion cannot be explained by different levels of digesta washing. Our results are in line with previous findings indicating that the difference between particle and fluid outflow from the rumen is kept constant across a range of diet types (Clauss et al., 2014; Grandl et al., 2018). Different from body N retention, body energy retention declined but in a curvilinear way with increasing hazel proportion. This indicates that the lower feeding value of the hazel leaves, compared to the alfalfa, had adverse effects on digestibility but were lower than anticipated.

The digestion of shrub leaves rich in PSC, like phenols and tannins may require a detoxification that costs the animal additional energy (White and Lawler, 2002). As a consequence, the changes in heat production should show the extent of this cost when comparing tannin-rich diets to low-tannin diets. However, the heat energy losses did not vary along the hazel proportion in the present study, which was consistent with findings of Wang et al. (2018). This could be explained by the high proportion of the indigestible CT in the total hazel leaf phenols of the present study, as in that case only few digestible phenols would have needed metabolic detoxification. This is confirmed by the absence of an increase in total phenol concentration in the blood plasma of sheep with dietary hazel proportions studied by Wang et al. (2019).

5. Conclusions

In the present experiment, feeding hazel leaves turned out to provide a promising way to mitigate **greenhouse** gas emission from dairy husbandry. It was shown to be a well palatable feed that is highly effective in mitigating CH₄ and, especially, urine N losses in dairy cows and especially without severely impairing milk yield when replacing alfalfa. This was astonishing

1 for a tannin-rich plant also when considering the clearly lower digestibility compared to alfalfa.
2 However, the associative effects concerning the relation to digestion and performance of both
3 emission sources, CH₄ and easily volatile urine N, were not on a favorable direction by low
4 hazel proportions, if any. Further studies have to confirm the absence of such beneficial
5 associative effects in livestock, especially at low hazel doses. A wider spread implementation
6 of the hazel shrub in animal nutrition would require an extension of cultivation. This could be
7 a limitation, but also could open dairy husbandry to further positive environmental effects, such
8 as a diversification of existing agricultural systems through the introduction of hazel shrubs.
9 Their integration into pasture systems, i.e. a switch to a silvopastoral system, would be another
10 possibility.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **CRedit authorship contribution statement**

28
29 **M. Terranova:** Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing -
30 original draft, Writing - review & editing. **L. Eggerschwiler:** Methodology, Writing - review
31 & editing **S. Ortmann:** Methodology, Writing - review & editing. **M. Clauss:** Methodology,
32 Writing - review & editing. **M. Kreuzer:** Conceptualization, Project administration,
33 Supervision, Writing - review & editing. **A. Schwarm:** Funding acquisition, Supervision,
34 Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing review & editing.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Declaration of Competing Interest**

47
48 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.
49
50
51
52

53 **Acknowledgments**

54
55 The authors are grateful to C. Kunz, P. Bucher, M. Mergani, E. Manzocchi, J. Weltheroth,
56 T. Denninger (ETH Zurich), H. Barleben (IZW Berlin), A. Simonett, T. Stiefel, M. Hunziker
57 and H. Renfer (Strickhof), as well as R. Stoz and S. Amelchanka (AgroVet-Strickhof) for their
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 assistance during the animal experiment and laboratory analyses. The project was funded by
2 ETH Zurich Research Grant no. ETH-4915-1.
3
4
5
6

7 **Appendix A. Supplementary tables**

8
9

10 Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:...

14 **References**

- 16 Adejoro, A.F., Hassen, A., Akanmu, A.M., Morgavi, D.P., 2020. Replacing urea with nitrate as
17 a non-protein nitrogen source increases lambs' growth and reduces methane production,
18 whereas acacia tannin has no effect. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 259, 114360.
19
20
21
22
23
24 Agroscope, 2020. Feeding Recommendations and Nutrient Tables for Ruminants (in German).
25 Online version. Accessed April 2020. <http://www.agroscope.admin.ch/futtermittel>
26 [datenbank/04834/](http://www.agroscope.admin.ch/futtermittel).
27
28
29
30
31 Akaike, H., 1974. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transact. Autom.*
32 *Contr.* 19, 716–723.
33
34
35
36 Alves, T.P., Dall-Orsoletta, A.C., Ribeiro-Filho, H.M.N. 2017 The effects of supplementing
37 *Acacia mearnsii* tannin extract on dairy cow dry matter intake, milk production, and
38 methane emission in a tropical pasture. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 49, 1663-1668.
39
40
41
42
43 Amaral, J.S., Ferreres, F., Andrade, P.B., Valentao, P., Pinheiro, C., Santos, A., Seabra, R.,
44 2005. Phenolic profile of hazelnut (*Corylus avellana* L.) leaves cultivars grown in Portugal.
45 *Nat. Prod. Res.* 19, 157–163.
46
47
48
49
50
51 AOAC, 1997. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists,
52 Arlington, VA.
53
54
55
56 Baker, D.L., Hobbs, N.T., 1987. Strategies of digestion: digestive efficiency and retention time
57 of forage diets in montane ungulates. *Can. J. Zool.* 65, 1978–1984.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2 Barry, T.N., McNabb, W.C., 1999. The implications of condensed tannins on the nutritive value
3 of temperate forages fed to ruminants. *Brit. J. Nutr.* 81, 263–272.
- 4
5 Beauchemin, K.A., Kreuzer, M., O'Mara, F., McAllister, T.A., 2008. Nutritional management
6 for enteric methane abatement: A review. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* 48, 21–27.
- 7
8
9 Bodas, R., López, S., Fernández, M., García-González, R., Rodríguez, A.B., Wallace, R.J.,
10
11 González, J.S., 2008. *In vitro* screening of the potential of numerous plant species as
12
13 antimethanogenic feed additives for ruminants. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 145, 245–258.
- 14
15
16 Brouwer, E., 1965. Report of sub-committee on constants and factors. In: Blaxter, K.L. (Ed.)
17
18 Energy Metabolism of Farm Animals. Third Symposium on Energy Metabolism, EAAP
19
20 Publ. 11, Academic Press, London, UK, pp. 441–443.
- 21
22
23 Chwalibog, A., Jensen, K., Thorbek, G., 1996. Oxidation of nutrients in bull calves treated with
24
25 β -adrenergic agonists. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.* 49, 255–261.
- 26
27
28 Clauss, M., Schiele, K., Ortmann, S., Fritz, J., Codron, D., Hummel, J., Kienzle, E., 2014. The
29
30 effect of very low food intake on digestive physiology and forage digestibility in horses. *J.*
31
32 *Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 98, 107–118.
- 33
34
35 Dijkstra, J., Oenema, O., van Groenigen, J., Spek, J., van Vuuren, A., Bannik, A., 2013. Diet
36
37 effects on urine composition of cattle and N₂O emissions. *Animal* 7, 292–302.
- 38
39
40 Dschaak, C.M., Williams, C.M., Holt, M.S., Eun, J.-S., Young, A.J., Min, B.R. 2011. Effects
41
42 of supplementing condensed tannin extract on intake, digestion, ruminal fermentation, and
43
44 milk production of lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 94, 2508–2519.
- 45
46
47 Flachowsky, G., Lebzien, P., 2009. Comments on *in vitro* studies with methane inhibitors.
48
49 *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 151, 337–339.
- 50
51
52 Frutos, P., Hervas, G., Giráldez, F., Mantecón, A., 2004. Tannins and ruminant nutrition.
53
54 *Spanish J. Agric. Res.* 2, 191–202.
- 55
56
57 Gerber, P.J., Steinfeld, H., Henderson, B., Mottet, A., Opio, C., Dijkman, J., Falcucci, A.,
58
59 Tempio, G., 2013. Tackling Climate Change through Livestock – A Global Assessment of
60
61
62
63
64
65

Emissions and Mitigation Opportunities. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, Italy.

Giltrap, D., Jolly, B., Bishop, P., Luo, J., Bates, G., Lindsey, S., Berben, P., Palmada, T., Saggar, S., 2020. Modelling 3D urine patch spread in grazed pasture soils to determine potential inhibitor effectiveness. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environm.* 292, article 106809

Grainger, C., Clarke, T., Auldist, M.J., Beauchemin, K.A., McGinn, S.M., Waghorn, G.C., Eckard, R. J. 2009. Potential use of *Acacia mearnsii* condensed tannins to reduce methane emissions and nitrogen excretion from grazing dairy cows. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 89, 241–251.

Grandl, F., Schwarm, A., Ortmann, S., Furger, M., Kreuzer, M., Clauss, M., 2018. Kinetics of solutes and particles of different size in the digestive tract of cattle of 0.5–10 years of age, and relationships with methane production. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 102, 639–651.

Grandl, F., Luzi, S.P. Furger, M., Zeitz, J.O., Leiber, F., Ortmann, S., Clauss, M., Kreuzer, M., Schwarm, A., 2016a. Biological implications of longevity in dairy cows: 1. Changes in feed intake, feeding behaviour, and digestion with age. *J. Dairy Sci.* 99, 3457–3471.

Grandl, F., Amelchanka, S.L., Furger, M., Clauss, M., Zeitz, J.O., Kreuzer, M., Schwarm, A., 2016b. Biological implications of longevity in dairy cows: 2. Changes in methane emissions and efficiency with age. *J. Dairy Sci.* 99, 3472–3485.

Grovum, W., Williams, V., 1973. Rate of passage of digesta in sheep: 4. Passage of marker through the alimentary tract and the biological relevance of rate-constant derived from the changes in concentration of marker in faeces. *Brit. J. Nutr.* 30, 313–329.

Hoffmann, L., Klein, M., 1980. Die Abhängigkeit der Harnenergie vom Kohlenstoff- und Stickstoffgehalt im Harn bei Rindern, Schafen, Schweinen und Ratten. *Archiv für Tierernährung* 30, 743–750.

Hristov, A.N., Oh, J., Firkins, J.D., Dijkstra, J., Kebreab, E., Waghorn, G., Makkar, H.P.S., Adesogan, A.T., Yang, W., Lee, C., Gerber, P.J., Henderson, B., Tricarico, J.M., 2013.

Mitigation of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from animal operations: I. A review of enteric methane mitigation options. *J. Anim. Sci.* 91, 5045–5069.

Hristov, A.N., Hanigan, M., Cole, A., Todd, R., McAllister, T.A., Ndegwa, P.M., Rotz, A., 2011. Review: Ammonia emissions from dairy farms and beef feedlots. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 91, 1–35.

Huhtanen, P., Kukkonen, U., 1995. Comparison of methods, markers, sampling sites and models for estimating digesta passage kinetics in cattle fed at two levels of intake. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 52, 141–158.

Jayanegara, A., Marquardt, S., Wina, E., Kreuzer, M., Leiber, F., 2013. *In vitro* indications for favourable non-additive effects on ruminal methane mitigation between high-phenolic and high-quality forages. *Brit. J. Nutr.* 109, 615–622.

Jayanegara, A., Leiber, F., Kreuzer, M., 2012. Meta-analysis of the relationship between dietary tannin level and methane formation in ruminants from *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 96, 365–375.

Jayanegara, A., Marquardt, S., Kreuzer, M., F. Leiber, F., 2011. Nutrient and energy content, *in vitro* ruminal fermentation characteristics and methanogenic potential of alpine forage plant species during early summer. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 91, 1863–1870.

Külling, D.R., Menzi, H., Sutter, F., Lischer, P., Kreuzer, M., 2003. Ammonia, nitrous oxide and methane emissions from differently stored dairy manure derived from grass- and hay-based rations. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 65, 13–22.

Maasdorp, B.V., Muchenje, V., Titterton, M., 1999. Palatability and effect on dairy cow milk yield of dried fodder from the forage trees *Acacia boliviana*, *Calliandra calothyrsus* and *Leucaena leucocephala*. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 77, 49–59.

Makkar, H.P.S., 2003. Quantification of Tannins in Tree and Shrub Foliage: A Laboratory Manual. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

- 1 Makkar, H.P.S., 2018. Feed demand landscape and implications of food-not feed strategy for
2 food security and climate change. *Animal* 12, 1744–1754.
3
- 4 Martin, C., Morgavi, D.P., Doreau, M., 2009. Methane mitigation in ruminants: from microbe
5 to the farm scale. *Animal* 4, 351–365.
6
- 7 Melaku, S., Peters, K., A. Tegegne, A., 2005. Intake, digestibility and passage rate in Menz
8 sheep fed tef (*Eragrostis tef*) straw supplemented with dried leaves of selected multipurpose
9 trees, their mixtures or wheat bran. *Small Rumin. Res.* 56, 139–149.
10
- 11 Moss, A.R., Jouany, J.-P., Newbold, J., 2000. Methane production by ruminants: its
12 contribution to global warming. *Ann. Zootech.* 49, 231–253.
13
- 14 Niderkorn, V., Martin, C., Bernard, M., Le Morvan, A., Rochette, Y., Baumont, R., 2019. Effect
15 of increasing the proportion of chicory in forage-based diets in intake and digestion by
16 sheep. *Animal* 13, 718–726.
17
- 18 Niderkorn, V., Baumont, R., 2009. Associative effects between forages on feed intake and
19 digestion in ruminants. *Animal* 3, 951–960.
20
- 21 Terranova, M., Kreuzer, M., Braun, U., A. Schwarm, A., 2018. *In vitro* screening of temperate
22 climate forages from a variety of woody plants for their potential to mitigate ruminal
23 methane and ammonia formation. *J. Agric. Sci.* 156, 929–941.
24
- 25 Terranova, M., Wang, S., Eggerschwiler, L., Braun, U., Kreuzer, M., Schwarm, A., 2020. Dose-
26 response effects of woody and herbaceous forage plants on *in vitro* ruminal methane and
27 ammonia formation, and their short-term palatability in lactating cows. *Animal*, 14, 538–
28 548.
29
- 30 Thielemans, M.-F., Francois, E., Bodard, C., Thewis, A., Dupont, F., Lallemand, L., C.
31 Malburny, C., 1978. Mesure du transit gastrointestinal chez le porc a l'aide des
32 radiolanthanides. Comparaison avec le mouton. *Ann. Biol. Anim. Biochim. Biophys.* 18,
33 237–247.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Tiemann, T.T., Lascano, C.E., Wettstein, H.-R., Mayer, A.C., Kreuzer, M., Hess, H.D., 2008. Effect of the tropical tannin-rich shrub legumes *Calliandra calothyrsus* and *Flemingia macrophylla* on methane emission, nitrogen and energy balance in growing lambs. *Animal* 2, 790–799
- Van Soest, P.J., Robertson, J.B., Lewis, B.A., 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74, 3583–3597.
- Van Soest, P.J., 1994. Nutritional ecology of the ruminant. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, USA.
- Vandermeulen, S., Ramírez-Restrepo, C.A., Marche, C., Decruyenaere, V., Beckers, Y., Bindelle, J., 2016. Behaviour and browse species selectivity of heifers grazing in a temperate silvopastoral system. *Agrofor. Syst.* 92, 705–716.
- VDLUFA, 2012. Methodenbuch Band 3: Die chemische Untersuchung von Futtermitteln. Verband deutscher landwirtschaftlicher Untersuchungs- und Forschungsanstalten. VDLUFA-Verlag, Darmstadt, Germany
- Waghorn, G.C., 2008. Beneficial and detrimental effects of dietary condensed tannins for sustainable sheep and goat production – Progress and challenges. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 147, 116–139.
- Waghorn, G.C., McNabb, W.C., 2003. Consequences of plant phenolic compounds for productivity and health of ruminants. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 62, 383–392.
- Wam, H.K., Stolter, C., Nybakken, L., 2017. Compositional changes in foliage phenolics with plant age, a natural experiment in Boreal forests. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 43, 920–928.
- Wang, S., Terranova, M., Kreuzer, M., Marquardt, S., Eggerschwiler, L., Schwarm, A., 2018. Supplementation of pelleted hazel (*Corylus avellana*) leaves decreases methane and urinary nitrogen emissions by sheep at unchanged forage intake. *Sci. Rep.* 8, article 5427. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-23572-3.

1 Wang, S., Giller, K., Hillmann, E., Marquardt, S., Schwarm, A. 2019. Effect of supplementation
2 of pelleted hazel (*Corylus avellana*) leaves on blood antioxidant activity, cellular immune
3 response and heart beat parameters in sheep. *J. Anim. Sci.* 97, 4496–4502.
4
5

6
7 White, R.G., Lawler, J.P., 2002. Can methane suppression during digestion of woody and leafy
8 browse compensate for energy costs of detoxification of plant secondary compounds? A
9 test with muskoxen fed willows and birch. *Comp. Biochem. Phys. A* 133, 849–859.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1
2 **Figure 1.** Dry matter (DM) intake relative to metabolic body weight (BW) (A) as allocated
3
4 considering individual milk yield, and changes in energy-corrected milk (ECM; B), feed conversion
5
6 efficiency (C), milk urea concentration (D) and urinary-N to milk N ratio (E) occurring with increasing
7
8 dietary hazel leaf proportion (for regression equations and coefficients see Supplementary Table S1 and
9
10 S2). When the regression model was not significant a dashed regression line was drawn. Equations of
11
12 the ECM related variables (B, C) were calculated without the value of the cow receiving no hazel leaves.
13
14 *P*-values of the equations are presented in the legend.

15
16
17 **Figure 2.** Mean retention time (MRT; GIT, gastrointestinal tract (A); RR, reticulorumen (B)) and
18
19 digestibility of organic matter (OM) and aNDFom (C) occurring with increasing dietary hazel leaf
20
21 proportion (for regression equations and coefficients see Supplementary Tables S1 and S3). *P*-values of
22
23 the equations are presented in the legend.

24
25
26 **Figure 3.** Energy losses (A) and partitioning (B) as well as nitrogen losses (C) and partitioning (D)
27
28 occurring with increasing dietary hazel leaf proportion (for regression equations and coefficients see
29
30 Supplementary Table S2). *P*-values of the equations are presented in the legend.

31
32
33 **Figure 4.** Methane (CH₄) emission (A: absolute; B: per dry matter (DM) intake C: per digestible
34
35 organic matter (OM); D per digestible aNDFom; E: per energy-corrected milk (ECM)) occurring with
36
37 increasing dietary hazel leaf proportion (for regression equations and coefficients see Supplementary
38
39 Table S4). When the regression model was not significant a dashed regression line was drawn. Methane
40
41 emission per unit of ECM was calculated without the value of the cow receiving no hazel leaves.

42
43
44 **Figure 5.** Methane (CH₄) production per unit of digestible organic matter (OM) with increasing
45
46 dietary hazel leaf proportion in *in vitro* (Terranova et al., 2018 and 2019) and *in vivo* experiments (sheep,
47
48 Wang et al. 2018; cows, present study). For cow CH₄/digestible OM see Supplementary Table S4; Sheep
49
50 CH₄/digestible OM = $30.3 + 6.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ hazel} - 3.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ hazel}^2$; *in vitro* CH₄/digestible OM = $36.6 -$
51
52 0.021 hazel . *P*-values of the equations are presented in the legend.




Figure 1




Figure 2.






Figure 3.


A




B



C



D



E




Figure 4.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Figure 5.

Table 1. Diet composition and analyzed chemical composition of diet ingredients

Item	Mixed basal ration (MBR)				Compensatory feeds ^{1,2}		Pellet ingredients	
	Grass silage	Corn silage	Hay	Concentrate	Soybean meal	Wheat flakes	Hazel leaves	Alfalfa
g/kg total dietary DM	380	550	2.0	5.0	217 ± 83 g/kg MBR			
Composition, g/kg DM								
Dry matter, g/kg	355	354	874	970	886	889	915	919
Organic matter	878	967	887	810	927	982	923	893
Crude protein	171	73	124	322	547	130	122	178
Ether extract	27.9	34.3	16.5	20.6	13.2	18.5	16.6	17.8
aNDFom	603	488	439	147	137	537	457	489
ADFom	387	299	344	111	93	129	408	447
Lignin(sa)	73.9	50.0	64.5	58.7	17.2	62.5	237.5	146.5
Total extractable phenols	13.8	8.8	12.8	4.6	3.6	1.2	103.2	9.9
Non-tannin phenols	11.5	7.3	9.9	4.2	3.0	0.8	21.8	8.6
Total tannins	2.23	1.50	2.89	0.35	0.50	0.37	81.41	1.27
Condensed tannins	0.31	0.08	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.29	0.009
Hydrolysable tannins	1.91	1.41	2.58	0.35	0.50	0.37	2.12	1.18
GE (MJ/kg DM)	18.0	18.4	14.7	14.7	19.7	18.3	19.1	18.2

aNDFom, neutral detergent fiber assayed with α -amylase and corrected for ash concentration; ADFom, acid detergent fiber corrected for ash concentration; DM, dry matter; GE, gross energy; lignin(sa), lignin determined by solubilization of cellulose with sulfuric acid.

¹Offered in a ratio of 1:1 and used to balance the energy and protein concentration of the different pellets and to account for different milk yields.

²Each cow received 80 g/day of a mineral-vitamin mix containing, per kg, 160 g calcium, 100 g magnesium, 80 g phosphorus, 32 g sodium, 10 g sulfur, 8 g zinc, 4 g manganese, 1 g copper, 100 mg iodine, 30 mg selenium, 30 mg cobalt, 1'200'000 IU vitamin A, 200'000 IU vitamin D₃, 3 g vitamin E, 150 mg biotin, and, in addition, 40 g/d of sodium chloride.

Table 2. Ingredient composition and analyzed chemical composition of the individual experimental pellets.

Pellet ingredient composition, g/kg DM																				
Hazel,	0	88	175	263	351	438	464	490	516	542	567	593	619	645	671	697	722	748	774	800
Alfalfa	970	882	795	707	619	532	506	480	454	428	403	377	351	325	299	273	248	222	222	170
Molasses	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Pellet ingredients, g/kg DM																				
Hazel	0	88	175	263	351	438	464	490	516	542	567	593	619	645	671	697	722	748	774	800
Alfalfa	970	882	795	707	619	532	506	480	454	428	403	377	351	325	299	273	248	222	196	170
Molasses	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Pellet chemical composition, g/kg DM																				
Organic matter	884	892	893	896	901	903	906	906	905	910	912	914	914	913	909	916	917	896	918	920
Crude protein	190	171	169	163	163	151	151	148	151	145	144	143	143	136	134	136	133	135	132	128
Ether extract	22.4	17.8	18.3	16.8	19.5	18.3	19.1	18.4	17.8	18.4	19.4	20.9	21.5	20.1	19.7	22.1	21.6	19.9	21.0	19.4
aNDFom	407	486	481	466	483	488	483	475	523	512	522	486	512	505	498	500	511	492	486	481
ADFom	383	428	398	400	417	425	474	419	413	397	491	398	472	405	371	391	499	416	423	411
Lignin(sa)	91	148	138	166	229	168	175	171	183	175	227	173	194	172	180	181	224	199	194	191
Total phenols	5.2	18.3	23.6	33.2	48.5	46.4	49.5	57.2	62.9	66.2	67.0	68.1	69.4	73.6	77.2	83.4	83.8	81.6	85.7	86.2
Non-tannin phenols	4.5	10.4	11.1	13.1	16.7	14.2	14.4	14.9	16.1	17.1	18.0	16.9	16.8	17.5	18.6	19.9	18.9	18.5	19.2	19.5
Total tannins	0.8	8.0	12.5	20.0	31.8	32.2	35.1	42.2	45.1	49.2	45.6	48.8	52.7	56.1	58.7	63.5	64.9	63.1	66.5	64.9
Condensed tannins	0.3	4.0	7.6	14.1	24.2	24.9	27.1	32.9	37.2	35.0	34.4	37.3	41.5	47.6	49.4	46.6	48.9	49.1	49.2	49.3
Hydrolyz. tannins	0.5	4.0	4.9	5.9	7.6	7.3	8.1	9.3	7.0	6.6	11.2	9.5	11.2	5.5	9.2	6.3	16.1	14.1	19.4	15.5
GE (MJ/kg DM)	17.9	17.6	17.7	18.0	18.1	18.2	18.4	17.9	18.0	17.7	18.7	17.9	18.4	18.2	18.2	18.4	18.4	18.3	18.5	18.5

aNDFom, neutral detergent fiber assayed with α -amylase and corrected for ash concentration; ADFom, acid detergent fiber corrected for ash concentration; DM, dry matter; DMI, dry matter intake; GE, gross energy; Hazel, hazel leaves; lignin(sa), lignin determined by solubilization of cellulose with sulfuric acid.

¹There was a certain variation in dietary pellet proportion due to cow-specific amounts of compensatory feeds.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

M. Terranova: Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **L. Eggerschwiler:** Methodology, Writing - review & editing. **S. Ortmann:** Methodology, Writing - review & editing. **M. Clauss:** Methodology, Writing - review & editing. **M. Kreuzer:** Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. **A. Schwarm:** Funding acquisition, Supervision, Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing review & editing



Click here to access/download

Supplementary Material

Terranova_AFST_R3_Supplementary_Material_submitted.docx