1 **Protein characteristics in grass-clover silages according to wilting rate and**
2 **fermentation pattern**

3

4 Anne Kjersti Bakken*†, Merko Vaga**¶, Mårten Hetta**¶, Åshild Taksdal Randby*** and

5 Håvard Steinshamn*‡

6 *NIBIO – Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research, †Stjørdal, Norway and ‡Tingvoll,

7 Norway, ¶**Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Agricultural

8 Research for Northern Sweden, Umeå, Sweden, ***Norwegian University of Life Sciences,

9 Department of Animal and Aquacultural Sciences, Ås, Norway.

10

11 Short title: Protein characteristics in grass-clover silages

12

13 Correspondence to: A. K. Bakken, NIBIO – Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research,

14 Kvithamar, NO-7512 Stjørdal, Norway

15

Abstract

17 Effects of wilting rate and fermentation stimulators and inhibitors on protein characteristics of

18 forages typical for organic production were assessed using traditional analytical methods and

19 a gas production *in vitro* assay. The hypotheses were that the proportion of the crude protein

20 (CP) fraction being soluble would be lowest, and the protein feed value highest, under rapid

21 wilting and restricted fermentation. The solubility of the CP fraction varied according to

22 treatments and between a first and a second cut with moderate and high content of clover,

23 respectively. It was, however, of minor importance for the protein value, both calculated as

24   amino acids absorbed in the small intestine (AAT_{20}) and estimated as effective utilisable

25   crude protein (uCP_{04}) by the *in vitro* assay. In ensiled herbage, AAT_{20} was highest in rapidly

26   wilted and restrictedly fermented silages made from a first cut dominated by highly digestible

27   grasses. Silages from the second cut dominated by red clover were far lower in AAT_{20}. The *in*

28   *vitro* assay did not separate silages according to herbage composition or wilting rate, but

29   ranked restrictedly fermented above extensively fermented with regard to protein supply. The

30   assay might still have caught the characteristics that determine the true protein value *in vivo*.

31

32   ***Keywords:*** *in vitro* gas production, red clover, utilizable protein

Introduction

34   At northern latitudes, dairy production based on forage from leys of grass and clover is

35   regarded as a sustainable system for production of high quality food from local resources

36   (Janzen, 2011; Norwegian Government, 2011). Still, the utilization of nitrogen in the system

37   is pin pointed as a crucial challenge (Bleken *et al.*, 2005). One of the main reasons for the

38   relatively low nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) is the low marginal response in the animal to

39   increased crude protein (CP) concentration in the diets (Huhtanen and Hristov, 2009). An

40   option to improve the NUE is therefore to enhance the utilisation of forage protein, which is

41   especially relevant in organic dairy production where the proportion of forages in the diets is

42   relatively high (Commission, 2007; Tine Rådgivning, 2014).

43

44   Improving the utilization of forage protein in ruminants is challenging. One option is by

45   manipulating the forage quality by i) modifying the botanical composition of the sward, e.g.

46   introducing legumes containing bioactive components such as tannins and polyphenoloxidase

47   (PPO). These compounds may reduce the exposure of the protein to rumen degradation

48   (Coblentz and Grabber, 2013; Eickler *et al.*, 2011; Lee *et al.*, 2008) and increase the flow of

49   feed protein from the rumen (Vanhatalo *et al.*, 2009), ii) increase the wilting rate during

50   preservation and thereby inhibit the natural proteolysis that is induced in plants cells after

51   harvest (Edmunds *et al.*, 2014; Verbič *et al.*, 1999), and iii) adding additives in order to

52   rapidly lower pH and regulate or prevent proteolysis and fermentation of easily degradable

53   carbohydrates (Jatkauskas and Vrotniakiene, 2009; McDonald *et al.*, 1991; Van Soest, 1994).

54   The extent of protein degradation during preservation may theoretically affect both the NUE

55   and the animal performance. For instance, high levels of NH_4-N in the feed may reduce intake

56   and the ability of high yielding ruminants to utilize the N (McDonald *et al.* 1991). However, a

57   meta-analysis of dairy cow production experiments performed by Huhtanen *et al.* (2008a),

58   revealed no evidence that animal yield and NUE were affected by the proportion of soluble

59   non-ammonia N in silages beyond its influence on dry matter intake (DMI).

60

61   The utilisation of forage protein in the animal is most precisely determined in feeding

62   experiments where total inputs and outputs are carefully accounted for. Such studies are,

63   however, laborious and expensive, and several analytical methods have been developed

64   during the last decades to imitate metabolic processes of protein utilisation *in vivo*. One major

65   challenge in developing laboratory methods has been to mimic and account for the complex

66   interactions between feed protein degradation, energy supply and microbial protein synthesis

67   in the rumen (e.g. Broderick, 1987; Lorenz *et al.*, 2011). This complexity was demonstrated in

68   a meta-analysis of Huhtanen and Hristov (2009) who found that metabolizable energy (ME)

69   intake was the main factor influencing milk protein yield and not the protein quality

70   determined by the *in situ* technique (Ørskov and McDonald, 1979).

71

In the Nordic countries of Europe, the protein value of feed for ruminants is described as the concentrations of amino acids absorbed in the small intestine (AAT) and the protein balance in the rumen (PBV). The unit AAT is equivalent to the more internationally used parameter metabolizable protein (MP). In the feed evaluation system NorFor™ (Volden, 2011), standard feed AAT_{20} value is calculated from the concentrations of CP, soluble CP (sCP), and ME at an estimated feed intake of 20 kg dry matter (DM) per day. During the last decades, several alternative analytical methods using *in vitro* gas production and the release of ammonia for feed protein evaluation have been developed and refined (Edmunds *et al.*, 2012; Karlsson *et al.*, 2009; Raab *et al.*, 1983; Zhao and Lebzien, 2000). Edmunds *et al.* (2012) estimated the expected supply of effective utilisable crude protein at the duodenum (uCP) at different rumen passage rates from remaining non-ammonia N after *in vitro* incubations of feed samples in buffered rumen fluid. The method aims to produce a feed value representing an estimate of the sum of microbial *de novo* protein synthesis in the rumen and rumen undegraded feed protein that reaches the small intestine.

Although the effects of wilting and silage additives on the quality of silages have been carefully studied, no investigations have been carried out where the combined effect has been evaluated by the new *in vitro* technique of uCP (Edmunds *et al.* 2012). The objective of the present study was to examine the effects of wilting rate and the addition of fermentation stimulators and inhibitors on protein characteristics of forages typical for organic production, using traditional analytical methods and the new *in vitro* technique described by Edmunds *et al.* (2012).

Our expectations were: 1) The proportion of the CP fraction being solubilized during preservation would be lowest under rapid wilting and restricted fermentation. 2) The effect of

97 preservation method would be lower in a second cut dominated by red clover than in a first

98 cut dominated by grasses, and 3) the protein feed value would be highest in forages with

99 lowest proportion of solubilized CP. 4) The effective uCP content as analysed by a gas *in*

100 *vitro* technique would reflect organic matter digestibility and CP characteristics as determined

101 by traditional methods, and be an efficient measure for protein quality in silages.

102

Material and methods

Experimental design

105 The study comprised two different forage types that originated from two cuts (spring growth

106 and regrowth after first cut) of an organically managed grass-clover ley. After harvest, the

107 crop was wilted at two rates, and fermented without or with additives (formic acid, FA, and

108 lactic acid bacteria, LAB), and later analysed for chemical composition, fermentation

109 products and *in vitro* and *in situ* characteristics. The design was factorial with 2 cuts × 2

110 wilting rates × 3 additive treatments. There were three field replicates of all wilting rates and

111 additive combinations within harvests.

Establishment of the grass-clover crop

113 The crop was sown at a seeding rate of 26 kg ha^{-1} in three replicated blocks in June 2011 at

114 the Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research in Stjørdal (63°30'N, 10°54'E). The seed

115 mixture contained (w/w) 38% timothy (*Phleum pratense,* cv.'Grindstad'), 19% meadow

116 fescue (*Festuca pratensis,* cv. 'Stella'), 12% ryegrass (*Lolium perenne,* cvs 'Figgjo', 'Prana',

117 'Calibra', 'Aston Energy', 'Birger', 'Dunluce', 'Fia' and *Lolium boucheanum*, cv. 'Storm')

118 and 31% red clover (*Trifolium pratense*, cv. 'Varte'). Before sowing, 50 kg total N ha^{-1} was

119 applied from swine manure. The crop was not fertilized further, neither in 2011 nor in 2012.

Harvests and evaluation of botanical composition

At all harvests the crop was cut at a stubble height of 7 cm by a plot harvester without conditioner. In the year of establishment, two harvests were taken on 3 August and 6 September. In the experimental year (2012), the first cut was taken on 11 June and the second on 27 July, 614 d° (base temperature 0 °C) later. Botanical composition was evaluated visually, and the proportion of red clover was further determined in dried yield samples by Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) (Fystro and Lunnan, 2006).

In the first cut, timothy and perennial ryegrass were the dominating grass species, and constituted 25 and 30% of the DM yield, respectively. Their phenological stage of development was 2.42 and 2.44, according to Mean Stage by Count (MSC) (Moore *et al.*, 1991), which corresponds to late stem elongation. Red clover constituted 30% of the DM yield, and the shoots had developed stems with two or more internodes, but no flower buds were visible or palpable. In the second cut, red clover constituted 76% of the DM yield, and perennial ryegrass, with 40% of the tillers elongated or reproductive, was the dominating grass species. The red clover was generative at this harvest, and half of of the shoots had developed buds and/or flowers. The DM yield in the first and second cut was 5000 and 4100 kg ha^{-1}, respectively.

Wilting and chopping

The fresh crop was moved indoors for immediate sampling and wilting. For all operations, three replicates (which originated from three different blocks in the field), each of 50 kg fresh matter (FM) were handled successively. Samples (1 kg FM each) of the fresh crop were dried at 60 °C for DM determination and later analyses.

The wilting treatments were arranged in a drying hall with forced air inlet on the floor. Swaths of the crop were left on steel frames strapped with a nylon mesh about 1.5 m above the floor.

144 The series of air inlets beneath were switched on and off individually to manage rapid and

145 slow wilting to the targeted DM concentration (240 g kg^{-1}), which was reached after 7.5 and

146 24.5 hours for both cuts. The temperature in the hall and of the forced air was about the same

147 as the ambient outdoor temperature on respective occasions. For the period 11-12 June, the

148 mean air temperature was 10.3°C and for the period 27-28 July it was 15.7°C. The wilted crop

149 was chopped at a length of 1 to 2 cm by a "Hans-Ulrich Hege Saatzuchtmaschinen"

150 (Hohebuck, Waldenburg, Germany). Two kg of each replicate of chopped material was

151 immediately dried at 60°C. The rest was preserved as silage.

152 **Preservation**

153 The wilted and chopped crops were preserved as silage in evacuated and sealed polyethylene

154 bags with 4 ml kg FM^{-1} of different types of additives: 1) tap water (Control treatment (C)), 2)

155 FA (850 g kg^{-1}), and 3) LAB, using Kofasil® Lac (1.25 g L^{-1}) (Addcon Europe, Bonn,

156 Germany). Kofasil® Lac contained two homofermentative strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum*,

157 minimum 2×10^{10} colony forming units (cfu) g^{-1}, and 10^5 cfu were thus applied per g FM of

158 the wilted crop.

159 Each polyethylene bag (6 L) contained the equivalent of about 300 g DM (50 g L^{-1}) of the

160 plant material and was evacuated for 18 seconds and sealed by use of a Magic Vac™ Maxima

161 (Flaem Nuova S.p.A, Brescia, Italy). Two bags were ensiled for each treatment. All silage

162 bags were stored in a dark room with an ambient temperature of 18°C for three months.

163 Thereafter silages from the two bags from each treatment replicate were thoroughly mixed.

164 One subsample of the mixed content from the two bags was immediately frozen at -20°C for

165 later analyses of fermentation products and DM content as outlined below. Another

166 subsample was dried at 60 °C.

167 **Sample preparation and chemical analyses**

After drying, the subsamples of fresh and wilted herbage, and silages, were split in two and

ground through a 2.0-mm screen for *in situ* incubations and a 1.0-mm screen for *in vitro* and

chemical and NIRS analyses using a Tecator Cyclotec 1093 mill (Foss Tecator AB, Höganäs,

Sweden). The samples of herbage and silages were thereafter analysed for DM (105°C for 16

h), ash (525°C for 6 h; AOAC (1984); method 923.03), CP (AOAC 1984; method 7.015) and

the concentration of buffer soluble CP (sCP) as described by Hedqvist and Udén (2006).

Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) concentrations (Mertens, 2002) were determined using heat

stable α-amylase and sodium sulphite using the ANKOM^{200} Fiber Analyser (Ankom

Technology Corp., Macedon, NY). The NDF values are expressed exclusive of residual ash.

Water-soluble carbohydrates (WSC) and starch were analysed according to the procedure of

Larsson and Bengtsson (1983). After thawing, the freshly frozen silage samples were

analysed for pH, and content of lactic acid, propionic acid, formic acid , acetic acid, butyric

acid and ethanol (Ericson and Andrè, 2010), and NH_4-N (the volatile N fraction in silage juice

distilled on a Kjeltech Autosystem 1030, Tecator AB, Höganäs, Sweden). The DM

concentrations of the silages were corrected for volatile losses as described by Åkerlind *et al.*

(2011).

***In situ* and *in vitro* measurements**

All animals used for *in vitro* and *in situ* procedures were treated and kept with permission

from the Swedish Ethical Committee on Animal Research represented by the Court of Appeal

for Northern Norrland in Umeå, Sweden. Concentrations of indigestible NDF (iNDF) were

determined by a 288-h *in situ* incubation (Huhtanen *et al.*, 1994) using three ruminally

cannulated lactating Swedish Red cows yielding 27 kg energy corrected milk (ECM) day^{-1}.

They were fed grass silage and a commercial concentrate (0.60 : 0.40 on DM basis) in

amounts regulated to meet production requirements. Samples of 2 g were weighed into pre-

weighed polyester bags with a pore size of 12 μm and a pore area equal to 6 per cent of the

193   total surface (Saatifil PES 12/6; Saatitech S. P.A., Veniano, Como, Italy). The internal

194   dimensions of the nylon bags and sample size were adjusted to give a sample size to surface

195   area ratio of 10 mg cm^{-2}. After removal from the rumen, the bags were rinsed in a domestic

196   washing machine (the rinsing cycle of the wool wash program including three times washing

197   for 2.5 min per washing (including the time for filling of water) using approximately 8°C

198   water (Electrolux Wascator W75MP; AB Electrolux, Stockholm, Sweden), boiled 1 h in

199   neutral detergent solution (NDS) including sodium sulphite (100 ml g^{-1}) of sample (Mertens *et*

200   *al*., 2002), thoroughly rinsed, dried at 60°C for 24 h and weighed. Concentrations of iNDF

201   were expressed exclusive of residual ash.

202   The *in vitro* production of gas (GP) was recorded as described by Hetta *et al.* (2003)

203   simultaneously with determination of the concentration of uCP at 8 h and 48 h as described by

204   Edmunds *et al*. (2012). The *in vitro* procedures were performed with a fully automated system

205   (Cone *et al.*, 1996) recording GP (V) every 12 minutes. The recorded GP was corrected to

206   normal air pressure (1013.5 h Pa). About 400 mg of OM from each sample was incubated in

207   60 ml of buffered rumen fluid during 48 h in 250-ml serum bottles (Schott, Mainz, Germany).

208   The bottles (n=36) were incubated at 39°C and gently agitated continuously in water baths.

209   The inoculum for each run was collected two hours after the morning feeding, from two

210   rumen cannulated lactating dairy cows (Swedish Red) fed as described above. The rumen

211   fluid from the two cows was mixed and filtered through four layers of cheese cloth into a

212   buffered mineral solution, with the ratio of rumen fluid to buffer of 1:2 (V/V). The buffered

213   mineral solution described by Menke and Steingass (1988) was slightly modified with a small

214   alteration in the concentration of NH_4HCO_3 as suggested by Edmunds *et al*. (2012). The

215   concentration of NH_3 in the buffered rumen fluid in the incubation bottles was determined by

216   sampling 0.4 ml of fluid with plastic syringes as described by Karlsson *et al*. (2009) at 8 and

217   48 h after the start of the incubation. The fluid samples were transferred into Eppendorf tubes

218   kept on ice and thereafter 0.016 ml of 96% H_2SO_4 was added for preservation and stored at -

219   20°C until analysis. Just prior to analysis the sample tubes were thawed at room temperature,

220   centrifuged (12500 × g, 10 min) and 0.1 ml of supernatant was transferred to test tubes and

221   diluted 1:20 with distilled water. The concentration of ammonia-N was analysed using

222   continuous flow analyser (AutoAnalyzer 3 HR, SEAL Analytical Ltd). In each *in vitro* series

223   (run) a blank (buffered rumen fluid without a sample) and standard hay were included in

224   duplicates. The samples were randomly distributed within and between runs and replicated in

225   duplicates within two of total seven runs, resulting four *in vitro* observations per sample. The

226   microbial activity of the rumen fluid was monitored by the results of the blanks and standard

227   hay with known GP profile and uCP values. No anomalies were noted for the standard GP

228   profiles or uCP values for none of the runs. Mean uCP_{04} for standard hay (7 runs and 2

229   standards per run, altogether 13 samples - one was lost) was 142 g kg^{-1} DM, with a standard

230   deviation of 36 and min and max of 90 and 206, respectively.

231   **Curve fitting of gas production data and modelling of digestion**

232   For the *in vitro* GP measurements, a three pool Gompertz model (Schofield *et al.*, 1994)

233   constructed using the NLIN procedure in SAS (release 9.3, 2002-2010, SAS Institute inc.,

234   Cary, NC, USA) was used to fit the averaged (n=3) GP profiles of each feed. The sum of the

235   three GP pools represented the total asymptotic gas production (AGP). The digestibility of

236   potentially digestible organic matter (OM), GP rates of OM, which corresponded to digestion

237   rates (hereafter referred as effective KD), and effective ruminal digestibility of OM (D) were

238   calculated using the modeling approach with two rumen pools as described by Huhtanen *et al.*

239   (2008b).

240   **Calculations of feeding values**

241 The potential digestibility of the fibre (pNDFD) was calculated as (NDF–iNDF)/NDF. The

242 first order rate of NDF degradation was calculated as described by Huhtanen *et al*. (2008b).

243 Organic matter digestibility (OMD) was calculated from *in situ* incubation values and NDF

244 concentrations as described by Huhtanen *et al.* (2013):

245

246 $$OMD = 882 - 1.21 \times iNDF - 0.106 \times NDF,$$

247

248 Feed value as content of net energy for lactation (NEL) and metabolisable protein, expressed

249 as amino acids absorbed in the intestine (AAT), was based on Van Es (1978) and Madsen *et*

250 *al.* (1995), respectively. Protein balance in rumen (PBV) was also interpreted according to

251 Madsen *et al*. (l.c). The given values for NEL, AAT and PBV apply for dairy cows with a

252 daily DMI of 20 kg (NEL_{20}, AAT_{20} and PBV_{20}), according to NorFor™, the Nordic feed

253 evaluation system (Volden, 2011).

254 The concentration of uCP at 8 and 48 h was calculated as by Edmunds *et al*. (2012) utilising

255 the formula:

256 $$uCP\ (g\ kg\ DM - 1) = \frac{NH_3N_{blank} + N_{sample} - NH_3N_{sample}}{weight\ (mg\ DM)} \times 6.25 \times 1000$$

257 Where NH_3N_{blank} is the average amount (mg) of NH_3N in the two blanks, N_{sample} is the amount

258 (mg) of N in the sample at the start of the incubation and NH_3N_{sample} is the amount (mg) of

259 NH_3N in the incubation bottles with samples. The GP and the uCP estimates are presented as

260 least square means (LSmeans) of the four observations calculated with the general linear

261 model with effects of run as a random factor. The uCP values from 8 and 48 h were plotted

262 against ln time (h) and the intercept (y) and the slope (a) were used to calculate the effective

263 uCP using the formula where Kp is the assumed rate of passage (/h).

$$264 \qquad\qquad effective\ uCP = y + a + ln\ (1/Kp)$$

Statistical analyses

266   Analyses of variance of data for the whole range of constituents in the forages were derived

267   from linear mixed-effects models using the procedure MIXED in SAS (release 9.3, 2002-

268   2010, SAS institute inc., Cary, NC, USA).

269   The constituents in herbage were modelled with cut (first and second) and wilting (no wilt,

270   rapid wilt or slow wilt) as fixed factors and field replicate (1-3) as random factor. The

271   interaction between cut and wilting rate was included in the model. Cut was analysed as

272   repeated to check for covariance that may occur for first and second cut harvested on the same

273   plots. The optimal covariance structure among Variance Components and Unstructured was

274   assessed for each forage constituent with attention to Akaike information criterion and

275   Schwarz Bayesian criterion (Littell *et al.*, 1998). Tukey's test was used for pairwise

276   comparisons of means within cuts ($P \leq 0.05$). Residual normality was assessed using the tests

277   performed in the procedure UNIVARIATE in SAS (release 9.3, 2002-2010, SAS institute

278   inc., Cary, NC, USA), with no data showing deviation from normal distribution.

279   For silages, the constituents and quality parameters were modelled with cut (first or second),

280   wilting rate (rapid or slow) and additive (C, FA or LAB) as fixed factors and replicate (1-3) as

281   random factor. All two- and three-factor interactions were included in the model. Cut was

282   analysed as repeated with covariance structure as described above, and Tukey's test was used

283   for pairwise comparisons of means within cut, wilting rate and additive, respectively ($P \leq$

284   0.05). Residual normality was assessed as described above. For data showing deviation from

285   normal distribution (AGP, KD and D) square root transformations were applied. Correlations

286   between pairs of feed parameters were analysed by the procedure CORR in SAS (release 9.3,

287   2002-2010, SAS institute inc., Cary, NC, USA) and expressed as Pearson's product moment

288   correlation coefficients.

289

Results

Chemical and nutritive characteristics of fresh and wilted crops

292   Concerning the herbage (pre-ensiling), there were differences in the chemical composition

293   between first and second cut and according to wilting rate (Table 1). At harvest, the first cut

294   was, on DM basis, higher than the second cut in concentrations of NDF, WSC and OMD, and

295   lower in CP, starch and iNDF. The AGP, the proportion of the CP fraction being soluble and

296   the calculated concentration of NEL_{20} and AAT_{20} were also higher in first compared to second

297   cut. The concentration of PBV_{20} was negative in first cut, but positive, and significantly

298   higher in the second cut. During wilting, the concentration of non-structural carbohydrates

299   decreased. Parallel to this, the concentration of iNDF increased, whereas the concentration of

300   AAT_{20} and NEL_{20} decreased. The buffer soluble CP fraction of total CP was higher after slow

301   compared to rapid wilting, whereas the concentration of utilisable protein calculated as uCP_{04}

302   did not differ between cuts, nor wilting rates.

Fermentation pattern and nutritive characteristics of silages

304   All silages were well fermented as evaluated from the pH and the concentration of NH_3-N

305   (Table 2) (Eurofins, 2015). Eleven out of 36 samples contained traces of butyric acid

306   (detection limit 0.3 g kg DM^{-1}), but none of them more than 1 g kg DM^{-1} (data not shown).

307   All eleven were from the first cut and from treatments with no additive or addition of LAB.

308   No single sample contained more than 72 g NH_3-N kg N^{-1} and none had pH higher than 4.50.

309 Silages from second cut were more extensively fermented than silages from first cut (Table

310 2). Slow wilting increased acetic acid concentration compared with rapid wilting; otherwise

311 no effect of wilting rate on fermentation quality was found. Addition of LAB caused the most

312 extensive fermentation and addition of FA the most restricted fermentation. For most of the

313 constituents, there was a significant cut × additive-interaction (split data not presented in

314 Table 2), involving a greater difference in fermentation pattern between additives in the

315 second than in the first cut. No two- or three factor interactions for the effects of cut, wilting

316 rate and additive modified the conclusions for significant main effects as presented in Table 2.

317 The different fermentation patterns caused by the additives also affected the composition and

318 nutritive value of the silages (Table 3). Extensively fermented silages, added LAB or no

319 additive, had lower concentrations of non-structural carbohydrates, AAT_{20} and NEL_{20} and a

320 higher concentration of PBV_{20} than the restrictedly fermented silages added FA. The *in vitro*

321 gas production expressed as AGP was higher from silages added FA than from silages added

322 LAB. The rate of degradation of OM as estimated from the GP recordings did not differ

323 between cuts, wilting rates or additives. The OMD and concentration of NEL_{20} were higher in

324 silages from first than from second cut, and in rapidly compared to slowly wilted silages,

325 whereas the concentration of iNDF was lower in the first than in the second cut, and lower in

326 rapidly than in slowly wilted silage (Table 3).

327 There were hardly any significant interactions for effects of cut, wilting rate and additive on

328 constituents and quality parameters (Table 3). For the concentration of WSC, however, the

329 differences according to wilting rate and additive were higher in the first compared to the

330 second cut.

331 **Protein characteristics in silages**

14

332 The proportion of the CP being soluble (sCP) increased from wilted crops to silages (Tables 1

333 and 3), and it was highest (602 and 600 g kg CP^{-1}) in extensively fermented (C and LAB)

334 silages from the first cut. The NH_3-N concentration in these silages was 63 and 61g kg N^{-1},

335 respectively, which amounted to ca. 200 g kg^{-1} N of the crude protein that was solubilized

336 during extensive fermentation (600 g kg CP^{-1} in silages minus 330 g kg CP^{-1} in wilted crops).

337 The proportion of sCP was higher in slowly wilted than in rapidly wilted silages (Table 3), but

338 the proportion of NH_3-N of total N did not differ according to wilting rate (Table 2). From a

339 separate test for the increment in sCP from wilted crops to silages, it appeared that it was

340 significantly higher ($P<0.01$) in the first cut than in the second cut for all types of silages.

341 The concentration of uCP_{04} did not differ between first and second cut or according to wilting

342 rate, but was higher in FA silages than in the control and LAB silages (Table 3). The

343 concentration of AAT_{20} was higher in first than in second cut silages, in rapidly versus slowly

344 wilted, and in restrictedly versus extensively fermented silages (Table 3).

345 Correlation analyses revealed no significant relationship between uCP_{04} in silages and any of

346 the other measured or calculated characteristics of the protein fraction (CP, sCP, NH_3-N,

347 AAT_{20}, PBV_{20}) (Table 4). There was, however, a negative relationship between the

348 concentration of total acids and uCP_{04} and a positive relationship between silage pH and

349 uCP_{04}. The other feed characteristics correlated with total acids, such as concentration of

350 WSC, iNDF and OMD, were not statistically related to uCP_{04}, suggesting a direct relationship

351 between pH (concentration of acids) and uCP_{04}.

352

353 **Discussion**

15

354　The herbage harvested in the two successive cuts of the grass-clover ley in the present study,

355　were typical for organic or low N-input forage production in temperate regions regarding the

356　disproportionate content of clover, CP and ME (Eriksen *et al.*, 2012; Kunelius *et al.*, 2006;

357　Riesinger and Herzon, 2008; Steinshamn and Thuen, 2008). The results from the experiments

358　will therefore be relevant for silage production and feeding on that type of commercial farms.

359

360　The initial hypotheses that the proportion of the CP fraction being solubilized during

361　preservation would be affected by wilting rate and fermentation pattern, and less so in a

362　second cut dominated by clover than in a first cut dominated by grasses, were supported by

363　the results. Proteolysis during the first step of preservation (wilting) appeared, however, to be

364　numerically small, and the proportion of sCP increased significantly only for herbage from

365　the first cut at the slowest rate of wilting. Still, previous wilting rate mattered for the

366　proportion that later was solubilized during fermentation, irrespective of cut and additive,

367　indicating that changes had occurred in the protein fraction that were not necessarily reflected

368　in the analyzed pre-ensiling sCP concentration. Our results were in agreement with the

369　findings of Edmunds *et al.* (2014) who found a lower non-protein nitrogen concentration in

370　rapidly than in slowly wilted silages. They explained the difference by differing duration of

371　exposure to proteolytic plant enzymes. Differing exposure to plant proteases were very likely

372　the cause for the observed differences in protein degradation according to fermentation

373　pattern, also. As reviewed by McDonald *et al.* (1991), numerous studies have confirmed that

374　an initial rapid drop in pH after addition of acids retards proteolysis during conservation. They

375　also referred to studies having shown that grass proteases were active below pH 4, so the FA

376　silages in the present study with an average final pH of 4.3 would have been an environment

377　allowing proteolytic activity. Still, they contained less sCP than the extensively fermented

378　control and LAB silages. The reason why the protein fraction seemed more stable during

379   wilting and fermentation in the second than in the first cut, might be the high red clover

380   content in the second. The enzyme PPO in red clover tissues, might have catalyzed the

381   synthesis of protein bound phenolics and thus limited protein degradation (Lee *et al*., 2008).

382

383   The third hypothesis that the protein feed value of the silages would vary according to the

384   solubility of CP was not unambiguously confirmed by the results. Statistically, sCP was

385   neither correlated to AAT_{20} nor to uCP_{04}, and although silages from the second cut contained

386   more CP and less that had been solubilized during preservation, the AAT_{20} was lower than in

387   the corresponding silages made from the first cut. The main explanation for this was the lower

388   digestibility of OM and the lower content of WSC in silages dominated by mature red clover.

389   According to the NorFor feed evaluation system (Volden, 2011), the potential for microbial

390   protein synthesis in the rumen would have been limited by the low digestibility of the second

391   cut silages.

392

393   Differences in characteristics of the carbohydrate fractions were also the reason for the higher

394   concentration of AAT_{20} in restrictedly (FA) compared to extensively fermented silages

395   (control and LAB), and in rapidly versus slowly wilted silages. More WSC and starch

396   remained after rapid wilting and restricted fermentation. The digestibility of OM did,

397   however, not differ according to type of additive, and the lower sCP in FA silages contributed

398   to their higher AAT_{20} concentration relative to the other two types. Because AAT_{20} is a

399   calculated measure of protein feed value based on the presumption that the proportion of sCP

400   is important (Volden, 2011), its scores here and elsewhere, constitutes no basis for a

401   discussion of the issue of whether sCP is important for the forage protein value or not *in vivo*.

402   However, the present study illustrates what compounds and characteristics that are important

403   for the ranking of different typical types of silages according to the AAT_{20} definition.

404

405   Protein quality evaluated as effective uCP_{04} determined by the gas *in vitro* analyses was

406   expected to reflect and express characteristics that determine forage protein values *in vivo*,

407   such as OM digestibility. Here, uCP_{04} turned out to be rather insensitive to differences in

408   carbohydrate and CP characteristics obtained under the different cuts and treatments. The FA

409   silages contributed more utilizable uCP_{04} per kg DM than LAB silages, but very few of the

410   attributes differing between these types of forages were statistically correlated to uCP_{04}. The

411   fact that sCP proportion and concentration did not influence uCP_{04} is in agreement with the

412   meta-analysis of experiments analysing milk protein yield performed by Huhtanen and

413   Hristov (2009). It is, however, more difficult to explain why OM digestibility and

414   carbohydrate concentration seemed to have no relationship to microbial protein synthesis

415   during the *in vitro* incubation, when gas production *in vitro* (AGP) was closely related to

416   these parameters.

417

418   Edmunds *et al*. (2014) evaluated the effect of wilting on grass silage using the same *in vitro*

419   technique in combination with an *in situ* method and the Cornell system for protein evaluation

420   of forages (Sniffen *et al*., 1992). They concluded that the degree and rate of wilting influenced

421   the concentration of uCP_{04} and rumen undegraded protein in grass silage, and that the method

422   may be a useful tool in predicting animal response to the forage because it considers the

423   interaction of energy and protein metabolism. They evaluated, however, silages with a higher

424   DM content (350 – 650 g kg^{-1}) than those in the present study and wider ranges in wilting

425   duration.

426

427   It is possible that methodological discrepancies are the reason for different results regarding

428   the appearing sensitivity and accuracy of the gas *in vitro* method. Still, the analytical variation

429   and errors were about the same in the two studies, and the concentration of $uCP_{0.04}$ was within

430   the same range for quite similar forages (120-140 g kg^{-1} DM in the study of Edmunds *et al*.

431   (2014), and 120-150 g kg^{-1} DM in the present study).

432

433   Alternative explanations for what seemed to be a low sensitivity of the gas *in vitro* method in

434   the present study may be found in what was limiting factors for microbial protein synthesis in

435   the silages compared, e.g. those from the first versus those from the second cut. In the first

436   one, the CP in the silage might have been limiting and very efficiently utilized for *in vitro*

437   protein synthesis because of surplus supply of metabolizable energy. In contrast, a low energy

438   supply probably limited the protein synthesis from second cut silages that contributed surplus

439   CP. In the end, these relationships resulted in equal supply uCP_{04} from the two cuts. In the

440   comparison of *in vitro* protein synthesis from silages with more equal CP concentration and

441   still differing energy supply to microbes (FA silages versus extensively fermented LAB

442   silages) the *in vitro* method revealed differences in uCP_{04} and ranked FA silages highest in

443   terms of protein supply.

444

445   The study of Jaakkola *et al.* (2006) may contribute further explanations for the higher $uCP_{0.04}$

446   in FA silages. They suggested that restrictedly fermented silages were efficient substrates for

447   *de novo* protein synthesis not only because of higher concentrations of WSC and other energy

448   substrates but also because of higher levels of free amino acids and peptides in the non-

449   protein N fraction than in more extensively fermented silages. The authors further added that

450   the organic acid profile of extensively fermented silages makes them less efficient as energy

451   substrates for microbial protein synthesis because lactate does not supply energy for microbial

452   growth. In the LAB silages in the present study, lactate constituted nearly 15% of the

453   digestible OM, whereas it constituted 4% in FA silages.

454

455   The last issue we wanted to address and discuss in the present study was whether the *in vitro*

456   uCP technique could be an analytically efficient and precise measure for protein quality in

457   forages. As mentioned above, the estimated concentrations of uCP_{04} in the present study

458   covered about the same range as the silage analyses performed by Edmunds *et al*. (2012;

459   2014) did. This fact indicates reproducibility of the method in between laboratories, but as

460   Edmunds *et al*. (2012) concluded in their study, it is difficult to estimate how precisely the

461   uCP_{04} figures represent *in vivo* conditions because no reference values exist. However,

462   preliminary results from recent studies at the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences in

463   Umeå, indicate a positive and consistent correlation between the concentration of uCP in

464   feeds and their supply of protein to the small intestine as analysed by *in vivo* flow studies

465   (Huhtanen *et al*., 2016).

466

467   It is clear that the accuracy and reproducibility of the method are challenged by the natural

468   variation in ammonia concentration and microbial activity in the rumen fluid between animals

469   and sampling occasions (Lorenz *et al.,* 2011). This variation may be reduced by using pre-

470   incubation of the rumen fluid with carbohydrates as described by Lorenz *et al*. (2011) to

471   normalize the ammonia concentration in the inoculum prior to incubations. Our experience

472   from this study was that we needed a relatively large number *in vitro* replicates to obtain

473   reliable results. This was reflected in the relatively large standard errors of means for uCP_{04},

474   and it suggests that the analytical protocol for the assay should be expanded with a

475   normalisation of the rumen fluid and runs of a standard protein feed previously analysed for

476   protein flow to the small intestine *in vivo*.

477

478   **Conclusions**

479 The silages investigated in this study covered a range in chemical composition and nutritive

480 characteristics that were representative of forages produced at low rates of external N supply

481 on commercial farms in Northern Europe. The solubility of the CP fraction varied pre and

482 post ensiling, but this parameter did not determine the protein value of the forages, neither

483 according to calculated AAT_{20}, nor to the gas *in vitro* assay estimating uCP_{04} at the small

484 intestine. The concentration of AAT_{20} was highest in rapidly wilted and restrictedly fermented

485 silages made from an early first cut dominated by grasses with highly digestible OM.

486 Corresponding silages from a mature second cut dominated by red clover and with a higher

487 content of less soluble CP, were far lower in AAT_{20}. The *in vitro* protein assay did not

488 separate silages according to initial herbage composition and wilting rate, but ranked

489 restrictedly above extensively fermented crops with regard to protein supply to the animal.

490 The assay might still have caught the characteristics that determine the true protein value *in*

491 *vivo.* In this matter, animal experiments rather than AAT_{20} calculations will contribute the

492 ground truth for further method evaluation and development.

493

501

References

503 AOAC (1984) *Official methods of analysis of AOAC*. Washington DC, USA: Association of

504   Official Analytical Chemists.

505 BLEKEN M. A., STEINSHAMN H. and HANSEN S. (2005) The nitrogen costs of dairy farming in

506   Europe: Worsened by Intensification. *Ambio*, **34**, 598-606

507 BRODERICK G. A. (1987) Determination of protein degradation rates using a rumen in vitro

508   system containing inhibitors of microbial nitrogen metabolism. *British Journal of*

509   *Nutrition*, **58**, 463-475.

510 COBLENTZ W. K. and GRABBER J. H. (2013) In situ protein degradation of alfalfa and birdsfoot

511   trefoil hays and silages as influenced by condensed tannin concentration. *Journal of*

512   *Dairy Science*, **96**, 3120-3137.

513 COMMISSION EU (2007) Council regulation (EC) no 834/2007 of 28 june 2007 on organic

514   production and labelling of organic products and repealing regulation (eec) no

515   2092/91. *Official Journal of the European Union*, **L189**, 1-23.

516 CONE J. W., VAN GELDER A. H., VISSCHER G. J. W. and OUDSHOORN L. (1996) Influence of

517   rumen fluid and substrate concentration on fermentation kinetics measured with a fully

518   automated time related gas production apparatus. *Animal Feed Science and*

519   *Technology*, **61**, 113-128.

520 EDMUNDS B., SPIEKERS H., SÜDEKUM K. H., NUSSBAUM H., SCHWARZ F. J. and BENNETT R.

521   (2014) Effect of extent and rate of wilting on nitrogen components of grass silage.

522   Grass and Forage Science, **69**, 140-152.

523 EDMUNDS B., SÜDEKUM K. H., SPIEKERS H., SCHUSTER M. and SCHWARZ F. J. (2012)

524   Estimating utilisable crude protein at the duodenum, a precursor to metabolisable

525   protein for ruminants, from forages using a modified gas test. *Animal Feed Science*

526   *and Technology*, **175**, 106-113.

527 EICKLER B., GIERUS M., KLEEN J. and TAUBE F. (2011) Specific polyphenol oxidase activity

528   of red clover (*Trifolium pratense*) and its relation with forage quality in field

529   experiments. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B — Soil & Plant Science*, **61**,

530   39-49.

531 ERICSON B. and ANDRÈ J. (2010) HPLC-applications for agricultural and animal science.

532   Report 274, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Dept. of Animal Nutrition

533   and Management. *Proceedings from the 1st Nordic Feed Science Conference*, Uppsala,

534   Sweden. pp. 23-26.

535 ERIKSEN J., ASKEGAARD M. and SØEGAARD K. (2012) Complementary effects of red clover

536   inclusion in ryegrass–white clover swards for grazing and cutting. *Grass and Forage*

537   *Science*, **69**, 241-250.

538 EUROFINS (2015) Forklaring til analysene. Gjæringskvalitet (In Norwegian). *Instructions to*

539   *Forage Analyses. Fermentation Quality*. Downloaded 5. July 2016.

540   http://www.eurofins.no/vare-tjenester/landbruk/grovfor-dr0vtyggere.aspx

541 FYSTRO G. and LUNNAN T. (2006) Analysar av grovfôrkvalitet på nirs, In: KRISTOFFERSEN A.

542   Ø. (ed). Proceedings of the Plantemøtet Østlandet 2006, *Bioforsk FOKUS*, **1(3)**, 180-

543   182.

544 HEDQVIST H. and UDÉN P. (2006) Measurement of soluble protein degradation in the rumen.

545   *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, **126**, 1-21.

546 HETTA M., CONE J. W., GUSTAVSSON A. M. and MARTINSSON K. (2003) The effect of

547   additives in silages of pure timothy and timothy mixed with red clover on chemical

548   composition and in vitro rumen fermentation characteristics. *Grass and Forage*

549   *Science*, **58**, 249-257.

550 HUHTANEN P., GIDLUND H. and HETTA M. (2016) Optimization of protein supplementation

551   in organic milk production. *Final Report SLU EkoForsk*.

552   https://www.slu.se/centrumbildningar-och-projekt/ekoforsk/projekt-2011-

553   2013/mjolkproduktion-proteinforsorjning/.

554 HUHTANEN P. and HRISTOV A. N. (2009) A meta-analysis of the effects of dietary protein

555   concentration and degradability on milk protein yield and milk N efficiency in dairy

556   cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, **92**, 3222-3232.

557 HUHTANEN P., JAAKKOLA S. and NOUSIAINEN J. (2013) An overview of silage research in

558   finland: From ensiling innovation to advances in dairy cow feeding. *Agricultural and*

559   *Food Science*, **22**, 35-56.

560 HUHTANEN P., KAUSTELL K. and JAAKKOLA S. (1994) The use of internal markers to predict

561   total digestibility and duodenal flow of nutrients in cattle given six different diets.

562   *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, **48**, 211-227.

563 HUHTANEN P., RINNE M. and NOUSIAINEN J. (2008a) Effects of silage soluble nitrogen

564   components on metabolizable protein concentration: A meta-analysis of dairy cow

565   production experiments. *Journal of Dairy Science*, **91**, 1150-1158.

566 HUHTANEN P., SEPPALA A., AHVENJARVI S. and RINNE M. (2008b) Prediction of in vivo

567   neutral detergent fiber digestibility and digestion rate of potentially digestible neutral

568   detergent fiber: Comparison of models. *Journal of Animal Science*, **86**, 2657-2669.

569 JAAKKOLA S., KAUNISTO V. and HUHTANEN P. (2006) Volatile fatty acid proportions and

570   microbial protein synthesis in the rumen of cattle receiving grass silage ensiled with

571   different rates of formic acid. Grass and Forage Science, **61**, 282-292.

572 JANZEN H. H. (2011) What place for livestock on a re-greening earth? *Animal Feed Science*

573   *and Technology*, **166-167**, 783-796.

574 JATKAUSKAS J. and VROTNIAKIENE V. (2009) The influence of application of a biological

575   additive on the fermentation and nutritive value of lucerne silage. *Zemdirbyste –*

576   *Agriculture*, **96**, 197-208.

577 KARLSSON L., HETTA M., UDEN P. and MARTINSSON K. (2009) New methodology for

578   estimating rumen protein degradation using the *in vitro* gas production technique.

579   *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, **153**, 193-202.

580 KUNELIUS H. T., DURR G. H., MCRAE K. B. and FILLMORE S. A. E. (2006) Performance of

581   timothy-based grass/legume mixtures in cold winter region. *Journal of Agronomy and*

582   *Crop Science*, **192**, 159-167.

583 LARSSON K. and BENGTSSON S. (1983) Bestämning av lätt tillgängliga kolhydrater i

584   växtmaterial. Metodbeskrivning 22. Uppsala: Statens Lantbrukskemiska

585   Laboratorium, Sweden.

586 LEE M. R. F., SCOTT M. B., TWEED J. K. S., MINCHIN F. R. and DAVIES D. R. (2008) Effects of

587   polyphenol oxidase on lipolysis and proteolysis of red clover silage with and without a

588   silage inoculant (*Lactobacillus plantarum* L54). *Animal Feed Science and*

589   *Technology*, **144**, 125-136.

590 LITTEL R. C., HENRY P. R. and AMMERMAN C.B. (1998) Statistical analysis of repeated
591   measures data using SAS procedures. *Journal of Animal Science* **76**, 1216-1231.

592 LORENZ M. M., KARLSSON L., HETTA M. and UDÉN P. (2011) Recycling of microbial N and

593   estimation of protein degradation by *in vitro* gas production. *Animal Feed Science and*

594   *Technology*, **170**, 111-116.

595 MADSEN J., HVELPLUND T., WEISBJERG M.R., BERTILSSON J., OLSSON I.,

596   SPÖRNDLY R., HARSTAD O.M., VOLDEN H., TUORI M., VARVIKKO T.,

597   HUHTANEN P. and OLAFSSON B.L. (1995) The AAT/PBV protein evaluation

598   system for ruminants - a revision. *Norwegian Journal of Agricultural Sciences,*

599   *Supplement* No. **19 1995**, 37 pp.

600 MCDONALD P., HENDERSON A. R. and HERON S. J. E. (1991) *The biochemistry of silage*:

601   Chalcombe publications.

602 MENKE K. H. and STEINGASS H. (1988) Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from

603   chemical analysis and in vitro gas production using rumen fluid. *Animal Research and*

604   *Development*, **28**, 7-25.

605 MERTENS D. R. (2002) Gravimetric determination of amylase-treated neutral detergent fiber in

606   feeds with refluxing in beakers or crucibles: Collaborative study. *Journal of AOAC*

607   *International*, **85**, 1217-1240.

608 MOORE K. J., MOSER L. E., VOGEL K. P., WALLER S. S., JOHNSON B. E. and PEDERSEN J. F.

609   (1991) Describing and quantifying growth stages of perennial forage grasses.

610   *Agronomy Journal*, **83**, 1073-1077.

611 NORWEGIAN GOVERNMENT (2011) Landbruks- og matpolitikken. Velkommen til bords.

612   *White Paper, Report to the Storting*, No 9 (2011-2012). 301 pp.

613 RAAB L., CAFANTARIS B., JILG T. and MENKE K. H. (1983) Rumen protein degradation and

614   biosynthesis. *British Journal of Nutrition*, **50**, 569-582.

615 RIESINGER P. and HERZON I. (2008) Variability of herbage production in mixed leys as related

616   to ley age and environmental factors: A farm survey. *Agricultural and Food Science*,

617   **17**, 394-412.

618 SCHOFIELD P., PITT R. E. and PELL A. N. (1994) Kinetics of fiber digestion from in vitro gas

619   production. *Journal of Animal Science*, **72**, 2980-2991.

620 SNIFFEN C. J., O'CONNOR J. D., VAN SOEST P. J., FOX D. G. and RUSSELL J. B. (1992) A net

621   carbohydrate and protein system for evaluating cattle diets: II. Carbohydrate and

622   protein availability. *Journal of Animal Science*, **70**, 3562-3577.

623 STEINSHAMN H. AND THUEN E. (2008) White or red clover-grass silage in organic dairy milk

624   production: Grassland productivity and milk production responses with different levels

625   of concentrate. *Livestock Science*, **119**, 202-215.

626 TINE RÅDGIVING (2014) Faglig Rapport 2013. Tine Rådgiving og Medlem, Ås, Norway.

627 https://medlem.tine.no/cms/aktuelt/nyheter/statistikk/faglig-rapport. Accessed 29

628 October 2015

629 VAN ES A.J.H. (1978) Feed evaluation for ruminants. I. The systems in use from May 1977-

630 onwards in The Netherlands. *Livestock Production Science,* **5**, 331-345.

631 VAN SOEST P. J. (1994) *Nutritional ecology of the ruminant*: Cornell University Press. p. 293.

632 VANHATALO A., KUOPPALA K., AHVENJARVI S. and RINNE M. (2009) Effects of feeding grass

633 or red clover silage cut at two maturity stages in dairy cows. 1. Nitrogen metabolism

634 and supply of amino acids. *Journal of Dairy Science*, **92**, 5620-5633.

635 VERBIČ J., ORSKOV E. R., ŽGAJNAR J., CHEN X. B. and ŽNIDARŠIČ-PONGRAC V. (1999) The

636 effect of method of forage preservation on the protein degradability and microbial

637 protein synthesis in the rumen. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, **82**, 195-212.

638 VOLDEN H. (2011) Feed calculations in NorFor. In Volden, H (eds). *NorFor – The Nordic*

639 *feed evaluation system – EAAP 130*, pp 55–58.Wagenigen Academic Publishers, The

640 Netherlands.

641 ZHAO G. Y. and LEBZIEN P. (2000) Development of an *in vitro* incubation technique for the

642 estimation of the utilizable crude protein (uCP) in feeds for cattle. *Archiv für*

643 *Tierernaehrung*, **53**, 293-302.

644 ØRSKOV E. R. and MCDONALD I. (1979) The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen

645 from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. *The Journal of*

646 *Agricultural Science*, **92**, 499-503.

647 ÅKERLIND M., WEISBJERG M. R., ERIKSSON T., TØGERSEN R., UDÉN P., OLAFSSON B. L.,

648 HARSTAD O. M. and VOLDEN H. (2011) Feed analyses and digestion methods. In:

649 VOLDEN H. (ed.) *Norfor – the nordic feed evaluation system* pp. 41-54. Wageningen,

650 the Netherlands: Wageningen Academic Publishers.

Table 1 Composition and nutritive characteristics of herbage from a first and second cut of a grass-clover crop at harvest (fresh) and after 7.5 h (rapid) and 24.5 h (slow) wilting, and levels of significance for the effects of different treatments.

Herbage	n	DM	Ash	CP	sCP	WSC	Starch	NDF	iNDF	OMD	AAT_{20}	PBV_{20}	NEL_{20}	uCP_{04}	AGP	D	KD
First cut																	
Fresh	3	163[b]	70	101	314[b]	264[a]	16	391	59	769	89	-34	6.2	133	440	0.924	0.106
Rapidly wilted	3	233[a]	75	113	314[b]	228[b]	27	392	61	767	89	-24	6.2	128	434	0.929	0.112
Slowly wilted	3	235[a]	76	114	338[a]	221[b]	18	396	67	759	88	-20	6.1	150	433	0.926	0.109
SEM		3.3	1.8	4.2	5.9	3.5	3.6	10.9	3.5	5.2	0.64	3.1	0.05	12.0	12.7	0.003	0.004
Second cut																	
Fresh	3	139[b]	99	133	301[a]	112	45[a]	361	113	707	81	9	5.4	130	419	0.927	0.110
Rapidly wilted	3	231[a]	99	133	251[b]	78	36[a]	375	119	698	80	10	5.2	152	389	0.929	0.113
Slowly wilted	3	232[a]	102	138	294[a]	87	22[b]	383	121	695	79	16	5.2	124	364	0.934	0.118
SEM		6.3	1.0	2.8	8.2	9.1	2.8	5.5	2.1	2.5	0.58	2.6	0.05	8.6	10.2	0.003	0.004
Effects																	
Cut		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	NS	<0.01	0.18	NS
Wilting rate		<0.01	0.08	0.18	<0.01	<0.01	0.02	NS	0.05	0.06	<0.01	0.07	0.03	NS	0.17	NS	NS
Cut×Wilt		0.03	0.12	0.20	0.07	NS	0.02	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.13	NS	0.16	0.09	NS	NS

n, number of samples; DM, dry matter (g kg^{-1}); CP, crude protein (g kg DM^{-1}); sCP, soluble CP (g kg CP^{-1}); WSC, water soluble carbohydrates (g kg DM^{-1}); NDF, neutral detergent fibre (g kg DM^{-1}); iNDF, indigestible NDF (g kg DM^{-1}); OMD, estimated in vivo digestibility of organic matter (g kg^{-1}); AAT_{20}, amino acids absorbed in the small intestine (g kg DM^{-1}); PBV_{20}, protein balance in the rumen (g kg DM^{-1}); NEL_{20}, net energy for lactation (MJ kg DM^{-1}); uCP_{04}, utilisable crude protein (g kg DM^{-1}); AGP, asymptotic gas production (ml gas mg OM^{-1}); D, predicted ruminal digestibility of potentially digestible organic matter (OM) calculated using a two compartment, mechanistic and dynamic rumen model; KD, effective OM digestion rates (1/h) calculated using a two compartment mechanistic dynamic rumen model; SEM, standard error of the mean; NS, not significant ($P > 0.20$). Means within cuts with different superscripts were significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).

29

665 **Table 2** Concentrations of dry matter (DM) and fermentation products and pH, in grass-clover silages according to cut, wilting rate (duration 7.5
666 or 24.5 h) and additive, and levels of significance for the effects of different treatments.

Silage	n	pH	DM	NH₃-N	Lactic acid	Formic acid	Acetic acid	Propionic acid	Total acids	Ethanol
Cut										
First cut	18	4.22^a	244^a	53^a	59^b	3.9^b	21.3^b	2.3^a	87^b	5.4
Second cut	18	4.20^b	234^b	42^b	96^a	6.7^a	28.0^a	1.2^b	132^a	4.5
SEM		0.009	2.8	1.5	1.9	0.28	0.32	0.21	2.0	0.54
Wilting rate										
Rapidly wilted	18	4.20	236	46	78	5.1	23.5^b	1.6	109	4.6
Slowly wilted	18	4.22	242	49	77	5.5	25.8^a	1.9	110	5.3
SEM		0.013	3.5	1.8	1.7	0.46	0.57	0.25	2.2	0.44
Additive										
Control	12	4.19^b	240	56^a	97^b	0.3^b	32.2^a	1.5	132^b	5.9^a
FA	12	4.31^a	239	34^b	32^c	14.7^a	9.3^b	1.6	57^c	3.2^b
LAB	12	4.14^c	238	54^a	104^a	0.9^b	32.4^a	2.2	140^a	5.8^a
SEM		0.015	1.8	2.2	2.1	0.56	0.70	0.30	2.6	0.54
Effects										
Cut		0.03	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.13
Wilting rate		0.11	NS	NS	NS	NS	<0.01	NS	NS	0.16
Additive		<0.01	NS	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.10	<0.01	<0.01
Cut×Wilt		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.08	NS	NS	NS
Cut×Additive		<0.01	0.10	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.07	<0.01	NS	NS
Wilt×Additive		0.01	NS	0.15	0.08	NS	NS	NS	0.17	NS
Cut×Wilt×Additive		0.02	NS	0.19	NS	0.03	0.03	NS	NS	NS

667 n, number of samples; DM, dry matter (g kg^{-1}); NH₃-N (g kg N^{-1}); Fermentation products (g kg DM^{-1}); Control, addition of water; FA, addition of formic acid;
668 LAB, addition of a suspension of lactic acid bacteria. SEM, standard error of the mean; NS, not significant ($P > 0.20$). Means within treatment categories with
669 different superscripts were significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).

670

671

Table 3 Composition, nutritive characteristics and *in vitro* gas production from grass-clover silages according to harvest, wilting rate (duration 7.5 or 24.5 h) and additive, and levels of significance for the effects of different treatments.

Silage	n	Ash	CP	sCP	WSC	Starch	NDF	iNDF	OMD	AAT_{20}	PBV_{20}	NEL_{20}	uCP_{04}	AGP	D	KD
Cut																
First cut	18	84^b	122^b	570^a	88^a	15.8	387	66^b	761^a	81^a	3.5^b	6.0^a	137	438^a	0.923	0.106
Second cut	18	110^a	152^a	441^b	25^b	20.3	379	114^a	705^b	68^b	51.7^a	5.3^b	137	375^b	0.926	0.109
SEM		0.6	1.7	4.9	1.9	2.0	6.0	1.7	2.4	0.6	1.5	0.04	6.9	6.7	0.001	0.001
Wilting rate																
Rapidly wilted	18	96	135	496^b	63^a	23.5^a	379	87^b	736^a	76^a	23.6	5.7^a	134	414	0.926	0.108
Slowly wilted	18	98	140	515^a	50^b	12.7^b	387	92^a	730^b	74^b	31.6	5.6^b	140	399	0.924	0.107
SEM		0.6	1.6	5.3	2.5	1.2	7.1	1.7	2.2	0.5	2.0	0.03	7.3	8.1	0.002	0.002
Additive																
Control	12	98^a	141^a	534^a	20^b	16.7^b	381	89	734	70^b	38.2^a	5.6^b	138^{ab}	404^{ab}	0.926	0.109
FA	12	96^b	133^b	455^b	129^a	21.3^a	383	89	733	84^a	8.3^b	5.7^a	151^a	421^a	0.922	0.105
LAB	12	97^a	138^a	528^a	20^b	16.3^b	385	90	732	70^b	36.4^a	5.6^b	123^b	393^b	0.925	0.108
SEM		0.5	1.0	4.4	3.0	1.4	8.7	2.1	2.6	0.7	0.8	0.04	8.9	9.9	0.002	0.002
Effects																
Cut		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.05	NS	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	NS	<0.01	0.07	0.13
Wilting rate		0.12	0.10	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	NS	0.02	0.01	<0.01	0.06	<0.01	NS	0.08	NS	NS
Additive		0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	NS	NS	NS	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.03	0.05	0.13	0.14
Cut×Wilt		NS	NS	NS	<0.01	NS	NS	0.07	0.14	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.09	0.08
Cut×Additive		NS	NS	0.05	<0.01	NS	NS	0.19	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.15	NS	0.06	0.06
Wilt×Additive		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Cut×Wilt×Additive		NS	NS	NS	0.16	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

n, number of samples; CP, crude protein (g kg DM^{-1}); sCP, soluble CP (g kg CP^{-1}); WSC, water soluble carbohydrates (g kg DM^{-1}); NDF, neutral detergent fibre (g kg DM^{-1}); iNDF, indigestible NDF (g kg DM^{-1}); OMD, estimated in vivo digestibility of organic matter (g kg^{-1}); AAT_{20}, amino acids absorbed in the small intestine (g kg DM^{-1}); PBV_{20}, protein balance in the rumen (g kg DM^{-1}); NEL_{20}, net energy for lactation (MJ kg DM^{-1}); uCP_{04}, utilisable crude protein (g kg DM^{-1}); AGP, asymptotic gas production (ml gas mg OM^{-1}); D, predicted ruminal digestibility of potentially digestible organic matter (OM) calculated using a two compartment, mechanistic and dynamic rumen model; KD, effective OM digestion rates (1/h) calculated using a two compartment mechanistic dynamic rumen model; SEM, standard error of the mean; NS, not significant ($P > 0.20$). Means within treatment categories with different superscripts were significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table 4 Matrix of Pearson's product moment coefficients for pairwise correlations between nutritive and fermentation parameters analysed in 36 silages (two cuts × two wilting rates × three additives × three replicates).

	CP	sCP	WSC	NDF	iNDF	OMD	pH	DM	TA	NH$_3$-N	AAT$_{20}$	PBV$_{20}$	NEL$_{20}$	KD	AGP	D
sCP	**-0.71**															
WSC	**-0.60**	-0.01														
NDF	**-0.41**	0.31	0.07													
iNDF	**0.90**	**-0.81**	**-0.47**	-0.16												
OMD	**-0.88**	**0.80**	**0.47**	0.10	**-1.00**											
pH	-0.26	-0.24	**0.77**	0.11	-0.10	0.09										
DM	**-0.56**	**0.44**	0.31	**0.33**	**-0.47**	**0.46**	**0.37**									
TA	**0.61**	-0.04	**-0.92**	-0.11	**0.51**	**-0.50**	**-0.71**	-0.25								
NH$_3$-N	-0.25	**0.79**	**-0.51**	0.16	**-0.39**	**0.38**	**-0.49**	0.22	**0.46**							
AAT$_{20}$	**-0.75**	0.25	**0.91**	0.07	**-0.72**	**0.72**	**0.56**	0.30	**-0.95**	-0.29						
PBV$_{20}$	**0.92**	**-0.49**	**-0.81**	-0.27	**0.85**	**-0.84**	**-0.45**	**-0.44**	**0.85**	0.05	**-0.94**					
NEL$_{20}$	**-0.87**	**0.66**	**0.61**	0.08	**-0.96**	**0.96**	0.15	**0.38**	**-0.60**	0.18	**0.82**	**-0.89**				
KD	0.31	-0.07	**-0.32**	**-0.46**	0.19	-0.17	**-0.38**	-0.26	**0.35**	0.11	-0.31	**0.34**	-0.20			
AGP	**-0.78**	**0.53**	**0.57**	0.04	**-0.80**	**0.81**	0.21	**0.33**	**-0.63**	0.10	**0.75**	**-0.81**	**0.81**	**-0.39**		
D	**0.35**	-0.10	**-0.35**	**-0.50**	0.22	-0.19	**-0.38**	-0.28	**0.37**	0.10	**-0.33**	**0.37**	-0.22	**0.99**	**-0.41**	
uCP04	-0.01	-0.15	0.25	0.08	-0.03	0.03	**0.38**	0.11	**-0.38**	0.19	0.27	-0.16	0.01	-0.32	0.29	-0.29

CP, crude protein (g kg DM^{-1}); sCP, soluble CP (g kg CP^{-1}); WSC, water soluble carbohydrates (g kg DM^{-1}); NDF, neutral detergent fibre (g kg DM^{-1}); iNDF, indigestible NDF (g kg DM^{-1}); OMD, estimated in vivo digestibility of organic matter (g kg^{-1}); DM, dry matter (g kg^{-1}); TA, total acids (g kg DM^{-1}); AAT$_{20}$, amino acids absorbed in the small intestine (g kg DM^{-1}); PBV$_{20}$, protein balance in the rumen (g kg DM^{-1}); NEL$_{20}$, net energy for lactation (MJ kg DM^{-1}); KD, effective OM digestion rates (1/h); AGP, asymptotic gas production (ml gas mg OM^{-1}); D, predicted ruminal digestibility of potentially digestible matter (OM) calculated using a two compartment, mechanistic and dynamic rumen model; uCP$_{04}$, utilisable crude protein (g kg DM^{-1}). Coefficients with bold typing were significant ($P \leq 0.05$).