

"This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Viklund, Å., Furre, S., Eriksson, S., Vangen, O., & Philipsson, J. (2015). Genetic conditions of joint Nordic genetic evaluations of lifetime competition performance in warmblood sport horses. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics*, 132(4), 308-317., which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbg.12132> This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions."

1 **Genetic conditions of joint Nordic genetic evaluations of lifetime competition**
2 **performance in Warmblood sport horses**

3 Å. Viklund^a, S. Furre^b, S. Eriksson^a, O. Vangen^b & J. Philipsson^a

4

5 ^aDepartment of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Swedish University of Agricultural
6 Sciences, Sweden

7 ^bDepartment of Animal and Aquacultural Sciences, Norwegian University of Life
8 Sciences, Norway

9

10 Corresponding author: Åsa Viklund, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, P.O. Box
11 7023, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden. Phone: +46 18 671967. E-mail: asa.viklund@slu.se.

12 **Keywords: sport horse, breeding value, genetic parameters, international genetic**
13 **evaluation**

14 **Summary**

15 Breeding programmes for warmblood sport horses are similar in the Nordic countries Sweden,
16 Denmark, Finland and Norway and stallions of same origin are used. The aim was to
17 investigate if a joint Nordic genetic evaluation based on lifetime competition performance is
18 feasible and beneficial for breeding competitive sport horses in the Nordic countries. Results
19 for almost 45,000 horses in show jumping and 30,000 horses in dressage were available. The
20 larger populations in Sweden and Denmark contributed with 85% of the results. Heritabilities
21 and genetic correlations between performances in the different countries were estimated, and
22 comparisons of accuracies of estimated breeding values (EBVs) and number of stallions with

23 EBVs based on national or joint data were studied. The heritabilities ranged between 0.25 and
24 0.42 for show jumping and between 0.14 and 0.55 for dressage. The genetic correlations
25 between competition performances in the Nordic countries were estimated to 0.63-1.00. EBVs
26 based on joint data increased accuracies for EBVs for stallions by 38-81%, and increased the
27 number of available stallions with EBVs by 40-288%, compared to EBVs based on national
28 data only. A joint Nordic genetic evaluation for sport horses is recommended.

29 **Introduction**

30 The production of warmblood sport horses for the Olympic sports dressage, show jumping
31 and eventing has become more international with increased exchange of genetic material
32 between breed organisations (Koenen et al., 2004; Thorén Hellsten et al., 2008). Germany,
33 France and the Netherlands with large sport horse populations are the main exporting
34 countries, whereas countries with small populations such as the Nordic countries often import
35 breeding stock. However, most breeding organisations run their own selection programs,
36 including genetic evaluations, based exclusively on national information (Koenen & Aldridge,
37 2002). This means that the estimated breeding values (EBVs) are not comparable across
38 countries, which is a prerequisite if breeders would be able to select the best breeding stallions
39 to their brood mares independent of country of evaluation.

40 Earlier studies on warmblood sport horse populations in Europe concluded that international
41 genetic evaluation would be feasible due to strong genetic connectedness between the
42 included populations (Thorén Hellsten et al., 2008; Ruhlmann et al., 2009a). In the Icelandic
43 horse population an across countries genetic evaluation has been routinely performed for
44 almost 20 years (Albertsdóttir, 2010).

45 In the Nordic countries the breeding objectives for warmblood sport horses are very similar
46 and aim for internationally competitive sport horses in either dressage or show jumping

47 (Koenen et al., 2004). All four countries have similar tests for young horses and registration
48 of competition performance. Stallions of the same origin are used to a large extent in the
49 Nordic countries (Furre et al., in manuscript), providing the necessary genetic ties between
50 populations. Moderate to high genetic correlations have been estimated between traits
51 recorded in young-horse-tests in Sweden and Norway (Furre et al., 2013) and between
52 Sweden and Denmark (Thorén Hellsten et al., 2009a). In Sweden and Denmark national
53 genetic evaluations are performed annually, but in Norway and Finland no EBVs are
54 estimated, meaning that a joint Nordic genetic evaluation would have to be based on raw data.

55 A joint Nordic genetic evaluation with information from all four countries could be expected
56 to benefit the small populations by considerably increased data for estimation of EBVs, and
57 all populations by increased accuracies and number of evaluated stallions (Thorén Hellsten et
58 al., 2009a; Furre et al., 2013). With the dramatic decrease in number of coverings in the last
59 five years, 2009-2013, collaboration between countries has become even more urgent from
60 both a genetic and economic point of view.

61 The overall aim of this study was to investigate the scientific opportunities for a joint genetic
62 evaluation based on competition data from the four Nordic countries; Sweden, Denmark,
63 Finland and Norway. Genetic correlations between countries, accuracies of EBVs and number
64 of stallions with EBVs based on different number of offspring were studied to evaluate the
65 effects of a joint genetic evaluation compared to within country genetic evaluations.

66 **Materials**

67 Competition and pedigree data was provided from the breeding organisations in Sweden,
68 Denmark, Finland and Norway. The competition data included results from official
69 competitions, from regional to international level. The Swedish competition data included
70 dressage and show jumping results from 1962 to 2011, the Danish data from 1986 to 2011,

71 the Finnish data from 2002 to 2011, and the Norwegian data from 2007 to 2012. Horses born
72 1970 and onwards that were found in the national pedigree data were included in the analyses.
73 They were not required to be born in a Nordic country.

74 In this study competition performance was defined as lifetime accumulated points in dressage
75 and show jumping, respectively. In the current genetic evaluation in Denmark placings from
76 individual competitions are used (Bølling, 2011), but the point systems are similar in all four
77 countries which made it possible to create corresponding lifetime records. Points are given to
78 horses that are placed in competitions, i.e. horses that are among the 25% best in a
79 competition. Points reflect both placing and level of competition; a horse receives more points
80 for a better placing and/or at a more advanced level. In the Norwegian data the recorded
81 points were lacking for a major part of the data and horses with placings without points were
82 given calculated points based on level of competition and the Norwegian point table.

83 Recording of started horses without any placings or achieved points during their lifetime
84 varied over time both between and within countries. To make data comparable over countries
85 and time periods, these horses were excluded. The distributions of lifetime accumulated
86 points were skewed and to normalize it a transformation with 10-logarithm was used. The
87 number of recorded competition horses in each country, means and standard deviations for the
88 transformed competition traits are given in Table 1. Due to heterogeneity in variances the
89 records were standardised to a common mean and standard deviation in all countries before
90 further analyses.

91 The pedigree data from the different breed organisations were merged into a joint database.
92 The horses were merged on several different criteria; Universal Equine Life Number (UELN),
93 studbook number for approved breeding animals, registration number and the combination of
94 the name of horse, sire, dam and birth year. A pedigree database including seven ancestral

95 generations of competing horses, comprising in total 229,163 horses, was used in the
96 analyses. Pedigree completeness was quantified by computing a pedigree index (PEC) for five
97 ancestral generations as described by MacCluer et al. (1983). For competing horses the
98 average PEC value was 0.90 in Sweden, 0.67 in Denmark, 0.27 in Norway and 0.84 in
99 Finland.

100 The genetic similarity (GS) between the four countries based on competing offspring have
101 been calculated to 20-60% (Furre et al., in manuscript). The highest GS was between Sweden
102 and Denmark and the lowest between Finland and Norway.

103 **Methods**

104 *Statistical analyses*

105 An analysis of variance was initially performed within country to test which effects to
106 consider in the genetic analyses, using the GLM procedure in SAS (SAS Institute Inc., 2007).
107 The effects of sex and birth year were tested. The effect of birth year was highly significant
108 ($p < 0.0001$) for both dressage and show jumping in all countries. The effect of sex was also
109 highly significant ($p < 0.0001$) for performance in dressage, where stallions and geldings were
110 more successful than mares. For the Norwegian data the level of significance was lower
111 ($p < 0.01$). However, the effect of sex was not significant for show jumping performance in
112 any of the Nordic countries.

113 The joint competition data from all four countries was analysed using the same method. The
114 tested effects of sex, birth year, country of competition, and the combination of country of
115 competition and birth year were all highly significant for both show jumping and dressage
116 ($p < 0.0001$). If a horse had competed in more than one country, the country where the horses
117 had received most points was chosen for that horse, while all points were included.

118 *Estimation of genetic parameters and EBVs*

119 Genetic parameters and breeding values were estimated using animal models. For univariate
120 analyses within country the following statistical model was used:

$$121 \quad y_{ijk} = \text{birth year}_i + \text{sex}_j + \text{animal}_k + e_{ijk} \quad (\text{Model I})$$

122 where y_{ijk} is the lifetime accumulated points in show jumping or dressage transformed with
123 10-logarithm for k th horse; birth year_i is the fixed effect of i th birth year; sex_j is the fixed
124 effect of the j th sex (j =male or female); animal_k is the random effect of the k th horse $\sim \text{ND}(0, \mathbf{A}\sigma_a^2)$, and e_{ijk} is the random $\sim \text{IND}(0, \sigma_e^2)$ residual effect.

126

127 Genetic correlations between corresponding competition traits in different countries were
128 estimated using bivariate analyses with the same effects as in Model I.

129

130 For analyses of the joint Nordic data with the competition traits were defined as the same trait
131 across countries, the following model was used:

$$132 \quad y_{ijk} = (\text{country*birth year})_i + \text{sex}_j + \text{animal}_k + e_{ijk} \quad (\text{Model II})$$

133 where y_{ijk} is the lifetime accumulated points in show jumping or dressage transformed with
134 10-logarithm for k th horse; $(\text{country*birth year})_i$ is the fixed effect of the i th combination
135 competition country and birth year; sex_j is the fixed effect of the j th sex (j =male or female);
136 animal_k is the random effect of the k th horse $\sim \text{ND}(0, \mathbf{A}\sigma_a^2)$, and e_{ijk} is the random $\sim \text{IND}(0, \sigma_e^2)$
137 residual effect.

138

139 Estimates were obtained by use of the average information algorithm (Jensen et al., 1997) for
140 restricted maximum likelihood (REML) in the DMU package for analysing multivariate
141 mixed models (Madsen & Jensen, 2012). All analyses met a convergence criterion for the

142 norm vector of $<10^{-7}$, except for a few bivariate analyses where the genetic correlation was
143 close to unity. These analyses met the convergence criterion for the norm vector of $<10^{-5}$.

144

145 Accuracies defined as the correlation between true and estimated breeding value (r_{TI}) were
146 calculated as

147
$$r_{TI} = \sqrt{1 - PEV / \sigma_a^2}$$

148 where PEV is the prediction error variance.

149 For stallions with competing offspring in more than one Nordic country, accuracies of EBVs
150 based on univariate analyses within country were compared to those from joint Nordic
151 evaluations. This was done within discipline for different groups of stallion with at least 15
152 competing offspring in total according to following criteria for each country:

153 I. Stallions with at least 1 competing offspring within country and in total at least 15
154 competing offspring in the Nordic countries.

155 II. Stallions with at least 5 competing offspring within country and in total at least 15
156 competing offspring in the Nordic countries.

157 III. Stallions with at least 10 competing offspring within country and in total at least
158 15 competing offspring in the Nordic countries.

159 IV. Stallions with at least 15 competing offspring in the Nordic countries in total

160 The minimum of 15 competing offspring was based on the criteria for a stallion to receive an
161 official published EBV in current national genetic evaluation in Sweden and Denmark
162 (Viklund, 2010; Bølling, 2011).

163

164 Genetic trends were computed as the average Nordic EBVs by birth year for competing
165 horses in show jumping and dressage in each Nordic country.

166 **Results**

167 *Genetic parameters*

168 The genetic parameters estimated in within country univariate analyses (Model I) and in
169 analyses of joint Nordic data (Model II) are presented in Table 2. For show jumping the
170 estimated heritabilities were moderate, ranging from 0.25 to 0.42. Heritabilities estimated for
171 dressage were more variable, from 0.14 to 0.55, with high estimates for Finnish and
172 Norwegian data, and low for Swedish and Danish data. Heritabilities estimated in joint Nordic
173 analyses were at the same level as for Swedish and Danish data.

174

175 Estimated genetic correlations between performances in show jumping in the different
176 countries ranged from 0.73 between Denmark and Norway to 1.00 between Sweden and
177 Denmark (Table 3). For dressage performance the genetic correlations ranged from 0.63
178 between Sweden and Finland to 1.00 between Sweden and Norway. The phenotypic
179 correlations were moderate to high (0.23-0.66) except between Danish and Finnish data for
180 show jumping (-0.02) and between Swedish and Norwegian dressage data (-0.09). The
181 phenotypic correlations were higher for dressage than for show jumping.

182 *Accuracies of EBVs*

183 Accuracies for EBVs for stallions with competing offspring in more than one country
184 estimated within country or in joint Nordic evaluations are presented in Table 4 (show
185 jumping) and Table 5 (dressage). Criterion I included many stallions as at least one competing
186 offspring within country was the limit, but gave rather low average accuracies (0.50-0.65 for
187 show jumping and 0.47-0.53 for dressage) for EBVs estimated within country. When a
188 minimum of 14 offspring competing in the other countries were added, the average accuracies
189 of stallion EBVs increased to 0.89-0.90 (show jumping) and 0.82-0.85 (dressage). The

190 relative gain in accuracy was consequently very high (38-81%). With increasing minimum
191 number of competing offspring within country, the average accuracies for national EBVs
192 increased and the relative gain in accuracies of joint Nordic evaluation decreased. However,
193 very few stallions met criterion III of 10 competing offspring within country and the number
194 of stallions decreased rapidly, especially in Finland and Norway.

195 *Number of stallions with EBVs*

196 With the minimum of 15 competing offspring for a stallion to receive an official published
197 EBV, the number of stallions with official EBVs increased in a joint Nordic genetic
198 evaluation compared to national evaluations. In Table 6 number of stallions with at least 15
199 competing offspring within country, and number of stallions with less than 15 offspring
200 within a country but with a total of at least 15 offspring in the Nordic countries are presented.
201 Only stallions that are represented by at least one competing offspring within each country
202 were included. All countries had more stallions with official EBVs in a joint evaluation
203 compared with in national evaluations. In the Norwegian data there was no stallion with 15
204 competing offspring in dressage, but when data was added from the other Nordic countries
205 105 stallions with competing offspring in Norway passed the limit to receive an official EBV.
206 For the larger populations in Sweden and Denmark the number of stallions with official EBVs
207 increased by 40-73%.

208

209

210 ***Genetic trends***

211 Genetic trends for competing horses in the Nordic countries based on joint Nordic genetic
212 evaluation are presented for show jumping (Figure 1) and dressage (Figure 2). The larger
213 populations in Sweden and Denmark showed a faster genetic progress and a higher genetic
214 level than the populations in Finland and Norway. However, between birth year 1990 and
215 1997 the horses competing in show jumping in Finland had almost as high average EBVs as
216 horses competing in Sweden and Denmark. The genetic trends for Swedish and Danish horses
217 were similar throughout the time period, the Swedish horses were on a little higher level in
218 show jumping while the Danish horses were a on a little higher level in dressage. The horses
219 competing in Norway were at the lowest genetic level and showed the slowest genetic
220 progress over the time period.

221

222

223 **Discussion**

224 *Scientific conditions for joint Nordic EBVs*

225 In dairy cattle, international genetic evaluation of dairy bulls has been conducted for almost
226 20 years (Philipsson, 2011). Every participating country provides national EBVs and the
227 international EBVs are estimated using Multiple-trait Across Country Evaluation (MACE). In
228 two Interstallion pilot projects, Thorén Hellsten et al. (2009a) and Ruhlmann et al. (2009b)
229 also used national EBVs when estimating genetic correlations across countries for young
230 horse test data and show jumping data, respectively. In Finland and Norway there are no
231 current national genetic evaluations, meaning that a joint Nordic genetic evaluation has to be
232 based on raw data. In the Icelandic horse population, international genetic evaluations based
233 on raw data from breeding field tests have been performed since 1995 (Albertsdóttir, 2010).
234 Back then records from the Nordic countries Iceland, Norway, Denmark and Sweden were
235 included, but since 2005 assessments from eleven countries are included in the evaluations.
236 An advantage for the Icelandic population is that there is a global database (WorldFengur)
237 with unique identification numbers for all Icelandic horses, and the International Federation of
238 Icelandic Horses Associations (FEIF) has a harmonized, common breeding goal and a
239 standard form of evaluating horses (Árnason et al., 2006). In the present study merging
240 pedigree databases from the different countries required a lot of time and manual work. This
241 situation will certainly improve in the future with the Universal Equine Life Number (UELN)
242 system that has been obligatory in Europe since 2009 (EU, 2008). However, it takes time
243 before all horses, including ancestors in the pedigrees, have received UELN and the original
244 number from the birth population must be kept unchanged.

245 Complete and correct pedigrees are crucial for reliable EBVs. Thorén Hellsten et al. (2009b)
246 showed that if the pedigree information is incomplete the EBVs are regressed towards the
247 mean, and it will affect stallions with very high or very low true breeding values the most.
248 That means that there is a large risk for good stallions to be underestimated and less good
249 stallions to be overestimated if pedigrees are incomplete. In this study the average PEC value
250 for horses competing in Norway was very low. In the study by Furre et al. (2013) the PEC
251 value for horses tested in young horse tests in Norway was 0.47, which was also low but
252 higher than in this study. The young horse tests are open to horses with an approved pedigree
253 that are registered in the breeding organization. Competitions on the other hand are open for
254 all horses of all breeds without any demands on pedigree, therefore the even lower PEC value
255 in this study for the Norwegian data. The recording system in Norway has recently been
256 improved and PEC values are expected to be higher in the coming years. For the Swedish
257 competition data the PEC values were at the same level (0.90) as in the study by Viklund et
258 al. (2010).

259 *Choice of performance trait and model*

260 Denmark and Sweden use different performance traits and models in their current routine
261 national genetic evaluations. In Denmark EBVs for competition performance are based on
262 ranking in each competition with a single trait repeatability model (Bølling, 2011), whereas
263 lifetime accumulated points in competition is used together with information from young
264 horse test data in a multi trait model in Sweden (Viklund et al., 2011). In this study the same
265 performance traits in the different countries were used to harmonize data. Due to the common
266 long tradition of giving points to placed horses in all countries, lifetime accumulated points
267 were used to include as much data as possible.

268 The statistical models used included the fixed effects of gender and birth year for analyses
269 within country, and gender and birth year*country for analyses for joint Nordic data. It was a

270 large variation in time periods of data, from five years of Norwegian data to almost 50 years
271 of Swedish data. By considering birth year or birth year*country, all horses of the same age
272 have had equal opportunities to compete under same conditions as the sport has developed
273 throughout the years. In Denmark routine national genetic evaluations the random effect of
274 rider is included (Bølling, 2011), but in this study it was not possible to obtain information
275 about rider from all countries. However, including a rider effect can result in a biased
276 decreased genetic variance since there is an anticipated dependency between the quality of the
277 horse and the quality of the rider. Neglecting the rider effect may on the other hand cause an
278 upward bias of the genetic variance.

279 *Genetic parameters for performance*

280 Data from the different countries were first analysed separately. The genetic parameters for
281 the Swedish data were at the same level as in previous study by Viklund et al. (2010). The
282 Danish data showed somewhat lower heritabilities than the Swedish data due to larger
283 residual variances. However, genetic variances were higher than those used in the current
284 Danish genetic evaluation where the genetic variances were 0.0385 and 0.0862 for dressage
285 and show jumping, respectively (Bølling, 2011). This can partly be explained by use of
286 repeated observations instead of one lifetime record per horse as in this study. For Norwegian
287 and Finnish dressage performance the heritabilities were high, but can be misleading due the
288 small data set. In addition, the pedigree information in the Norwegian data was limited with
289 an average PEC-value of 0.27 for competing horses. For the joint data, when the competition
290 trait was considered the same trait across countries, the genetic parameters were at the same
291 level as the Swedish and Danish data because of their large contribution to the joint data.

292 *Is competition performance the same trait in the Nordic countries?*

293 If the performance traits in one country should be informative in another country it is
294 important that the genetic correlations are at a high level. In this study the genetic correlations

295 were very high between all four countries. In a previous Nordic study based on young horse
296 test data in Sweden and Norway the genetic correlations ranged from 0.43 to 0.90, with no
297 correlation significantly different from unity (Furre et al., 2013). In the study by Thorén
298 Hellsten et al. (2009a) the genetic correlation between national EBVs in Swedish Warmblood
299 (SWB) and Danish Warmblood (DWB) for similar phenotypic young horse performance traits
300 ranged from 0.88 to 1.00. For show jumping traits the genetic correlations between national
301 EBVs in five European countries ranged from 0.45 to 0.91 in a study by Ruhlmann et al.
302 (2009b). Between Sweden and Denmark the correlation was 0.86, which was lower than in
303 this study (1.00). The difference can be explained by the lower genetic connectedness in the
304 data in the study by Ruhlmann et al. (2009b). Ruhlmann et al. (2009a) estimated the genetic
305 similarity to 16% between Sweden and Denmark, while the present study was based on the
306 data by Furre et al. (in manuscript) that showed a genetic similarity of 57% between the same
307 countries.

308 ***Genetic trend***

309 As expected there was a more rapid genetic progress in the larger populations in Sweden and
310 Denmark than in Finland and Norway. If the smaller populations would have access to more
311 stallions with reliable EBVs the progress could be increased. Another reason for the low
312 genetic progress in Norway is probably the low average PEC value that leads to more
313 regressed EBVs. All trends were positive except for the downward trend for show jumping
314 horses competing in Finland the two last years. This can probably be explained by a very
315 good cohort born in 2003, and followed by an unstable trend with decreasing number of
316 competing horses born 2004 and 2005.

317 ***Benefits of a joint Nordic evaluation***

318 A joint Nordic genetic evaluation will dramatically increase the number of stallions with
319 official EBVs that all Nordic countries can make use of. With a larger number of stallions

320 with available and comparable breeding values the selection can be more accurate and more
321 intense, leading to a larger genetic progress in all four countries. For example the number of
322 show jumping stallions with EBV in Sweden increased by 47%, and in Norway where no
323 stallion reached 15 competing offspring in dressage within Norway, 105 stallions received an
324 EBV in a joint evaluation.

325

326 Including more offspring in genetic evaluation of stallions increases the accuracy of the EBV.
327 In the study by Furre et al. (2013) of young horse test data from Sweden and Norway it was
328 shown that a joint genetic analysis was beneficial for both the small Norwegian population as
329 well as for the larger population in Sweden. For stallions with tested offspring in both
330 populations, the average accuracy of estimated breeding values increased by 4% for SWB and
331 by 110% for Norwegian Warmblood (NWB). Thorén Hellsten et al. (2009a) compared the
332 reliabilities for national young horse performance EBVs and expected reliabilities for
333 international EBVs estimated with multiple across country evaluation between Sweden and
334 Denmark. For stallions with tested offspring in both countries the reliabilities increased by
335 0.05-0.16 units. The present study confirms that a joint evaluation can be beneficial to all the
336 Nordic countries. In the national genetic evaluations in Sweden and Denmark there is a
337 minimum of 15 tested offspring for stallions to receive an official EBV (Viklund, 2010;
338 Bølling, 2011). Depending on what criterion was set concerning competing offspring within
339 country and additional competing offspring in the other countries, the relative gain in average
340 accuracy of EBV ranged from 2 to 244 %. All criteria with a total of 15 competing offspring
341 gave a high average accuracy of joint Nordic EBV (0.88-0.93 for show jumping and 0.82-0.87
342 for dressage).

343

344 ***Future aspects***

345 In the present study it has been shown that a joint Nordic genetic evaluation of riding horses
346 would be beneficial to all Nordic countries. However, there are some aspects that have to be
347 investigated before a routine genetic evaluation can be implemented.

348 Pre-selection is an important issue dealing with competition data. The selection can be based
349 on pedigree, talent, interest, exports and use in breeding. In the future it would be necessary to
350 investigate if a multi-trait model including also results from young horse tests in the Nordic
351 countries can be used to reduce the effect of pre-selection. The young horse test data is less
352 selected, and both dressage and jumping talent are evaluated for all horses, which should lead
353 to reduced bias in the EBV. Moreover, the heritabilities are often higher for traits at young
354 horse tests and the tests are more standardised than competitions.

355 In this study we excluded horses that had started at competition but that never had received
356 points in order to make the data more comparable between countries. This increased the pre-
357 selection of horses with results. In a possible future joint genetic evaluation with a multi-trait
358 model these horses should be included again to reduce bias in EBVs.

359 When horses are imported for competition they are often selected on their talent. It is not
360 possible to estimate an unbiased EBV for a foreign stallion if only the best offspring by the
361 stallion are exported to the Nordic countries. The experiences in the Nordic Warmblood
362 associations confirm that this is a problem that needs to be addressed in future studies.

363 **Conclusions**

364 The high genetic correlations between competition traits in the different countries confirm
365 that competition performance is equally defined in the Nordic countries and can be treated as
366 the same trait in a joint genetic evaluation.

367 A joint Nordic genetic evaluation for competition is recommended because it would result in
368 many more available stallions with comparable EBVs for all countries. The EBVs could then
369 also be estimated with higher accuracy earlier. For the smaller populations a joint evaluation
370 is the only possibility to get comparable and reliable EBVs and access to those for a much
371 larger population than from within country evaluations.

372 Additional studies are needed to investigate the effects of pre-selection or importation of
373 horses for competition if an integrated index based on both competition and young horse test
374 data should be implemented in the Nordic countries.

375 Intensified work to harmonize the ID of horses is needed to increase the pedigree
376 completeness, especially for the Norwegian data.

377 **Acknowledgements**

378 Financial support from the Swedish-Norwegian Foundation for Horse Research is gratefully
379 acknowledged. The breeding associations for Swedish, Danish, Norwegian and Finnish
380 Warmblood are gratefully acknowledged for providing data and their engagement in the
381 study.

382 **References**

383 Albertsdóttir, E. (2010) Integrated Genetic Evaluation of Breeding Field Test Traits,
384 Competition Traits and Test Status in Icelandic Horses. Doctoral thesis. Agricultural
385 University of Iceland, Iceland. ISBN 97899798810412.

386

387 Árnason, T., Sigurdsson, Á. and Lorange, J.B. (2006) Global genetic evaluations of the
388 Icelandic horse and genetic connectedness between the countries. In: Proceedings of the 8th

389 World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, August 13-18, Bello
390 Horizonte, Brazil. Paper 24-16.

391

392 Bølling, D. (2011) Beskrivelse konkurrenceindeks [Description competition index]. Word-
393 document received 11 September 2012. 6pp.

394

395 EU (European Union). (2008) Commission Regulation (EC) no 504/2008 [online].

396 <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:149:0003:0032:EN:PDF>

397 [Accessed 15 January 2014].

398

399 Furre, S., Viklund, Å., Heringstad, B., Philipsson, J., and Vangen, O. (2013) Improvement in
400 the national genetic evaluation of warmblood riding horses by including information from
401 related studbooks. *Acta Agriculturae Scand Section A*. DOI: 10.1080/09064702.2013.791341.

402

403 Furre, S., Viklund, Å., Heringstad, B., Philipsson, J., and Vangen, O. (in manuscript) Genetic
404 composition and connectedness in four Nordic Warmblood Sport Horse Populations.

405

406

407 Jensen, J., Mäntysaari, E.A., Madsen, P. and Thompson, R. (1997) Residual maximum
408 likelihood estimation of (co)variance components in multitrait mixed linear models using
409 average information. *J. Ind. Soc. Agric. Stat.*, 49, 215-236.

410

411 Koenen, E.P.C., Aldridge, L.I. and Philipsson, J. (2004) An overview of breeding objectives
412 for warmblood sport horses. *Livestock Production Science*, 88, 77-84.

413

414 Koenen, E.P.C. and Aldridge, L.I. (2002) Testing and genetic evaluation of sport horses in an
415 international perspective. In: Proceedings of the 7th World Congress on Genetics Applied to
416 Livestock Production. August 19-23 2002. Montpellier. France, paper 05-01.

417 MacCluer, J., Boyce, A.J., Dyke, B., Weitkamp, L.R., Pfenning, D.W. and Parson, C.J. (1983)
418 Inbreeding and pedigree structure in Standardbred horses. *The Journal of Heredity*, 74, 394-
419 399.

420

421 Madsen, P. and Jensen, J. (2012) A User's guide to DMU - A Package for Analyzing
422 Multivariate Mixed Models. University of Aarhus. Faculty Agricultural Sciences, Dept. of
423 Genetics and Biotechnology. Research Centre Foulum. 32 pp.

424

425 Philipsson, J. (2011) Interbull developments, global genetic trends and role in the era of
426 genomics. Interbull Bulletin no. 44. August 26-29, Stavanger, Norway. Available at:
427 <https://journal.interbull.org/index.php/ib/article/view/1255/1249>. [Accessed 10 March 2014].

428

429 Ruhlmann, C., Bruns, E., Fraehr, E., Philipsson, J., Janssens S., Quinn, K., Thorén Hellsten,
430 E. and Ricard, A. (2009a) Genetic connectedness between seven European countries for
431 performance in jumping competitions of Warmblood riding horses. *Livestock Production*
432 *Science*, 120, 75-86.

433

434 Ruhlmann, C., Janssens, S., Philipsson, J., Thorén Hellsten, E., Crollly, H., Quinn, K.,
435 Manfredi, E. and Ricard, A. (2009b) Genetic correlations between horse show jumping
436 competition traits in five European countries. *Livestock Production Science*, 122, 234-240.

437

438 SAS Institute Inc. (2007) SAS OnlineDoc® 9.1.3. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
439 <http://support.sas.com/onlinedoc/913/>. [Accessed 15 January 2014].

440

441 Thorén Hellsten, E., Jorjani, H. and Philipsson, J. (2008) Connectedness among five European
442 sport horse populations. *Livestock Science*, 118, 147-156.

443

444

445 Thorén Hellsten, E., Jorjani, H. and Philipsson, J. (2009a) Genetic correlations between
446 similar traits in the Danish and Swedish Warmblood sport horse populations. *Livestock*
447 *Science*, 124, 15-20.

448

449 Thorén Hellsten, E., Näsholm, A., Jorjani, H., Strandberg, E. and Philipsson, J. (2009b)
450 Influence of foreign stallions on the Swedish Warmblood breed and its genetic evaluation.
451 *Livestock Science*, 121, 207-214.

452

453 Viklund, Å., Näsholm, A., Strandberg, E. and Philipsson, J. (2010) Genetic variation in
454 competition traits at different ages and time periods and correlations with traits at field tests of
455 4-year-old Swedish Warmblood horses. *Animal*, 4:5, 682-691.

456

457 Viklund, Å. (2010) Genetic evaluation of Swedish Warmblood horses. Doctoral thesis.
458 Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala. ISBN 978-91-576-7461-6.

459

460 Viklund, Å., Näsholm, A., Strandberg, E. and Philipsson, J. (2011) Genetic trends for
461 performance of Swedish Warmblood horses. *Livestock Science*, 144, 113-122.

462

463

464 **Table 1. Number of horses from each country included in the dataset, means, standard**
 465 **deviations (s.d.), minimum (min) and maximum (max) of 10-log transformed**
 466 **accumulated points in dressage and show jumping in Sweden (SWE), Denmark (DEN),**
 467 **Norway (NOR) and Finland (FIN)**

| Trait | no of horses | mean | s.d. | min | max |
|---------------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|
| <i>Show jumping</i> | | | | | |
| SWE | 22992 | 1.42 | 0.67 | 0.30 | 4.15 |
| DEN | 15141 | 1.57 | 0.75 | 0.30 | 4.41 |
| FIN | 3376 | 1.31 | 0.67 | 0.26 | 3.55 |
| NOR | 3094 | 1.47 | 0.53 | 0.70 | 3.25 |
| <i>Dressage</i> | | | | | |
| SWE | 10768 | 1.38 | 0.67 | 0.48 | 3.75 |
| DEN | 14608 | 1.45 | 0.71 | 0.30 | 4.50 |
| FIN | 2112 | 1.26 | 0.63 | 0.30 | 3.57 |
| NOR | 1873 | 1.27 | 0.52 | 0.70 | 3.39 |

468

469 **Table 2. Genetic (σ_a^2) and residual (σ_e^2) variances, and heritabilities (h^2) for show**
 470 **jumping and dressage performance in the Nordic countries Sweden (SWE), Denmark**
 471 **(DEN), Norway (NOR), Finland (FIN) and for the joint Nordic data (Nordic). Standard**
 472 **errors are given in subscripts**

| | Show jumping | | | Dressage | | |
|--------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | σ_a^2 | σ_e^2 | h^2 | σ_a^2 | σ_e^2 | h^2 |
| SWE | 0.14 _{0.01} | 0.31 _{0.01} | 0.32 _{0.02} | 0.09 _{0.01} | 0.37 _{0.01} | 0.19 _{0.02} |
| DEN | 0.11 _{0.01} | 0.35 _{0.01} | 0.25 _{0.02} | 0.06 _{0.01} | 0.39 _{0.01} | 0.14 _{0.02} |
| FIN | 0.19 _{0.03} | 0.26 _{0.02} | 0.42 _{0.06} | 0.18 _{0.03} | 0.25 _{0.03} | 0.42 _{0.07} |
| NOR | 0.14 _{0.04} | 0.32 _{0.04} | 0.31 _{0.08} | 0.24 _{0.06} | 0.20 _{0.05} | 0.55 _{0.12} |
| Nordic | 0.12 _{0.01} | 0.33 _{0.01} | 0.27 _{0.01} | 0.07 _{0.01} | 0.38 _{0.01} | 0.16 _{0.01} |

473

474 **Table 3. Genetic correlations (r_g) with standard errors as subscripts and phenotypic**
 475 **correlations (r_p) between competition performance traits in the Nordic countries Sweden**
 476 **(SWE), Denmark (DEN), Norway (NOR) and Finland (FIN)**

| | Show jumping | | Dressage | |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| | r_g | r_p | r_g | r_p |
| SWE – DEN | 1.00 _{0.05} ^a | 0.33 ^a | 0.94 _{0.10} | 0.26 |
| SWE - FIN | 0.82 _{0.09} | 0.23 | 0.63 _{0.15} | 0.57 |
| DEN - FIN | 0.78 _{0.12} | -0.02 | 1.00 _{0.14} ^a | 0.54 ^a |
| SWE - NOR | 0.98 _{0.21} | 0.35 | 1.00 _{0.20} ^a | -0.09 ^a |
| DEN - NOR | 0.73 _{0.19} | 0.29 | 1.00 _{0.16} ^a | 0.44 ^a |
| NOR - FIN | 0.95 _{0.25} | 0.53 | 1.00 _{0.18} ^a | 0.54 ^a |

477 ^alower convergence criteria was used (norm vector of $<10^{-5}$ instead of $<10^{-7}$)

478

479

480 **Table 4. Accuracies for breeding values for show jumping estimated with data within**
 481 **country ($r_{TI}(\text{national})$), with joint Nordic data ($r_{TI}(\text{Nordic})$) and the relative gain in**
 482 **accuracy ($r_{TI\text{gain}}$) for stallions with different number of competing offspring in show**
 483 **jumping within country (SWE=Sweden, DEN=Denmark, FIN=Finland, NOR=Norway)**
 484 **and in the other Nordic countries**

| Stallion offspring criteria | N | $r_{TI}(\text{national})$ | $r_{TI}(\text{Nordic})$ | $r_{TI\text{gain}}$ (%) |
|--|----------|---|---|---|
| <i>I. ≥ 1 within country and ≥ 15 in total in the Nordic countries</i> | | | | |
| SWE | 143 | 0.65 | 0.90 | 38 |
| DEN | 117 | 0.62 | 0.90 | 45 |
| FIN | 182 | 0.58 | 0.89 | 53 |
| NOR | 144 | 0.50 | 0.90 | 80 |
| <i>II. ≥ 5 within country and ≥ 15 in total in the Nordic countries</i> | | | | |
| SWE | 92 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 13 |
| DEN | 81 | 0.79 | 0.90 | 14 |
| FIN | 52 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 20 |
| NOR | 32 | 0.69 | 0.93 | 35 |
| <i>III. ≥ 10 within country and ≥ 15 in total in the Nordic countries</i> | | | | |
| SWE | 67 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 6 |
| DEN | 84 | 0.84 | 0.90 | 7 |
| FIN | 18 | 0.82 | 0.93 | 13 |
| NOR | 13 | 0.76 | 0.93 | 22 |
| <i>IV. ≥ 15 in the Nordic countries</i> | | | | |
| SWE | 305 | 0.73 | 0.88 | 21 |
| DEN | 305 | 0.65 | 0.88 | 35 |
| FIN | 305 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 76 |
| NOR | 305 | 0.36 | 0.88 | 244 |

485

486

487 **Table 5. Accuracies for breeding values for dressage estimated with data within country**
 488 **($r_{TI}(\text{national})$), with joint Nordic data ($r_{TI}(\text{Nordic})$) and the relative gain in accuracy**
 489 **($r_{TI\text{gain}}$) for stallions with different number of competing offspring in dressage within**
 490 **country (SWE=Sweden, DEN=Denmark, FIN=Finland, NOR=Norway) and in the other**
 491 **Nordic countries**

| Stallion offspring criteria | N | r_{TI} (national) | r_{TI} (Nordic) | r_{TI} gain (%) |
|---|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| I. ≥ 1 within country and ≥ 15 in total in the Nordic countries | | | | |
| SWE | 112 | 0.49 | 0.83 | 69 |
| DEN | 91 | 0.47 | 0.85 | 81 |
| FIN | 146 | 0.52 | 0.82 | 58 |
| NOR | 100 | 0.53 | 0.84 | 58 |
| II. ≥ 5 within country and ≥ 15 in total in the Nordic countries | | | | |
| SWE | 42 | 0.71 | 0.87 | 23 |
| DEN | 36 | 0.71 | 0.86 | 21 |
| FIN | 26 | 0.74 | 0.86 | 16 |
| NOR | 12 | 0.74 | 0.87 | 18 |
| III. ≥ 10 within country and ≥ 15 in total in the Nordic countries | | | | |
| SWE | 38 | 0.80 | 0.88 | 10 |
| DEN | 53 | 0.76 | 0.84 | 11 |
| FIN | 10 | 0.80 | 0.88 | 10 |
| NOR | 3 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 2 |
| IV. ≥ 15 in the Nordic countries | | | | |
| SWE | 241 | 0.58 | 0.82 | 41 |
| DEN | 241 | 0.58 | 0.82 | 41 |
| FIN | 241 | 0.44 | 0.82 | 86 |
| NOR | 241 | 0.35 | 0.82 | 234 |

492

493 **Table 6. Number of stallions with at least 15 competing offspring within country**
 494 **(SWE=Sweden, DEN=Denmark, FIN=Finland, NOR=Norway), number of stallions with**
 495 **1-14 competing offspring within country but a total of 15 competing offspring in the**
 496 **joint Nordic data and the total sum of stallions with at least 15 competing offspring**

| Country | ≥ 15 competing offspring within country | 1-14 competing offspring within country, ≥ 15 in total in Nordic countries | Total no of stallions |
|----------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| <i>Show jumping</i> | | | |
| SWE | 311 | 146 | 457 |
| DEN | 184 | 134 | 318 |
| FIN | 20 | 202 | 222 |
| NOR | 5 | 144 | 149 |
| <i>Dressage</i> | | | |
| SWE | 179 | 120 | 299 |
| DEN | 199 | 79 | 278 |
| FIN | 9 | 151 | 160 |
| NOR | 0 | 105 | 105 |

497

498 **Captions of figures**

499

500 Figure 1. Genetic trends for horses competing in show jumping in the Nordic countries

501 (SWE=Sweden, DEN=Denmark, FIN=Finland, NOR=Norway) based on joint Nordic genetic

502 evaluation.

503

504 Figure 2. Genetic trends for horses competing in dressage in the Nordic countries

505 (SWE=Sweden, DEN=Denmark, FIN=Finland, NOR=Norway) based on joint Nordic genetic

506 evaluation.

507

508

509