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## Abstract

The development of a 60-litre mixer/vacuum coater was the main objective of this thesis. The mixer/vacuum coaters design is based on a 1-litre prototype created by Didrik Heidal Dolva and Eirik Madland Størdal at IMT/NMBU as well as existing products from Forberg International AS, a manufacturer of mixers and rotating vacuum coaters. The working principle for this machine originates from Fôrtek, the international centre for feed technology owned by NMBU, who in collaboration with IMT/NMBU have created the different inventive steps for the patent. The purpose of this work was to design a ready to build 60 -litre version of the 1 -litre prototype.

The mixer/vacuum coater consists of one main housing with two different lids: one deagglomeration lid and one vacuum lid. The lids can be changed to perform either vacuum coating or mixing operations. Chain driven components are used to rotate the main housing for it to be able to execute filling, emptying, mixing/vacuum coating and cleaning. The mixer/vacuum coater consists of 77 self-made unique parts, countless standard parts and solutions provided by Forberg.

Structural analysis were executed on the components that was seen as critical for the machine to function safely and properly. The calculations were mainly performed for the parts that were subjected to the highest stress.

Required start torque and power for every motor/gearmotor and stress evaluation for the mixer rotor and the main housing is examples of calculations that were performed.

A list of possible production methods were executed to form the basis of the cost analysis. The cost of standard parts were obtained by contacting the different manufacturers while the manufacturing costs of the designed components were estimated based on communication with workshops and suppliers.

## Sammendrag

Hovedmålet for denne mastergradsoppgaven var å utvikle en maskin som skulle kunne både mikse og vakuum «coate» en batch på opptil 60 liter. Oppgaven er basert på utviklingen av en 1 liter mixer/vacuum coater som er ble gjort i forbindelse med masteroppgaven til Didrik Heidal Dolva og Eirik Madland ved IMT/NMBU våren 2015. Maskinen som er blitt utviklet i denne masteroppgaven er blitt utviklet gjennom samarbeid med Forberg International AS, produsent av miksere og vacuum coatere og eier av den originale «twin-shaft»-mikseren, samt Fôrtek, senter for fôr-teknologi ved Norges miljø- og biovitenskapelige universitet. Sistnevnte utarbeidet arbeidsprinsippet og de forskjellige utviklingspunktene til mikser/vakuum coateren i samarbeid med IMT/NMBU. Den nye 60 liter mikser/vakuum coateren skulle være en oppskalering av fjorårets 1 liter maskin.

Mikser/vacuum coateren består av et hoved-hus som kan benyttes med to forskjellige lokk: ett med en de-agglomerator og ett vakuum-lokk. Lokkene kan byttes ut for å enten kunne utføre miksing eller vacuum coating. Kjededrevene komponenter er benyttet for å kunne rotere hoved-huset for at det skulle kunne bli utført påfylling, tømming, miksing/vacuum coating og rengjøring. Maskinen består av 77 egendesignede deler og en stor mengde standardkomponenter i tillegg til løsninger hentet fra Forberg.

Strukturanalyse har blitt utført på de komponentene som ble ansett som avgjørende for at maskinen skulle kunne utføre oppgavene den var ment til å uføre på et trygt og forsvarlig vis. Kalkulasjonene ble i all hovedsak utført på de delene som var sett på utsatt for høyest spenning.
$N \varnothing d v e n d i g$ startmoment og effekt for hver motor/gir-motor og spenningsberegninger på mikser-rotoren og hoved-huset er eksempler på beregninger som ble utført.

En liste med mulige produksjonsmetoder ble utformer for å danne grunnlaget til kostnadsanalysen. Kostnadene til standardkomponentene ble innhentet gjennom korrespondanse med leverandører, mens produksjonskostnadene av de selvdesignede delene ble estimert ved å ta utgangspunkt i kommunikasjon med verksted og leverandører.
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Last year, two students from NMBU sat out to design and test an idea for a mixer/vacuum coater which was generated by the Center for Feed Technology (Fôrtek) here at NMBU. The purpose of the thesis was to make a simpler, less complicated product compared to other similar products on the marked.

Throughout the thesis period, the two students in question designed and assembled a prototype that made it possible for them to perform testing. Through testing, the students proved the validity of the working principle by delivering satisfactory results in two out of three aspects. The third being the vacuum coating testing that was unfortunately declared inconclusive as the 3D-printet prototype had some constructional faults causing leaks. Seeing as both the mixing and the dispersion of fluid proved successful, it was decided that the working principle should be tested for an up-scaled version of the original mixer. (1)


Figure 1.1 CAD-model of last year's Mixer/Vacuum Coater (1)

### 1.1.1 Vacuum Coating

Vacuum coating is a process that is commonly used in the feed industry to achieve an increased energy density of a pellet, enhanced flavour of a product, or to change its texture. The process takes place inside a chamber that is depressurized to achieve sub-atmospheric conditions (down to roughly $80 \%$ vacuum ( $0,2 \mathrm{~atm}$ )). When the required pressure is reached, the air that would normally occupy the pores of the pellets will have been evacuated, leaving the pores empty. When initiating liquid addition when in the vacuum state, the added liquid will cover the surface of the pellets. When depressurising is initiated the liquid is slowly penetrating the pores. (1) (2)


### 1.2 Scope of Thesis

The thesis will focus on the design of a single shaft paddle - mixer - de-agglomerator with vacuum coating ability. Through collaboration with Forberg International AS, the owner of the original Twin Shaft paddle mixer technology, and Fôrtek, the center for feed technology at NMBU, it was decided that the mixer/vacuum coater would have a batch capacity of 60 liter.

This thesis will mainly focus on the design of the mixer and the selection of standard parts to be implemented in the prototype that Forberg will manufacture and test.

Last year's thesis managed to provide data from testing that showed promising results in regards to the mixers working principle. The thesis also presented aspects of the mixer that needed improvement and other issues that should be addressed in the future.

### 1.3 Working principle

### 1.3.1 Mixing

The working principle of the mixer/vacuum coater designed in this thesis is the same as that of the mixer/vacuum coater designed in last year's thesis. This was a horizontal single shaft mixer with an added de-agglomerator that, in a short period of time, was to transform heterogeneous powders and particles into a loose, but homogenous solid-liquid matrix.

The geometry of the mixer rotor is to produce lifting of particles and powders towards the de-agglomerating zone which is equipped with a de-agglomerator. The de-agglomerator should, as a result of its high rotational speed and de-agglomerating elements, proceed to disperse any agglomerations before the particles and powder are forced towards the liquid addition zone. When in the liquid addition zone, the particles and powder should form a curtain beneath the spraying nozzle, resulting in an even dispersion of the added liquid. For the mixer to be able to mix a wide variety of powders and liquid additions, the rotational


Figure 1.3 Demonstration of working principle when mixing. Red arrows show product movement
speed of the mixer rotor and the de-agglommerator is to be controlled separately from one another. This will enable the user to adjust the speeds according to the different liquid applications, and the different viscosities, powder size and cohesive properties of the particles being mixed. (3)

### 1.3.2 Vacuum coating

When vacuum coating, the mixer rotor will rotate at a reduced speed, which in turn will prevent the content of the mixer reaching the de-agglomeration zone. The geometry of the mixer rotor will instead cause the content of the mixer to be thrown around in an eightfigure pattern as illustrated in Figure 1.4. The way the pellets move when being vacuum coated will help distribute the liquid addition.


Figure 1.4 Demonstration of working principle when vacuum coating. Red 8 pattern and arrows show movement of product.

### 1.4 Competing solutions

To get a better understanding of the current market, it was performed a short analysis of products that work in a similar fashion or have approximately the same batch size as the mixer/vacuum coater designed in this thesis. The information on competitors gathered in this chapter will contribute to the concept generation in chapter 5 .

### 1.4.1 Dinnissen Pegasus:



Figure 1.5: Dinnissen Pegasus. Courtesy of Dinnissen. (4)
The Pegasus is a twin shaft mixer where the shaft rotates in opposite directions to achieve a fluidized zone in the middle of the mixer, which eliminates segregation. A retractable shaft makes the mixer convenient to clean and easy to maintain. The mixer can be equipped with nozzles for liquid addition, as well as other components if the customer wants it. This mixer offers quick and effective mixing with a mixing time between $3-50$ seconds. (5)

### 1.4.2 Andritz Optimix:



Figure 1.6: Andritz Optimix. Courtesy of Andritz. A: External view (6), B: Internal view. (7)
The Optimix is a single shaft mixer with focus on cleaning and maintenance. The paddles and the connections on the shaft are adjustable and replaceable. Maintenance of the nozzles is easily executed by entering a door at the end of the mixer. The shaft is rotated after each batch to achieve a better cleaning result, this is referred to as self-cleaning. The batch volume is up to 4000 litre and the mixing time is between $75-90$ seconds. (8)
1.4.3 Bühler Sanimix:


Figure 1.7: Bühler Sanimix. Courtesy of Bühler. A: External view (9), B: Internal view (10).
The Sanimix is a single shaft mixer with consistent mixing quality that and produce give a homogenic product. The Sanimix is easy to clean as a result of its large service door. There are two versions are available for this mixer: one for dry mixes and one for mixes that include liquid addition. The version with liquid addition has paddles that shear the product and choppers to crush chunks. (9)

### 1.4.4 Muyang double circle paddle mixer:



Figure 1.8: Muyang double circle paddle mixer. Courtesy of Muyang. A: External view (11), B: Paddle shape (12).

The double circle paddle mixer offers high mixing homogeneity and easy cleaning and maintenance due to its large service door. The double circle rotor moves product both at the fast flowing zone as well as the slow flowing zone as seen in Figure 1.8. The paddles are angled to move the product to the middle of the mixing chamber. The mixer has a mixing time of 60-90 seconds with a maximum of $3 \%$ liquid addition. (11)

### 1.4.5 Commentary regarding the competitors:

A large service door for cleaning seems to be a shared feature for all the competitors, as well as a quick mixing time with high homogeneity.

The Pegasus mixer is easy to clean because of the retractable shaft, but this comes at the expense of the required space for the mixer. It can be troublesome for production facilities who want to replace an existing mixer for the Pegasus in the same operating space.

The competitors mentioned here all have paddles that are small (compared to the batch volume) with an angle of about 45 degrees along the shaft. This is quite different from last year's prototype, which had big paddles with the middle paddles needing an angel of 15 degrees to provide enough lift so that the product could reach the de-agglomerator.

### 1.5 Potential

The prototype that this thesis bases itself on is a combination of a mixer and a vacuum coater, which in the industry would have been two separate dedicated machines. The advantage of having both functions in one machine is that it allows for testing of different types of products in mixing, and then use the same machine later on to vacuum coat the pellets. The reason why mixing and vacuum coating are done by two different machines today is that in a continuous production the mixing would happen at a different stage than the vacuum coating off pellets. The disruption of flow in the production process would not be optimal as one would have to clean the mixer before using it to coat the pellets. The mixer/vacuum coater will be developed to test if the working principle is scalable, and at a later stage, it can be considered if it is best to separate the machine into two machines, one mixer and one vacuum coater.

### 1.6 Design remarks

Before and during the design period of this thesis, there was a lot of correspondence with Fôrtek and Forberg regarding which features to include and which to avoid. Not all remarks written in this section was implemented to its fullest as the design evolved, but great effort was put into satisfying each of the requests.

- The housing needs to be airtight for the vacuum coating to be successful. A solution needs to be found to ensure that the leakage at the inlet/outlet and shaft bearings are negligible.
- Tests have been executed on last year's prototype and this thesis should not deviate too much from that design, keeping in mind the test results provided in said thesis.
- The prototype was 3D-printed, which gave them an advantage when producing the parts. As the new mixer/vacuum coater should handle a higher batch, the different parts now needs to be manufactured out of steel.
- Hatches on the bottom of the housing or any edges in the area where pellets are mixed and coated would cause crushing of pellets and is thus not desirable.
- An ideal approach is to use as many standard components as possible to minimize the production cost and to make it convenient to produce and assemble the mixer.
- Sharp edges are not desired within the mixer/vacuum coater, as this tend to cause local agglomeration, which in turn can lead to contamination of product.


## 2. Project Plan

This chapter will define the goals of the thesis and include a progress schedule and limitations for the thesis.

### 2.1 Objectives

### 2.1.1 Main goal

Development of a 60-litre mixer/vacuum coater based on last year's 1-litre prototype by Didrik Heidal Dolva \& Eirik Madland Størdal (13).

### 2.1.2 Subgoals

- Product specification
- Complete 3D-model with manufacturing drawings
- Execute structural calculations
- Perform cost analysis
- Complete and deliver the Thesis


### 2.3 Limitations of the thesis

When developing a machine, as done in this thesis, there are lot of different aspects that needs to be taken into consideration. As time is a limited resource, the thesis will not go in depth in the following:

- Automation and system controls for the mixer/vacuum coater.
- Routing of pneumatic cables and electrical wiring.


## 3. Methods

### 3.1 Terminology, symbols and equations

Table 3.1: Explanation of terms used in this thesis.

| Term | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Paddle | The plates moving the product that is being mixed in the mixer/vacuum <br> coater. |
| De- <br> agglomerator <br> Mixer rotor | Fast moving shaft with pins that crush lumps of product. |
| The assembly of the mixer shaft, rods and paddles. |  |
| Turn wheel | Clustering of masses. |
| RMVC | Rotating mixer/vacuum coater |

Table 3.2: Symbol, description and unit.

| Symbol | Description | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{F}$ | Force | N |
| $\boldsymbol{q}$ | Distributed force | $\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{mm}}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | Pressure | Pa |
| $\boldsymbol{M}$ | Moment | Nm |
| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ | Stress | Pa |
| $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ | Torsional stress | Pa |
| $\boldsymbol{E}$ | Elastic modulus | Pa |
| $\boldsymbol{m}$ | Mass | kg |
| $\boldsymbol{a}$ | Acceleration | $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{s}^{2}}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{g}$ | Gravitational acceleration | $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{s}^{2}}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{v}$ | Velocity | $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{s}}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | Rotational speed | RPM |
| $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ | Rotational speed | $\frac{R a d}{\mathrm{~s}}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ | Friction coefficient | - |
| $\boldsymbol{V}$ | Volume | L |
| $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ | Density | $\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{L}}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{d}$ | Diameter | mm |
| $\boldsymbol{r}$ | Radius | mm |


| Symbol | Description | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{t}$ | Thickness | mm |
| $\boldsymbol{I}$ | Length | mm |
| $\boldsymbol{b}$ | Width | mm |
| $\boldsymbol{h}$ | Height | mm |
| $\boldsymbol{A}$ | Area | $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{P}$ | Power | W |
| $\boldsymbol{W}$ | Section modulus | $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{I}$ | Second moment of inertia | $\mathrm{mm}^{4}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{e}}$ | Yield strength | $\mathrm{MPa}^{2}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{I}$ | Moment of inertia | $\mathrm{kgm}^{2}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ | Efficiency | - |

Table 3.2: Overview of the equation used in this thesis.

|  <br> name | Equation | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Number \& name | Equation | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rectangular cross-section (17) |  |  |
| 8. <br> Deformation of a cantilever beam subject to a distributed force (16) | $y=\frac{q l^{4}}{8 E I}$ | y: Deformation <br> $l$ : Length of the beam <br> E: Elastic modulus <br> I: Second moment of inertia |
| 9. <br> Moment of a cantilever beam subject to a distributed force (16) | $M_{b}=\frac{q l^{2}}{2}$ | $M_{b}$ : Bending moment $q$ : Distributed force $l$ : Length of the beam |
| 10. <br> Maximum bending stress (17) | $\sigma_{b}=\frac{M_{b} y}{I}$ | $\sigma_{b, \text { max }}:$ Maximum bending stress <br> $M_{b, \max }$ : Maximum bending moment <br> $y_{\max }$ : Distance to the neutral axis W: Section modulus |
| 11. <br> Moment | $M=F l$ | M: Moment <br> F:Force <br> L: Length |
| 12. <br> Section modulus of a filled circular cross-section (17) | $W=\frac{\pi d^{3}}{32}$ | $W$ : Section modulus $d$ :Diameter |
| 13. <br> Maximum <br> bending <br> stress (17) | $\sigma_{b}=\frac{M_{b}}{W_{b}}$ | $\sigma_{b}:$ Bending stress <br> $M_{b}:$ Bending moment <br> $W_{b}$ : Section modulus |
| 14. <br> Polar section modulus of a filled circular cross-section (17) | $W_{t}=\frac{\pi d^{3}}{16}$ | $W_{t}$ : Polar section modulus $d$ :Diameter |


| Number \& name | Equation | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15. <br> Maximum shear stress (torsion) (17) | $\tau_{\max }=\frac{M_{t}}{W_{t}}$ | $\tau_{\text {max }}$ : Maximum shear stress <br> $M_{t}$ : Torque <br> $W_{t}$ : Polar section modulus |
| 16. <br> S-N-curve (18) | $\begin{aligned} \log N=\log \bar{a}_{1}- & m_{1} k \times \log \left(\frac{t}{t_{r e f}}\right) \\ & -m_{1} \log \Delta \sigma \\ N & =C \times \Delta \sigma^{-m} \end{aligned}$ | $t_{\text {ref }}$ : Reference thickness <br> $t$ :Thickness of component <br> $k$ : Correction factor <br> $N$ : Number of cycles <br> C: Number of cycles <br> (forces not acting) <br> $\Delta \sigma$ :Stress <br> m: Value from table |
| 17. <br> Volume of a rectangular cuboid | $V=l \times b \times h$ | $V$ :Volume <br> $l:$ Length <br> b: Width <br> $h$ : Height |
| 18. <br> Volume of a cylinder | $V=\frac{\pi d^{2}}{4} l$ | V: Volume <br> d:Diameter <br> $l:$ Length |
| 19. <br> Second moment of inertia for a filled circular cross-section (17) | $I=\frac{\pi d^{4}}{64}$ | I: Second moment of inertia d:Diameter |
| 20. <br> Macaulay's method (17) | $\begin{aligned} E I y^{\prime} & =-M(x) \\ E I y^{`} & =-\int M(x) \\ E I y & =-\iint M(x) \end{aligned}$ | E: Elastic modulus <br> I: Second moment of inertia <br> M(x): Moment <br> y:Curvature <br> $y$ : Deformation angle <br> $y^{\prime \prime}$ :Deformation |
| 21. <br> Rayleigh's method for bending critical speed (19) | $n_{c r}=\frac{30}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{9810 \times \sum m \times y}{\sum m \times y^{2}}}$ | $n_{c r}$ : Critical speed <br> m: Mass <br> $y$ :Deformation |
| 22. <br> Moment of inertia rod about centre (20) | $I_{r}=\frac{m l^{2}}{12}$ | $I_{r}$ : Moment of inertia m: Mass <br> $l$ :Length |
| Number \& name | Equation | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23. <br> Parallel axis theorem (15) | $I_{A}=I_{C M}+m e^{2}$ | $I_{A}$ : Moment of inertia of an axis <br> $I_{C M}$ : Moment of inertia about the center of mass <br> m: Mass <br> $e$ :Distance between <br> the axes |
| 24. <br> Moment of inertia for a rectangular plate about central axis (20) | $I=\frac{m a^{2}}{12}$ | I: Moment of inertia m: Mass <br> $a$ :Width |
| 25. <br> Moment of inertia for a solid cylinder (or disc) about central axis (20) | $I=\frac{m r_{a}^{2}}{2}$ | I: Moment of inertia <br> m: Mass <br> $r_{a}$ : Radius |
| 26. <br> Angular acceleration (15) | $\alpha=\frac{\pi \times n}{t \times 30}$ | $\alpha$ :Angular acceleration <br> $n$ :Rotational speed <br> $t$ :Time |
| 27. <br> Motor start torque (15) | $M_{\text {start }}=M_{m}+M_{a k s, t o t}$ | $M_{\text {start }}$ : Start torque <br> $M_{m}$ : Load torque <br> $M_{\text {aks,tot }}$ : Accelaration torque |
| 28. <br> Acceleration torque (15) | $M_{a k s, t o t}=\alpha_{a}\left(\frac{I_{t o t}}{\eta i}+\frac{m r^{2}}{\eta i}\right)$ | $M_{\text {aks,tot }}$ : Accelaration torque $\alpha_{a}$ : Rotational acceleration $I_{t o t}$ :Total moment of inertia m: Mass of load <br> $r$ : Distance from rotational axis to load <br> $i$ : Gear ratio <br> $\eta$ :Efficiency |
| 29. <br> Motor torque <br> (15) | $M_{m}=\frac{M_{L}}{\eta_{t o t} i_{t o t}}$ | $M_{m}$ : Motor torque <br> $M_{L}$ : Load torque <br> $\eta_{\text {tot }}$ :Total efficiency <br> $i_{\text {tot }}$ :Total gear ratio |
| 30. <br> Power (17) | $P=\frac{M \pi n}{30}$ | P: Power <br> M:Torque <br> $n$ : Rotational speed |
| Number \& name | Equation | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31. <br> Moment of inertia for a rectangular plate about perpendicular axis (15) | $I=\frac{m\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}{12}$ | I: Moment of inertia m: Mass <br> $a$ :Width <br> b: Length |
| 32. <br> Moment of inertia for a cylinder about central diameter (20) | $I=\frac{m r^{2}}{4}+\frac{m l^{2}}{12}$ | I: Moment of inertia m: Mass <br> $r$ : Radius <br> $l$ :Length |
| 33. Tangential stress. <br> Pressure vessel (21) | $\sigma_{t}=\frac{-p_{y} \times r_{m}}{s_{0}}$ | $\sigma_{t}:$ Tangential stress <br> $p_{y}$ : Pressure <br> $r_{m}$ : Mean radius <br> $s_{0}$ :Thickness |
| 34. <br> Axial stress. <br> Pressure vessel (21) | $\sigma_{a}=\frac{-p_{y} \times r_{m}}{2 \times s_{0}}$ | $\sigma_{a}$ : Axial stress <br> $p_{y}$ :Pressure <br> $r_{m}$ : Mean radius <br> $s_{0}$ :Thickness |
| 35. <br> Frictional force | $F_{R}=\mu N$ | $F_{R}$ : Frictional force <br> $\mu$ :Coefficient of friction <br> $N$ : Normal force |
| 36. <br> Moment of inertia for a thin cylinder about central axis (20) | $I=m r^{2}$ | ```I: Moment of inertia m: Mass \(r:\) Radius``` |
| 37. <br> Moment of inertia for a hoop about central axis (20) | $I=m r^{2}$ | I: Moment of inertia $m$ :Mass <br> $r$ : Radius |
| 38. <br> Conversion equation for rotational speed (17) | $\omega=\frac{\pi n}{30}$ | $\omega$ : Rotational speed <br> $n$ : Rotational speed |

### 3.2 Development methods and computer tools

## Pugh's method (22):

A selection method that quantifies a final solution. This method is enable the user to make an objective decision.

Pugh's method follows these steps:

1. Insert concepts into a matrix with given criteria.
2. Give the concepts a weight dependent on the given criteria.
3. Summarize the weight and make a final decision.

## Computer tools:

- Solidworks 2015/2016 Education edition (23)
- Ansys WB 16.2 (24)
- MS Word 2013 (25)
- MS Excel 2013 (26)
- Bosch Rexroth Scheme editor 62016 (27)


### 3.3 Process steps



Figure 3.1 Description of the development process

## Comments to Figure 3.1

The design process relies heavily on dialog with the thesis supervisor, Fôrtek and Forberg, which in turn means that the design might need to be revised through the thesis period. Parts would also need to be changed if the structural calculations were not satisfactory.

## 4. Product specifications

This chapter will state the product goal and the weighing of the different product properties.

### 4.1 Product goal

The product should be fully functional for both mixing and vacuum coating. The working principle must be preserved so that one can expect the same mixing result as the 1-liter prototype.

### 4.2 Rating of product properties

Table 4.1: Rating of the different properties for the mixer/vacuum coater.

| Property | Rating |
| :--- | :--- |
| Functionality | 5 |
| User friendliness | 4 |
| Safety | 5 |
| Maintenance | 4 |
| Aesthetics | 2 |
| Cost | 3 |

## Comment to table 4.1:

The rating of properties ranges from 1 to 5 , where 5 is the highest rating and 1 is the lowest rating.

## Functionality:

Functionality is the most important factor as this is directly connected to the machines performance. The use of Forberg's existing solutions will increase the probability of a fully functioning machine.

## User friendliness:

The automation of the different movements of the mixer/vacuum coater is beneficial for the operator.

## Safety:

The operator of the machine should be shielded from moving components to avoid risk of injury. Safety switches and sensors is common for reducing the risk of damage to the mixer/vacuum coater and its operator. The rotating vacuum coaters produced by Forberg are usually surrounded by a safety cage.

## Maintenance:

Maintenance is a factor that is crucial for the customer. Some parts are necessary to replace occasionally, but the main components (e.g. housing, mixer rotor and frame) of the assembly should be maintenance free. Rounded edges and grinding of the different components are optimal for the prevention of crack initiation.

## Aesthetics:

This machine is going to be used in a production facility, therefore, the aesthetics is not of significant importance. Some mixers have sheet metal to cover up different parts of the machine so that it looks "cleaner". Aforementioned aspect could be implemented at a later stage, and is therefore not viewed as critical for the machine's success

## Cost:

The ideal cost of the machine would be equal to the competitors' mixers with similar or equal batch size, but seeing as this is a combination of a mixer and a vacuum coater, a higher cost is to be expected. The price of the mixer/vacuum coater should however not exceed the combined price of a separate mixer and vacuum coater.

## 5. Concept generation

This chapter address the different components and introduce, discuss and select the different concepts that will be implemented in the design.

### 5.2 Function alternatives

### 5.2.1 Housing

The housing is the part that contains what is being mixed and should allow both mixing and vacuum coating to be performed as the machine is to be used for both of these processes.

The inlet and outlet for filling and emptying was a challenge for this specific shape of the mixer/vacuum coater. The inlet/outlet had to be big enough for product to be inserted/emptied, while also remaining airtight when performing vacuum coating. The housing also had to avoid interfering with the paddles so that pellets would not get crushed against the edge of the inlet and/or the edges of the housing itself. A hatch at the bottom of the housing would cause problems when vacuum coating as the door would not be completely flush with the cylindrical wall of the housing, causing crushing of pellets. Forberg mentioned that they had experienced leakage of air when using hatches at the bottom of the mixer.

An air purged sealing for the shaft was available from Forberg, but only for shafts with diameter of 50 mm and larger. This is a good solution for the shaft when vacuum coating and mixing as the rotational speed is relatively low. The de-agglomerator, on the other hand, will be running at high speeds, and after a discussion with Forberg it was concluded that the airpurged sealing they use could not be used for the de-agglomerator.

Following solutions for the housing were generated:

1. Funnel


Figure 5.1: Funnel solution for the housing.

## Advantages:

- The possibility of having a butterfly valve makes it easy to vacuum seal the housing.
- Filling and emptying is easily done with this type of valve. An existing solution by Forberg is to have different stations at the rotating path of the housing where filling, emptying and cleaning can be executed. As this is to be a single shaft mixer/vacuum coater, the housing can rotate about the shaft, which means that the motor can remain stationary when rotating the housing.


## Disadvantages:

- The funnel would have to be in a position so that it does not interfere with the deagglomerator. One would have to rotate the whole housing to empty the product inside.This can cause problems when there is a de-agglomerator installed as product might accumulate in the de-agglomerator region.
- The de-agglomerator and all its associated parts has to be airtight for this solution to function properly when vacuum coating.
- Cleaning for this type of housing is usually done in place with an own cleaning station. This is a more expensive solution than cleaning by hand.

2. Two-part housing with flanges


Figure 5.2: Two-part housing.

## Advantages:

- This solution enables the opening to work as both an inlet and an outlet. The housing can rotate about the shaft, which means that the motor can remain stationary when rotating the bottom housing.
- Ability to have two different lids, one for mixing and one for vacuum coating.


## Disadvantages:

- This solution requires rotation of the bottom housing with some sort of displacement mechanism for the top to refrain for obstructing the bottom part when it is rotating.
- The flanges can interfere with the path the product follows (see Figure 1.3) from the mixer rotor to the de-agglomerator, which in turn can lead to accumulation of product


## 3. Hatch at end wall



Figure 5.3: Housing with hatch at the end wall.

## Advantages:

- The hatch can be used as both an inlet and an outlet.
- This option can have an opening on each end wall so the emptying and filling can be done in the same position.


## Disadvantages:

- The hatch can be problematic when it comes to vacuum coating because of the edge it would create on the inside of the housing.
- Would demand the housing to tilt up and down, which is not beneficial because of the extra space this requires compared to rotating about the shaft.
- The de-agglomerator and all its associated parts has to be airtight for this solution to function properly when vacuum coating.


## Explanation of the different criterion for the design of the housing:

The weight is measured on a scale from 1 to 5 , where 1 is lowest and 5 is highest.

Functionality: The housing's main function is to contain whatever is being mixed and to not crush pellets when vacuum coating. It has to be airtight and have an outlet and an inlet that are easily accessible, emptied and filled. Preservation of the working principle is of the upmost importance.

Operational convenience: The filling, emptying and cleaning, as well as the rotation of the housing should be easily executable. The possibility of having a fully automated housing would be highly valued.

Durability: When vacuum coating; the housing must be able to withstand the pressure difference. The deformation and stress in the housing should be low enough to have an infinite ${ }^{*}$ fatigue life. Sealings and other components that will need to be replaced through the lifespan of the mixer/vacuum coater will not be considered.

Manufacturing cost: The sheet metal work and the components required for the specific shape of the housing will be considered.

Table 5.1 : Weighing of the different criteria for the design of the housing (22).

| Criteria | Weight | Funnel | Two-part housing with <br> flanges | Hatch at end <br> wall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Functionality | $40 \%$ | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Durability | $30 \%$ | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Operational <br> convenience | $20 \%$ | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Manufacturing cost | $10 \%$ | 1 |  | 2 |
| Sum | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |

[^0]
### 5.2.2 Main rotor

Mixer rotors can have different paddles and different connection solutions between the parts. Some mixer manufacturers have the whole rotor assembled in a way that the rods and paddles can be replaceable, or in some cases, just the paddles. For testing purposes, an idea was to be able to change the angles and/or the shape of the paddles as well as being able to detach them with some sort of coupling. However, the risk of contamination outweighed this idea.


Figure 5.4: F-20 Twin Shaft mixing rotor assembly. Model provided by Forberg (28).
As seen from figure 5.4, this particular mixer rotor from Forberg consist of 14 paddles with angles of 45 degrees along the shaft. The rods go through the shaft and are welded to both the shaft and the paddles. The shaft consists of three parts, one external shaft and two internal shafts that are locked in place using keys.

To be able to replace or to perform maintenance on the shafts of a Forberg twin shaft mixer, one would have to cut the welded keys on both ends to disassemble the shaft arrangement, leaving the internal shafts free to slide out of the external shaft.


Figure 5.5: Last year's mixer rotor. Model provided by Didrik Heidal Dolva \& Eirik Madland Størdal (13).

The mixer/vacuum coater rotor shown in Figure 5.5 consists of six paddles, where the middle paddles have an angle of 15 degrees along the shaft while the side paddles have an angle of 45 degrees along the shaft. The middle paddles purpose is to throw product into a deagglomerator so that chunks will be crushed while the side paddles push product towards the middle paddle.

A direct upscaling of last year's prototype was desired, but as the length/diameter ratio needed to be smaller, according to Fôrtek, the same rotor ratio as last year's prototype could not be achieved. A smaller length/diameter ratio enabled the new rotor to have shorter paddles that extended further away from the shaft. This modification was beneficial in regards to stresses and deformation when applying the distributed load from the product on the paddle.

For the 60-litre mixer/vacuum coater the paddles were decided to be flat and wtih the same angle as last year's prototype. This was done to be able to better recreate the testing results from last year's thesis. The mixer rotor is to consist of a solid shaft that, through removal of one end wall of the housing, can be detached for maintenance or to be replaced. Forberg uses this solution for their 120 litre rotating vacuum coater.

### 5.2.3 De-agglomerator

When choosing the de-agglomerator design, it was important that the design stayed true to the working principle of the mixer/vacuum coater. The de-agglomerator has to be able to successfully de-agglomerate product that reaches the top housing. It should also contribute to the propulsion of product towards the spray zone, making the powder form the desired "curtain".

The de-agglomeration process is important as it often occurs clumping of product when mixing, which in turn leads to a batch with sub-par homogeneity. In Figure 5.6 there is shown an example of a de-agglomerator.

A de-agglomerator resolves said problem by running at a high RPM so that the chunks are crushed into smaller pieces. An idea from Fôrtek was that the pins should have an angle so that chunks would get thrown along the shaft as well as with the direction of the rotation. There was also a wish that the pins should "scrape" along the housing so that product would not stick to the surface, which is a common problem amongst mixers.


Figure 5.6: De-agglomerator from Forberg (28) F-20 mixer. The arrow represents product movement.

The following solutions for the de-agglomerator were generated:

## De-agglomerator with paddles



Figure 5.7 De-agglomerator with paddles. Product movement is represented by the arrows.

## Advantages:

- Moves the product along the shaft so that it will be possible to hit chunks that are moving between the pins.
- The blades should provide movement of product towards the spray zone as well as an alternating movement of product along the rotational axis.


## Disadvantages:

- The bolts and nuts can lead to accumulation of product, which in turn can lead to contamination.


## De-agglomerator with bent flat steel



Figure 5.8: De-agglomerator with bent flat steel. Product movement is represented by the arrows.

## Advantages:

- The "pins" on this de-agglomerator are easy to produce and are easy to change.
- The blades should provide movement of product towards the spray zone as well as alternating movement along the rotational axis.


## Disadvantages:

- The bolts and nuts can lead to agglomeration of product on the shaft, which in turn can lead to contamination.


## De-agglomerator with pins



Figure 5.9 De-agglomerator with pins. Product movement is represented by the arrow.

## Advantages:

- Easy to produce pins and shaft
- Will most likely de-agglomerate well


## Disadvantages:

- Will not cause notable movement alongside the rotational axis
- Will not cause a lot of force towards the spray zone
- Fastening the pins to the shaft could lead to agglomeration of product


## Preferred Solution: De-agglomerator with bent flat steel

The De-agglomerator with bent steel was chosen as it provided an adequate solution to most of the tasks it was intended to perform. By fastening the flat steel with bolts and nuts to the shaft, it will be possible to test different designs during the prototype testing period by replacing the flat steel with flat steel of a different angle or another type of paddle.

### 5.2.3 Lid displacement mechanism

With the housing separated in to two separate parts, it was important that, what from now on will be referred to as the lid did not interfere with the rotating housing. The displacement mechanism would also need to provide an adequate solution for the changing of lids, as there would need to be one lid for mixing with a de-agglomerator and one lid for vacuum coating. The following solutions were generated:

## Rail system:



Figure 5.10: Rail system. The red line shows the desired movement of the lid.

## Advantages:

- Can easily be operated by hand (no motors/pnemumatics).
- This solution makes it easy to change the two different lids.


## Disadvantages:

- Requires space to move the lid so that the rotation of the housing is possible.
- The rail may interfere with the de-agglomerator and its associated parts.


## Pivot point, arm and pneumatic piston:



Figure 5.11: Pivot point, arm and pneumatic piston. The red line shows the desired movement of the lid.

## Advantages:

- Requires little space to avoid the rotating housing.
- Adaptable flange connection between the housing and the lid.


## Disadvantages:

- Requires a safety locking device if the pistons should fail.


## Criteria:

1. Space: How much space does the solution require?
2. Accessibility: How easy is to access the lid for cleaning? How easy is it to attach/detach the different lids?
3. Complexity: Does the solution interfere with other parts of the assembly? Is it possible to add this solution without changing form and placement of other parts?

## Preferred solution: Pivot point, arm and pneumatic piston

The reason behind this selection is that it requires less space than the rail system as well as the freer movement in the vertical direction, which makes it easier to fasten the lid to the housing for a better transition between the two. This solution does not interfere with the rest of the assembly and is more adaptable to fit the bottom housing. The rail system would have required some thought about the motor attachment for the de-agglomerator lid and requires more precision for fastening it to the bottom housing.

### 5.2.4 Rotation of the housing

A solution had to be chosen for the housing to be able to rotate about the mixer shaft. Forberg has existing solutions for this that they use for their vacuum coaters.


Figure 5.12: Forberg's 120-litre rotating vacuum coater (F-120-RVC). Model provided by Forberg (28).


Figure 5.13: Close-up of the motor and support for the rotation of the housing. Model provided by Forberg (28).

As seen from figure 5.9 and 5.10 , the housing rotates by using a gear motor to drive a chain that is mounted to a turn wheel. A similar solution would be ideal for the mixer/vacuum coater as this is convenient for the production facility and the design process.

Since the mixer/vacuum coater requires two lids, the turn wheel would have to be mounted on the end walls of the housing as shown in Figure 5.14


Figure 5.14: Housing with turn wheels mounted on the end walls. 60-litre mixer/vacuum coater.

The whole sprocket and support wheel assembly could be made almost identical as the 120litre rotating vacuum coater from Forberg. The difference between the F-120-RVC (Forberg 120 Rotating Vacuum Coater) and the mixer/vacuum coater was that the F-120-RVC rotates the shafts and the motors, which in turn requires more power from the motor driving the sprocket/chain. For the single shaft mixer/vacuum coater, the housing rotates about the shaft itself and only needs power to rotate the housing and its content. The force from the content would only be the frictional force between the product being mixed and the housing. To stop the housing from drifting in the axial direction, ball bearings were attached to stop the housing from drifting.


Figure 5.15: Chain adjuster and fastener. Model provided by Forberg (28).
As seen from Figure 5.15, the way the housing rotates is by mounting a chain fastener directly onto the turn wheel. This solution could be transferred to the mixer/vacuum coater, but it had to be taken into consideration that the housing would only be turned about 180 degrees before the chain fastener/adjuster would collide with the sprocket.

### 5.2.4 Sensor plate and safety switches

The housing has to stop at certain positions for the different actions to be executed (filling, emptying, mixing and cleaning). Steel plates are fastened to the housing at certain positions and triggers proximity sensors for the housing to stop at the desired positions. If the sensors for some reason fail to stop the rotation, safety switches will be in place to stop the housing from rotating too far. The safety switches will cut the power of the gearmotor driving the chain to avoid any damage to the mixer/vacuum coater that would happen if the chainmounts collided with the sprockets.


Figure 5.16: Sensors and safety switches mounting arrangement. Model provided by Forberg (28).


Figure 5.17: Proximity sensor and detection plate. Green represent the detection zone (not scaled). Sensor model provided by SICK (29).

Figure 5.17 shows the way the sensor is triggered. The sensing range for these sensors are 10 $\mathrm{mm}(29)$, which had to be taken into consideration when shaping the turn wheel for the housing so that the housing stops when the detection plates are registered by the sensors

### 5.2.5 Funnel and bin

For emptying the mixer/vacuum coater the initial thought was to rotate the housing to the point where the opening would be facing downwards, so that the product would be poured into a bin.

The housing has a maximum mixing capacity of 60 litres, while the bin could only hold 36 litres. Stopping the housing rotation at a certain point would enable the bin to be filled, emptied and inserted again for the rest of the product to be completely emptied once the housing rotates to the final emptying position.


Figure 5.18: Bin with its associated parts. Model provided by Forberg (28).
For attaching the bin to the frame of the mixer/vacuum coater, Forberg's solution was chosen. The bin slides in between an L-profile and a sealing. To avoid product pouring out from the gaps between the bin and the frame there is a lever system that pushes the bin into the sealing for a tight fit.

### 5.2.6 Nozzle

When choosing a nozzle it has to be determined where the spray should hit the particles and in what fashion (cone spray/flat spray). The type of spray and the location of the nozzle is also important to avoid spraying the end walls of the mixer and the nozzle housing/holder as this would be wasteful. The optimal liquid addition is where the spray solely hits the particle curtain coming from the de-agglomerator. The spray should hit an area that is as wide as the middle paddle of the mixer rotor, as this paddle is the only component supposed to feed the de-agglomerator.

A two-substance nozzle is a nozzle that is able to create smaller droplets than a regular pressure nozzle. This is due to the use of high-pressure air to break the liquid into smaller droplets. The flow rate of a two-substance nozzle is usually much lower than that of a pressure nozzle due to the required air consumption. A large enough filter is also required for this type of nozzle due to the added air. The choice between a pressure nozzle and a two-substance nozzle depends on what liquid one is adding. For this machine, it should be an option to be able to replace one nozzle with another for testing purposes. (30)

When adding a small amount of liquid, the time it takes to add is usually longer than when adding a significantly bigger amount. The reason behind this is that all the particles in the mixer should have equal amount of liquid added to them, and this is only achieved when you have a slow and even addition of liquid. There can be added between 0,2 \% to $30 \%$ more volume by liquid addition than the initial product that is being mixed.

## 1. Two hollow/full cone nozzles:



Figure 5.19: Two nozzles on each side spraying inwards. Yellow represents spray. A: Spray shown from the front of the mixer, B: spray shown from the side of the mixer.

From Figure 5.19 it is shown that the spray hits the de-agglomerator. This is not viewed as problematic as the de-agglomerator is running with a high RPM as the droplets will get thrown away from it. There may be a problem if the droplets hit the de-agglomerator lid, which can cause particles to agglomerate on these spots. A critical remark here is that the two sprays do not collide before it hits the particles coming from the de-agglomerator as this could lead to disruption of flow.

## 2. One flat spray nozzle:

## A



Figure 5.20: One flat spray nozzle in the middle. Yellow represents spray. A: Spray shown from the front of the mixer, B: spray shown from the side of the mixer.

Figure 5.20 shows how the flat spray avoids the pin mill and is directed vertically when mixing.
The benefit of using a flat spray nozzle is that you have more control over the area where liquid is added, seeing as this is a linear spray. However, the flow rate will be lower than that of a hollow/full cone spraying pattern. If one were to upscale the mixer, several flat spray nozzles in one line would be the optimal solution (31).

Through discussion with Fôrtek and Forberg it was concluded that the best choice would be a single flat spray nozzle for liquid addition. The reason behind this is that the nozzle offers more control when adding liquid, the solution is scalable and it does not hit the deagglomerator.

Schlick, a nozzle manufacturer, was consulted regarding which nozzle to use. Forberg has their own supplier of nozzles, but the last year's prototype used a Schlick nozzle and the results were satisfying. It would be a good idea to have several options if the nozzle can improve the mixing results significantly.

For Schlick to be able to provide their best recommendations, a questionnaire was filled out (Appendix A).

Table 5.2: Recommended nozzles for the mixer/vacuum coater.

| Name | Spray pattern | Spray angle | Capacity* | Price |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pressure nozzle | Circular hollow | $40^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}$, | $50 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ at 3 |  |
| Model 641 (32) | cone | $90^{\circ}, 120^{\circ}$, <br> $140^{\circ}$ | bar |  |
| Two-substance <br> nozzle <br> Model 827 (33) | Circular full <br> cone | $30^{\circ}$ | $6-7 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ | $634 €$ |
| Pressure <br> nozzle/Two- <br> substance nozzle <br> Model 700 (34) | Flat spray | $20^{\circ}-60^{\circ}$ | Not specified | $1246 €$ |

* Measured with water at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


### 5.2. 7 Sealing

It is important that the housing remains airtight when performing vacuum coating. To ensure that the vacuum remains intact, all fittings needs to have some form of fitted gasket or sealant to make sure that there will not be any leaks. Forberg has a standardized vacuum sealing design for the main shafts that they use in their vacuum coaters. This patent will be implemented in our design.

To quote the Parker O-Ring Handbook "All robust seals are characterized by the absence of any pathway by which fluid or gas might escape" (35). The way the different form of seals are categorized is determined by how they obtain zero clearance. The different ways are normally divided in to two main groups, both of which will be used in the assembly of this machine. The first main category of sealants includes welding, soldering, brazing, ground fits or lapped finishes, which are a safe way to seal something permanently, while the other main category of sealants bases itself on the yielding of a softer material between two or more surfaces of a stiffer material. The latter is a method that is applicable for parts that will need to be able to reseal itself after use (e.g. doors). (35)


Figure 5.21: Demonstration of the use of liquid sealant between the wall flanges with corresponding item descriptions.

When assembling the mixer, one of the end walls on the main housing will be welded onto the main housing , while the second wall will be installed using flanges. The flanged wall is necessary, as two welded walls would not allow the main housing to be disassembled. The geometry of the housing prevents the mixer rotor from being removed from the mixer through the top opening, hence the necessity of the flanged wall. This is especially important for the prototype as design changes on the mixer rotor might be of interest. By welding the wall, the main housing will be without any gaps on the respective end, meaning it will not result in any leaks, thus remaining airtight. To ensure that the flanged wall will not have any leaks there will be used a liquid alkoxy silicone sealant between the flanges as shown in Figure 5.21. This will result in an airtight seal when bolts and nuts are fastened to apply pressure and to hold the wall in place.

The top part of the housing will be detached from the main housing each time the mixer is filled and emptied, meaning that welding the top part of the housing to the main part is not an option. To ensure that the housing remains airtight, there must be a form of sealing integrated in the flange connecting the top lid to the main housing. Through dialog with different sealing companies, different solutions were proposed. When reviewing the different solutions with Forberg, it was decided to go for a $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ D-profile made from silicone. There will be made a groove in the lid flange, making it possible for the D-profile to be fitted on the flange and fastened using glue. The sealing is elastic and thus resilient to permanent deformation, making it suitable for repetitive usage.


Figure 5.22 D-profile sealing for lid

### 5.3 Chosen solutions

Table 5.3: Chosen solutions.

| Part | Solution |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5.2.1 Housing | Two-part housing with flanges |
| 5.2.2 Main rotor | Upscaling of last year's mixer rotor |
| 5.2.3 De-agglomerator | Bolted angled flat steel with pneumatic <br> installment. |
| 5.2.3 Lid displacement mechanism | Pivot point, arm and pneumatic piston |
| 5.2.4 Rotation of the housing | Turn wheel with sprocket and chain |
| 5.2.5 Funnel and bin | Funnel with bin |
| 5.2.6 Nozzle | Flat spray |
| 5.2. $\mathbf{~ S e a l i n g ~}$ | Air purged sealing, silicone D-profile for lid <br> and liquid silicon for end wall |

## 6. Design

This chapter introduces the assembly of the mixer/vacuum coater and describes the different components it consists of.
6.1 Assembly


Figure 6.1: The Rotating Mixer Vacuum Coater with the mixer lid (with balloons). A: Isometric view, B: Left side, C: Back side, D: Right side

Table 6.1: List of balloon numbers from Figure 6.1 with corresponding components.

| Item no. | Assembly/component |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Main Housing |
| 2 | Mixer Rotor |
| 3 | De-agglomerator Lid |
| 4 | De-agglomerator |
| 5 | Nozzle Housing (welded to the de-agglomerator lid) |
| 6 | Pivot point, arm and pneumatic piston |
| 7 | Pneumatic Piston |
| 8 | Wheel Support Driver |
| 9 | Wheel Support Driven |
| 10 | Air Purged Sealing |
| 11 | Axial Displacement Restrictor |
| 12 | Wheel Support |
| 13 | Bin System |
| 14 | Control Cabinet |
| 15 | Gear Motor for Mixer Rotor |
| 16 | Gear Motor for Rotation of Main Housing |
| 17 | Motor for De-agglomerator |
| 18 | Safety Lock |
|  |  |

Figure 6.2: Rotating Mixer Vacuum Coater with vacuum lid

### 6.1.1 De-agglomerator lid with de-agglomerator

To be able to install and detach the de-agglomerator, the shaft will be equipped with pin couplings on each side. On one of the end walls of the de-agglomerator housing there will be installed a module which is used in the F-20 mixer from Forberg. This module consists of two bearings installed in a cylinder that is free to move in the axial direction. At the end of this module there is installed a double-acting pneumatic piston than enables the de-agglomerator to be pressed against the motor coupling and locked in place.


Figure 6.3: Exploded view of De-agglomerator lid without nozzle housing
Table 6.2: List of balloon numbers from Figure 6.3 with corresponding components.

| Item no. | Assembly/Component |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Motor |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Sealing Housing |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Sealing |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Pin Coupling (same as that of the F-20 mixer) |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | De-agglomerator |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Bearing Cylinder same as that of the F-20 mixer (section view) |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Pneumatic Piston |

On the motor side of the de-agglomerator housing there will be a sealing that prevents product from reaching the cavity between the motor and the housing wall. The sealing will be installed within a housing that can be locked in place by slipping it past four gaps before rotating it, locking it in the axial direction. Set screws will be used to restrain the sealing housing from rotating.

### 6.1.2 Chain drive for rotation of the housing

The rotation of the main housing is made possible with the components shown in Figure 6.4.


Figure 6.4: Overview of the rotating system (with balloons)

Table 6.3: List of balloon numbers from Figure 6.4 with corresponding components.

| Item no. | Assembly/component |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Detection Plate |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Turn Wheel |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Safety Switch |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Chain |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Proximity Sensor |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Sensor Holder |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Pneumatic Piston |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Bearing |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Axial Displacement Restrictor |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Driver Shaft for Sprocket |

### 6.1.3 Safety locking of lid

There has been implemented a safety lock that will lock the lid in place when in the open position. This has been done to prevent damage to personnel and the machine if the pneumatic pistons for some reason were to fail. The lock (Electronic Rotary Latch (36)) can be controlled electronically, but it also have the possibility of manual release in case of power failure.


Figure 6.5: A: Overview of the safety lock installment (with balloons), B: detailed picture of the rotary latch model provided by Southco (36).

Table 6.4: List of balloon numbers from Figure 6.5 with corresponding components

| Item no. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Assembly/component |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Electronic Rotary Latch |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Lock Holder |

### 6.1.4 Overview over the mixers movement for performing the different actions:

In the following figures, the mixer is equipped with the mixer lid. The machine will have the same different positions when equipped with the vacuum lid.

## 1. Filling/cleaning

The filling/cleaning position is achieved by rotating the housing from the emptying position to the filling position. The housing stops when it triggers the proximity sensor.


Figure 6.6: The mixer/vacuum coater in the filling/cleaning position
2. Mixing

From the filling position, the housing rotates until the proximity sensors are triggered. The locking mechanism for the lid is opened and the piston rods starts retracting. When the flanges of the lid and housing meet, the piston will help push the flange sealing tight in addition to the clamp installed on the lid.


Figure 6.7: The mixer/vacuum coater in the mixing/vacuum coating position

## 3. Emptying

For emptying the housing, the clamp is opened and the piston rods start extending until the lid has reached the rotary latch. The housing then rotates until proximity sensors stops it. This is done for both of the emptying positions.


Figure 6.8 A: First emptying position, B: Second emptying position.

### 6.2 Standard components

## Mixer rotor gearmotor (MR CI 64UO3A 24x200 10,4):



Figure .6.9: Mixer rotor gearmotor. Model provided by Rossi-group (39).

Table 8.1: Specifications for the mixer rotor gearmotor (37).

| Specifications | Data |
| :--- | :--- |
| Power | $2,2 \mathrm{~kW}$ |
| Rotational speed | 135 RPM |
| Nominal torque | 149 Nm |
| Start torque (38) | 477 Nm |
| Gear ratio | 10,4 |
| Mounting | B 8 |

## Chain gearmotor (MR CI 40UO3A 11x140 13,7):



Figure 6.10: Chain gearmotor Model provided by Rossi-group (39).

Table 8.2: Specifications for the chain gearmotor (37)

| Specifications | Data |
| :--- | :--- |
| Power | $0,09 \mathrm{~kW}$ |
| Rotational speed | $65,9 \mathrm{RPM}$ |
| Torque | $12,5 \mathrm{Nm}$ |
| Start torque (38) | 134 Nm |
| Gear ratio | 13,7 |
| Mounting | B 6 |

## De-agglomerator motor:



Figure 6.11: De-agglomerator motor. Model provided by Hoyer Motors (41).

Table 8.3: Specifications for the de-agglomerator

| motor (40). |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Specifications | Data |
| Power | $0,25 \mathrm{~kW}$ |
| Rotational speed | 1500 RPM |
| Torque | $1,7 \mathrm{Nm}$ |
| Start torque | $3,74 \mathrm{Nm}$ |
| Mounting | $\mathrm{B5}$ |

## Pneumatic driver ( $25 \times 150$ PPV-A):



Table 8.4: Specifications for the pneumatic driver (42)

| Specifications | Data |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stroke length | 150 mm |
| Force at 6 bar | $294,5 \mathrm{~N}$ |

Figure 6.12: Pneumatic driver. Model provided by Festo (43).

## Vacuum pump:

Table 8.5: Specifications for the vacuum pump


Figure 6.13: Vacuum pump V-VC 50 (45).
Reaches 0,2 bar in 12 seconds for a vacuum chamber of 120 litres.

## 7. Structural analysis

The stresses and fatigue life for the necessary components are evaluated in this chapter. Start moment and torque required for the different motors are calculated. ANSYS results were added for some of the components for assurance.

### 7.1 Materials

The material that Forberg uses for mixers and vacuum coaters are stainless steel of AISI 304 (46). AISI 304 is commonly used in food processing for most containers, pipework and food contact equipment (47). For components that are not exposed to the product being mixed, S355 steel will be used, as this is a stronger and cheaper metal.

Table 7.1: Properties for AISI 304 (48).

| Properties | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Density | $8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Tensile strength, yield | 215 MPa |
| Tensile strength, ultimate | 505 MPa |
| Elongation modulus | $2 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{MPa}$ |

Safety factor against yielding, $S F$ :

$$
S F=2
$$

The stresses found in this chapter should not override this given value:

$$
\frac{215 \mathrm{MPa}}{2}=107,5 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

### 7.2 Mixer rotor

### 7.2.1 Peripheral speed of the mixer rotor

For the mixer to be able to follow the working principal, the paddles on the mixer rotor must have a high enough speed to successfully throw the product up into the deagglomerator.

The necessary rotor speed was calculated using the law of conservation of energy (eq. 1) to estimate the required peripheral speed of the middle paddle.


Figure 7.1: Side view of the mixer configuration. Red arrow shows mixer rotor movement.

The product is moved by a paddle that has a slight angle, making the product trajectory diverge from a straight vertical path. The height used in the following calculation was set to a higher numerical value than the height shown in Figure 7.1, this was done to compensate for said factor.

$$
h=295 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad h_{\text {throw }}=500 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad g=9,81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}
$$

Conservation of energy (eq. 1)

$$
\begin{gathered}
m g h=\frac{1}{2} m v^{2} \rightarrow \quad g h=\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \\
v=\sqrt{2 g h_{\text {throw }}}=3,13 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

Converting speed from $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ to $R P M$ (eq.2):

$$
n=\frac{60 \times v}{D \times \pi}=121 R P M
$$

### 7.2.2 Forces applied on the mixer rotor paddles

## Calculating the forces applied on the paddles:

From conversations with Forberg it was concluded that the heaviest bulk density the mixer would operate with was 1 kg/l.

A conservative way to approach the structural calculations is to view the volume defined by the paddles area and the path it takes in half a revolution, and regard this as the mass it has to "push" (seeFigure 7.2). By multiplying this volume with the maximum bulk density and the gravitational pull, an approximated force can be calculated.


Figure 7.2: Swept volume created by a paddle.

## End paddle

As the end paddles are orientated at a 45-degree angle alongside the shaft, the cathetus of the paddle (as shown in figure 7.2) was used as the paddles length in the volume calculations


Figure 7.3: End paddle oriented at a 45 degree angle.

$$
r_{a}=247 \mathrm{~mm} \quad r_{i}=100 \mathrm{~mm} \quad l=168 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Calculating the swept volume of the end paddle according to eq. 3 :

$$
V_{\text {sweep }}=\frac{\left(r_{a}^{2}-r_{i}^{2}\right) \times \pi}{2} \times l=13,46 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}
$$

As a conservative approach, liquid addition of $30 \%$ of swept volume:

$$
V_{\text {add }}=V_{\text {sweep }} \times 1,3=17,50 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}
$$

Calculating the mass of the swept volume (eq. 4):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{\max }=1 \frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~L}} \\
m_{\text {bulk }}=V_{a d d} \times \rho_{\max }=17,5 \mathrm{~kg}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the force exerted on the paddle (eq. 5):

$$
F_{b u l k}=m_{\text {bulk }} \times g=171,7 \mathrm{~N}
$$

## Middle paddle

$$
r_{a}=247 \mathrm{~mm} \quad r_{i}=100 \mathrm{~mm} \quad l=310 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

As the middle paddle is orientated at a 15 -degree angle alongside the shaft, the longest cathetus of the paddle (as shown in Figure 7.4)was used as the paddles length in the volume calculations.


Figure 7.4: Middle paddle oriented at a 15 degree angle.

Calculating the sweep volume of the paddles path (eq. 3):

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{\text {sweep }}=\frac{\left(r_{a}^{2}-r_{i}^{2}\right) \times \pi}{2} \times l=24,84 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3} \\
V_{\text {add }}=V_{\text {sweep }} \times 1,3=32,29 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the mass of the swept volume (eq. 4):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{\max }=1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{l} \\
m_{\text {bulk }}=V_{\text {add }} \times \rho_{\max }=32,29 \mathrm{~kg}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the force exerted on the paddle according to equation 5:

$$
F_{b u l k}=m_{\text {bulk }} \times g=316,8 \mathrm{~N}
$$

### 7.2.3 Deformation and stresses on the middle paddle

The force is evenly distributed on the paddle as shown in figure 7.4.


Figure 7.5: Distributed force acting on the middle paddle angled at a 15 degree angle..

Distributed force along the middle paddle (eq. 6):

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{\text {paddle }}=316,8 \mathrm{~N}, \quad l=310 \mathrm{~mm} \\
q_{1}=\frac{F_{\text {paddle }}}{l}=1,02 \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mm}}
\end{gathered}
$$

To simplify the deformation and stress calculations, the force exerted on the paddle was considered working perpendicular on the paddle as shown in Figure 7.6


Figure 7.6: Distributed force acting perpendicular on the middle paddle.

Calculating the second moment of inertia for the width section of the paddle, using thickness $h$ and width $b$ of the paddle (eq. 7):

$$
\begin{gathered}
b=147 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad h=4 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad l=160 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad E=2,0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{MPa}, \\
I_{1}=\frac{b h^{3}}{12}=784 \mathrm{~mm}^{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the deformation of the paddle (eq. 8):

$$
y_{1}=\frac{q_{1} l^{4}}{8 E I_{1}}=0,53 \mathrm{~mm}
$$



Figure 7.7: Distributed force acting on the middle paddle.

Distribute force across the middle paddle (eq. 6):

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{\text {paddle }}=316,8 \mathrm{~N}, \quad b=147 \mathrm{~mm} \\
q_{2}=\frac{F_{\text {paddle }}}{b}=2,15 \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mm}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the second moment of inertia for the length section of the paddle, using thickness $h$ and width $w$ :

$$
h=4 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad w=320 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad b=147 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad E=2,0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{MPa}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{2}=\frac{w h^{3}}{12}=1706,67 \mathrm{~mm}^{4} \\
y_{2}=\frac{q_{2} b^{4}}{8 E I_{2}}=0,35 \mathrm{~mm} \\
y_{\max }=y_{1}+y_{2}=0,89 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the moment working on the middle paddle (eq. 9):

$$
\begin{gathered}
l=160 \mathrm{~mm} \\
M_{\max }=\frac{q_{1} l^{2}}{2}=13,1 \mathrm{Nm}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the resulting bending stress from the moment (eq. 10):

$$
\begin{gathered}
y=\frac{h}{2}=2 \mathrm{~mm} \\
\sigma_{b}=\frac{M_{\max } y}{I_{1}}=33,31 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

7.2.4 Calculating the bending stress where the middle rod meets the mixer shaft


Figure 7.8: Resultant force acting on the middle paddle.

Calculating the moment on the rod from the force exerted on the middle paddle (eq. 11):

$$
\begin{gathered}
l=140 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad F=316,8 \mathrm{~N} \\
M=F l=44,35 \mathrm{Nm}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the section modulus of the rod (eq. 12):

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=25 \mathrm{~mm} \\
W=\frac{\pi d^{3}}{32}=1534 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the bending stress at the edge of the rod (eq. 13):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{b}=\frac{M}{W}=28,9 M P a \\
\sigma_{b}=28,91 M P a
\end{gathered}
$$

An approximation for the stress concentration factor using chart 3.4: Stress concentration factor $K_{t}$ for bending of a stepped bar of circular cross section with a shoulder fillet (49).

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{t}=1,4 \\
\sigma_{b, S C F}=\sigma_{b} \times K_{t}=40,5 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

ANSYS Workbench (24) deformation and stress results:


Figure 7.9: Deformation of the middle paddle. Maximum deformation 0,62 mm.


Figure 7.10: Equivalent stress on the middle paddle. Maximum stress 42 Mpa .
There is a difference between the ANSYS stress results compared to the hand calculations, which was expected. The stress concentration factors used in the hand calculations were roughly approximated in addition to the 3D model lacking fillet welds between the parts.

### 7.2.5 Torsional stresses



Figure 7.11: Torsion and diameters for the mixer shaft.

$$
D_{1}=66 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad D_{2}=50 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad D_{3}=32 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

The torsion about the shaft is calculated using the forces exerted on the paddles with the distance from the resultant force to the centre of the shaft (eq.11).
$M_{t, a}, M_{t, c}$ : Torsion about the shaft generated by the end paddles.
$M_{t, b}:$ Torsion about the shaft generated by the middle paddle.

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{t, a}=29,8 \mathrm{Nm}, \quad M_{t, b}=54,9 \mathrm{Nm}, \quad M_{t, c}=29,8 \mathrm{Nm}, \\
M_{t, m}=M_{t, a}+M_{t, b}+M_{t, c}=114,5 \mathrm{Nm}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the shear stress on $D_{2}$ using equation 14 and 15 , with torque $M_{t, m}$ and the polar section modulus for $D_{2}$ :

$$
\tau_{D 2}=\frac{16 M_{t, m}}{\pi D_{2}^{3}}=4,67 M P a
$$

Calculating the shear stress on $D_{3}$ using equation 14 and 15 , with torque $M_{t, m}$ and the polar section modulus for $D_{3}$ :

$$
\tau_{D 3}=\frac{16 M_{t, m}}{\pi D_{3}^{3}}=17,8 M P a
$$

The transition between the diameters all have a fillet radius $r$ and stress concentration factors had to be found.


Figure 7.12: Torsion of shoulder fillet bar of circular cross-section (50).

The red line in Figure 7.12 indicates the following stress concentration factor based on given relationships in the geometry:

$$
r=10 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad \frac{r}{D_{3}}=0,3125, \quad \frac{D_{3}}{D_{2}}=0,64, \quad K_{t s}=1,15
$$

The maximum shear stress at the fillet radius in the transition between $D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$ :

$$
\tau_{\operatorname{maxD2}}=\tau_{D 3} \times K_{t s}=20,5 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

The blue line in Figure 7.12 indicates the following stress concentration factor based on given relationships in the geometry:

$$
r=10 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad \frac{r}{d}=0,2, \quad \frac{d}{D}=0,76, \quad K_{t s}=1,2
$$

The maximum shear stress at the fillet radius in the transition between $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ :

$$
\tau_{\operatorname{maxD} 1}=\tau_{D 2} \times K_{t s}=5,60 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

The stress concentration factors for the keyway also had to be found:


Figure 7.13: Stress concentration factors for a torsion shaft with a semicircular end keyseat (51).

Calculating the stress at location A from Figure 7.13:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau_{\operatorname{maxD1} 1}, \quad K_{t A}=3,4 \\
\sigma_{\max }=K_{t A} \times \tau_{D 3}=60,5 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the stress at location B from Figure 7.13:

$$
\begin{gathered}
r=0,3 \mathrm{~mm} \\
K_{t B}=1,953+0,1434\left(\frac{0,1}{r / D_{3}}\right)-0,0021\left(\frac{0,1}{r / D_{3}}\right)^{2}=3,24
\end{gathered}
$$

The equation above is valid for $0,005 \leq r / d \leq 0,07 \rightarrow r / D_{3}=0,00938$

$$
\sigma_{\max }=K_{t B} \times \tau_{D 3}=57,7 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

## ANSYS workbench (24) results:



Figure 7.14: Mixer shaft stresses. Maximum value 90,5 MPa.
The ANSYS results shown in the figure above indicates higher stresses than calculated by hand. There can be faults in the supports added or to the mesh added in the program (Appendix B). Another source of error could be the stress concentration factor calculated for the key. However, the stresses found is below set yield strength limit.

### 7.2.6 Fatigue

A conservative approach is to choose the strictest curve for non-welded details from DNV-RP-C203 (18)

C-curve for thickness $D_{3}$ :
$N \leq 10^{7}$ cycles

$$
\log \bar{a}_{1}=12,592, \quad m_{1}=3, \quad k=0,15, \quad t_{\text {ref }}=25 \mathrm{~mm},
$$

Using equation 15 to determine the curve and fatigue limit at $10^{7}$ cycles:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log N=\log \bar{a}_{1}-m_{1} k \times \log \left(\frac{D_{3}}{t_{r e f}}\right)-m_{1} \log \Delta \sigma \\
N=3,497 \times 10^{12} \times \Delta \sigma^{-3} \\
\Delta \sigma=70,45 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

$N>10^{7}$ cycles

$$
\log \bar{a}_{2}=16,320, \quad m_{2}=5, \quad k=0,15, \quad t_{r e f}=25 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Using equation 15 to determine the curve and fatigue limit at $10^{7}$ cycles:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log N=\log \bar{a}_{2}-m_{2} k \times \log \left(\frac{D_{3}}{t_{\text {ref }}}\right)-m_{2} \log \Delta \sigma \\
N=1,736 \times 10^{16} \times \Delta \sigma^{-5} \\
\Delta \sigma=70,45 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

As seen from these calculations the stress limit is $70,45 \mathrm{MPa}$ for the diameter $D_{3}$ for an infinite fatigue life.

Keyway (90,5 MPa from ANSYS):

$$
N=3,497 \times 10^{12} \times \Delta \sigma^{-3}=4,7 \times 10^{6} \text { cycles }
$$

C-curve for thickness $D_{1}$ :
$N \leq 10^{7}$ cycles

$$
\log \bar{a}_{1}=12,592, \quad m_{1}=3, \quad k=0,15, \quad t_{r e f}=25 \mathrm{~mm},
$$

Using equation 15 to determine the curve and fatigue limit at $10^{7}$ cycles:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log N=\log \bar{a}_{1}-m_{1} k \times \log \left(\frac{D_{1}}{t_{r e f}}\right)-m_{1} \log \Delta \sigma \\
N=2,525 \times 10^{12} \times \Delta \sigma^{-3} \\
\Delta \sigma=63,2 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

$N>10^{7}$ cycles

$$
\log \bar{a}_{2}=16,320, \quad m_{2}=5, \quad k=0,15, \quad t_{r e f}=25 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Using equation 15 to determine the curve and fatigue limit at $10^{7}$ cycles:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log N=\log \bar{a}_{2}-m_{2} k \times \log \left(\frac{D_{1}}{t_{\text {ref }}}\right)-m_{2} \log \Delta \sigma \\
N=1,009 \times 10^{16} \times \Delta \sigma^{-5} \\
\Delta \sigma=63,2 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

As seen from these calculations the stress limit is $63,2 \mathrm{MPa}$ for the diameter $D_{1}$ for an infinite fatigue life. All of the stressed components for this diameter are below this value.

### 7.2.7 Volume and masses of the paddles

## Middle paddle:



Figure 7.15: Middle paddle. Height and length illustrated.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l=320 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad h=147 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad t=4 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad \rho=8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{L} \\
& V_{\text {mid }}=l \times h \times t=0,188 \mathrm{~L} \\
m_{\text {mid }}=V \times \rho=1,5 \mathrm{~kg}
\end{array}
$$

## End paddle:



Figure 7.16: End paddle. Height and length illustrated.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l=236 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad h=147 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad t=4 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad \rho=8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{L} \\
V_{\text {end }}=l \times h \times t=0,139 \mathrm{~L} \\
m_{\text {end }}=V \times \rho=1,1 \mathrm{~kg}
\end{array}
$$

## Rod:



Figure 7.17: Rod. Length and diameter illustrated.

$$
\begin{gathered}
l=345 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad d=25 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad \rho=8 \frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~L}} \\
V_{\text {rod }}=\frac{\pi \times d^{2}}{4} \times l=0,1694 \mathrm{~L} \\
m_{\text {rod }}=V \times \rho=1,36 \mathrm{~kg}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Shaft:



Figure 7.18: Mixer shaft. Lengths and diameters illustrated.
$l_{1}=170 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad l_{2}=240 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad l_{3}=590 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad l_{4}=232 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
d_{1}=32 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad d_{2}=50 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad d_{3}=66 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Calculating the volumes for the different parts of the shaft using equation 18:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}=\frac{\pi \times d_{1}^{2}}{4} \times l_{1}=0,137 \mathrm{~L} \\
& V_{2}=\frac{\pi \times d_{2}^{2}}{4} \times l_{2}=0,471 \mathrm{~L} \\
& V_{3}=\frac{\pi \times d_{3}^{2}}{4} \times l_{3}=2,02 \mathrm{~L} \\
& V_{4}=\frac{\pi \times d_{2}^{2}}{4} \times l_{4}=0,456 \mathrm{~L}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{\text {tot }}=V_{1}+V_{2}+V_{3}+V_{4}=3,08 \mathrm{~L} \\
m_{\text {shaft }}=V_{\text {tot }} \times \rho=24,6 \mathrm{~kg}
\end{gathered}
$$

### 7.2.8 Deformation and critical speed



Figure 7.19: Forces acting on the mixer shaft from the paddles and rods.

$$
E=2,0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{MPa}, \quad d=66 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad I=\frac{\pi d^{4}}{64}=931420 \mathrm{~mm}^{4}, \quad g=9,81 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}
$$

Forces acting on the shaft by the paddles and rods (eq. 5):

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{A}=F_{C}=\left(2 \times m_{\text {side }}+m_{\text {rod }}\right) \times g=34,9 \mathrm{~N} \\
F_{B}=\left(2 \times m_{\text {mid }}+m_{\text {rod }}\right) \times g=42,8 \mathrm{~N}
\end{gathered}
$$

Forces from the shaft support:

$$
F_{D}=F_{E}=\frac{F_{A}+F_{B}+F_{C}}{2}=56,3 \mathrm{~N}
$$

Calculating the deformations using Macaulay's method (eq. 20):

$$
\begin{gathered}
M(x)=56,3<x>-34,9<x-89>-42,8<x-325>-34,9<x-561> \\
E I y^{\prime \prime}=-M(x) \\
E I y^{\prime}=-\frac{56,3<x>^{2}}{2}+\frac{34,9<x-89>^{2}}{2}+\frac{42,8<x-325>^{2}}{2}+\frac{34,9<x-561>^{2}}{2}+C_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
E I y=-\frac{56,3<x>^{3}}{6}+\frac{34,9<x-89>^{3}}{6}+\frac{42,8<x-325>^{3}}{6}+\frac{34,9<x-561>^{3}}{6}+C_{1}\langle x\rangle+C_{2}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=0 \rightarrow y=0 \rightarrow C_{2}=0 \\
x=650 \rightarrow y=0 \\
-\frac{56,3 \times 650^{3}}{6}+\frac{34,9 \times 561^{3}}{6}+\frac{42,8 \times 325^{3}}{6}+\frac{34,9 \times 89^{3}}{6}+C_{1} \times 650=0 \\
C_{1}=2001449 \\
y(89)=y(561)=\left(-\frac{56,3 \times 89^{3}}{6}+2001449 \times 89\right) \frac{1}{E I}=9,21 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mm} \\
y(325)=\left(-\frac{56,3 \times 325^{3}}{6}+\frac{34,9 \times 236^{3}}{6}+2001449 \times 325\right) \frac{1}{E I}=2,17 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$

Rayleigh's method for bending critical speed (eq. 21) using the deformations calculated above:

$$
n_{k r}=\frac{30}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{9810 \times \sum m \times y}{\sum m \times y^{2}}}=23223 R P M
$$

Estimated masses for the paddles, rods were used to calculate the deformations for the shaft. The critical speed were found to be way above the mixing speed, as this is about 121 RPM.

### 7.2.9 Start torque and required power for the mixer rotor

For determining the start torque for the gearmotor, the moment of inertia for the different parts connected to the shaft had to be calculated:

## Rod (eq. 22):



Figure 7.20: Rod. Dashline represent the rotational axis.

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{\text {rod }}=1,36 \mathrm{~kg}, \quad l=345 \mathrm{~mm} \\
I_{r}=\frac{m_{\text {rod }} l^{2}}{12}=0,013 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Three rods:

$$
I_{\text {rods }}=3 I_{r}=0,039 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
$$

Middle paddle (eq. 22 \& 23):


Figure 7.21: Middle paddle. Dashline represents the roational axis. Distance not Scaled.

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{\text {mid }}=1,5 \mathrm{~kg}, \quad a=147 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad e=173,5 \mathrm{~mm} \\
I_{m p}=\frac{m_{\text {mid }} a^{2}}{12}+m_{\text {mid }} e^{2}=0,048 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 2 middle paddles:

$$
I_{m, p a d d l e s}=2 I_{m p}=0,096 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
$$

End paddle (eq. 22 \& 23):


Figure 7.22: End paddle. Dashline represent the rotational axis. Distance not scaled.

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{\text {end }}=1,1 \mathrm{~kg}, \quad a=147 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad e=173,5 \mathrm{~mm} \\
I_{e p}=\frac{m_{\text {end }} a^{2}}{12}+m_{\text {end }} e^{2}=0,035 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Four end paddles:

$$
I_{e, p a d d l e s}=4 I_{e p}=0,14 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
$$

## Shaft:

Calculated with the whole shaft as 66 mm diameter (eq. 25):


Figure 7.23: Shaft. Dashline represents the rotational axis.

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{\text {shaft }}=24,6 \mathrm{~kg}, \quad d=66 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad r_{a}=\frac{d}{2} \\
I_{\text {shaft }}=\frac{m_{\text {shaft }} r_{a}^{2}}{2}=0,013 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Total moment of inertia for the mixer rotor:

$$
I_{\text {tot }}=I_{\text {rods }}+I_{m, p a d d l e s}+I_{e, p a d d l e s}+I_{\text {shaft }}=0,288 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
$$

As calculated before, the necessary rotational speed is 121 RPM, $n$. An acceleration from 0 to 121 RPM in 0,5 seconds $(t)$ is used to calculate the required starting torque for the gearmotor (eq. 26).

$$
\alpha_{A}=\frac{\pi \times n}{t \times 30}=25,3 \frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}
$$

The required start torque for the mixer rotor is estimated by adding the load torque and the torque required to accelerate the masses (eq. 27 and 28). The load torque $M_{t, m}$ consist of the swept force created by the paddles with an arm $r$ from the central axis of the shaft.

$$
M_{t, m}=114,5 \mathrm{Nm}, \quad r=173,5 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad g=9,81 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
m=\frac{M_{t, m}}{g \times r}=67,3 \mathrm{~kg} \\
M_{a k s}=\alpha_{A}\left(I_{t o t}+m \times r^{2}\right)=58,5 \mathrm{Nm} \\
M_{\text {start }}=M_{t, m}+M_{a k s, t o t}=173 \mathrm{Nm}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The required power (eq. 30):

$$
P=\frac{M_{m} \times \pi \times n}{30}=1451 \mathrm{~W}
$$

Estimated moments of inertias for the rotating parts of the mixer motor as well as the torque from the product being mixed were used to determine the necessary start torque and the required power for the gearmotor. Moment of inertia for the ball bearings and the frictional force from the air purged sealing were excluded from these calculations.

### 7.2.10 Thermal expansion

There was a concern that the paddles could hit the main housings walls when adding heated liquid to the mix. An analysis were executed with a temperature difference of $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Figure 7.24: Thermal expansion analysis from ANSYS WB (24). Maximum value $0,24 \mathrm{~mm}$ and minimum value $-0,24 \mathrm{~mm}$.

### 7.3 De-agglomerator

### 7.3.1 Start torque and required power



Figure 7.25: De-agglomerator.
Seeing as Forberg uses Hoyer motors on their F-20 mixers, it was reasonable to use them as well. Hoyer delivers a wide range of high quality electrical motors in both aluminium and cast iron. The Hoyer IE2 motors comes in three different speeds: 2800, 1400 and 700 (RPM). It was decided that it was beneficial to go for a motor of 1400 RPM.


Figure 7.26: Bent flat steel seen as two separate parts, while bolt head and washers are seen as one solid part.

## Mass part no. 1:



Figure 7.27: Measurements for part no. 1.
Estimating the mass for part 1 of the de-agglomerator flat steel (eq. 17 and 4):

$$
\begin{gathered}
l=65 \mathrm{~mm} \quad b=20 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \theta=30^{\circ} \\
\rho=8000 \frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{3}}, \quad t=4 \mathrm{~mm} \\
m_{1}=\left(l \times b-\frac{b^{2} \times \tan \theta}{2}\right) \times t \times \rho=37,9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~kg}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Mass part no. 2:



Figure 7.28: Measurements for part no. 2.

In favour of the calculations in this section, part no. 2 of the assembly was considered to be solid without the clearance hole for the bolt. As the bolt (DIN 6921) and the deagglomerator share the same density $\rho\left(8000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{vs} .8030 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$, the section of the bolt that passes through part no. 2 was seen as non existent and calculations in respect of the bolt was only performed on the bolt-head.

$$
\begin{gathered}
l=31 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad b=20 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad t=4 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad \theta=30^{\circ} \\
m_{2}=l \times\left(b \times t-\frac{b^{2} \tan \theta}{2}\right) \times \rho=18,71 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~kg}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Mass part no. 3:



Figure 7.29: Measurements for part no. 3.

Calculating the mass of bolt head and washers (eq. 18 and 4):

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=16 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad l=8,5 \mathrm{~mm} \\
m_{3}=\frac{\pi d^{2}}{4} l=13,6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~kg}
\end{gathered}
$$

Rectangular NO. 1 (1 on Figure 7.26 ):


Figure 7.30: Part no.1. Dashline represents the rotational axis. Not scaled.
Moment of inertia (eq. 31 and 23):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l=65 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad b=20 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad e=50,5 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& I_{1}=\frac{m_{1}}{12}\left(l^{2}+b^{2}\right)+m_{1} e^{2}=1,11 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

mRectangular NO. 2 (2 on Figure 7.26):


Figure 7.31: Part no. 2. Dashline represents the rotational axis. Not scaled.

$$
b=20 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad e=17 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Estimating the moment of inertia about rotational axis (eq. 24 and 23):

$$
I_{2}=\frac{m_{1} \times b^{2}}{12}+m_{1} e^{2}=6,03 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
$$

## Bolt head (3 on Figure 7.26) moment of inertia (eq. 32 and 23):



Figure 7.32: Part no.3. Dashline represents the rotational axis. Not scaled.

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=16 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad r=\frac{d}{2}, \quad l=8,5 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad e=23,25 \mathrm{~mm} \\
I_{3}=\frac{m}{12}\left(3 r^{2}+l^{2}\right)+m e^{2}=7,65 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Shaft:



Figure 7.33: De-agglomerator shaft. Dashline represents the rotational axis.

$$
l=500 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad b=30 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Calculated mass assumed as solid square through all (eq. 17 and 4):

$$
m_{s q}=b^{2} \times l \times \rho=3,6 \mathrm{~kg}
$$

Estimated moment of inertia (eq. 31):

$$
I_{s q}=\frac{m_{s q} \times 2 \times b^{2}}{12}=5,4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
$$

## Couplings:



Figure 7.34: De-agglomerator coupling. Dash line represents rotational axis.

$$
d=56 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad r=\frac{d}{2}, \quad l=8 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Mass assumed as solid cylinder (the pins from the corresponding coupling would fill the holes of the coupling) (eq. 4):

$$
m_{c p}=\frac{\pi d^{2}}{4} l \times \rho=0,158 \mathrm{~kg}
$$

Estimated moment of inertia for four couplings (eq. 25):

$$
I_{c p}=4 \frac{m r^{2}}{2}=2,48 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
$$

## Calculating the total moment of inertia:

$$
I_{t o t}=20 I_{1}+20 I_{2}+20 I_{3}+I_{s q}+I_{c p}=3,28 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
$$

The mass properties function in SolidWorks was used as a way to verify the calculations. In SolidWorks the moment of inertia of the de-agglomerator was said to be 2,9× $10^{-3} \mathrm{kgm}^{2}$. The results for SolidWorks had a lower value than what was calculated in this section, putting the calculations on the conservative side.

Calculating the acceleration required to reach max speed of 1400 RPM in 0,5 seconds (eq. 26.):

$$
\alpha=\frac{n \times 2 \times \pi}{\Delta t \times 60}=293,22 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}^{2}
$$

Calculating the load from the powder acting upon the de-agglomerator, using the same sweep approach as the mixer paddles (eq. 3 and 4):

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{a}=75 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad r_{i}=15 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad b=12 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad \rho=1 \frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~L}} \\
m_{\text {sweep }}=\frac{\left(r_{a}^{2}-r_{i}^{2}\right)}{2} \times b \times \pi \times \rho=0,105 \mathrm{~kg}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating the moment created by the powder for 10 pieces of bent flat steel with the distance $r_{p}$, from the rotational axis to their centre of mass (eq. 5 and 11):

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{p}=45 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad g=9,81 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}} \\
M_{L}=10 \times m_{\text {sweep }} \times r_{p} \times g=0,46 \mathrm{Nm}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculating required start torque (eq. 27, 28 and 29)

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{a k s}=\alpha_{p}\left(I_{t o t}+10 \times m_{p_{\text {sweep }}} \times r_{p}^{2}\right)=1,57 \mathrm{Nm} \\
M_{\text {start }}=M_{L}+M_{a k s}=2,03 \mathrm{Nm}
\end{gathered}
$$

Required power from the motor (eq. 30):

$$
P=\frac{M_{L} \times \pi \times n}{30}=67,44 \mathrm{~W} \approx 0,067 \mathrm{~kW}
$$

The required motor size was determined by calculating the necessary start torque, which in turn was determined by the applied load from the product, the total moment of inertia of the rotating masses and the acceleration.From these calculations it is concluded that the motor needs to be able to provide at least a start torque of $2,03 \mathrm{Nm}$ and $0,067 \mathrm{~kW}$ of power

### 7.4 Housing

### 7.4.1 Vacuum



Figure 7.35:Isometric view of the main housing and vacuum lid.

For the calculation of this problem, we assume that the vacuum chamber is cylindrical with an inner diameter of 500 mm .


Figure 7.36: Vacuum chamber cross-section with illustrated measurements.

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{i}=250 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad r_{y}=255 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad s_{0}=5 \mathrm{~mm} \\
r_{m}=\frac{\left(r_{y}+r_{i}\right)}{2}=252,5 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thin walled theory can be used when (21):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{s_{0}}{r_{m}} \leq \frac{1}{10} \\
\frac{s_{0}}{r_{m}}=\frac{5 \mathrm{~mm}}{252,5 \mathrm{~mm}}=0,02
\end{gathered}
$$

For thin walled pressure vessels, the radial stress is set to zero. $\sigma_{r}=0$.

Pressure difference 20\% vacuum:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{a t m}=0,101325 \mathrm{MPa}, \quad p_{v}=0,2 p_{a t m} \\
\Delta p=p_{y}=p_{a t m}-p_{v}=0,8 p_{a t m}=0,08106 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{gathered}
$$

Tangential stress (eq. 32):

$$
\sigma_{t}=\frac{-p_{y} \times r_{m}}{s_{0}}=-4,10 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Axial stress (eq. 33):

$$
\sigma_{a}=\frac{-p_{y} \times r_{m}}{2 \times s_{0}}=-2,05 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

For the housings end walls and flat surfaces, the stresses and deformations were analysed in ANSYS Workbench (24):


Figure 7.37: Deformation when vacuum is applied. Maximum deformation 0,28 mm.


Figure 7.38: Equivalent stress when vacuum is applied. Maximum stress 80 MPa.
The maximum value shown in Figure 7.38 is a negligible value as this is a stress singularity due to limited mesh elements.

### 7.4.2 Required start torque and power for rotating the housing



Figure 7.39: Turn wheel and sprocket arrangement for rotation of the housing. Not scaled

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{1}=402 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad D_{2}=60 \mathrm{~mm} \\
i=\frac{D_{1}}{D_{2}}=6,7
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 7.40: Frictional force caused by rotating the housing.
$\mu$ : Friction between grain and steel (52)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu=0,2, \quad g=9,81 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}, \quad m_{\text {flour }+l i q}=80 \mathrm{~kg} \\
F=m_{\text {flour }+l i q} \times g=785 \mathrm{~N}
\end{gathered}
$$

Frictional force caused by rotation of the housing (eq. 34):

$$
F_{R}=\mu F=157 N
$$

Moment caused by the frictional force with the distance $r$ from the central axis to the force (eq. 11):

$$
\begin{gathered}
r=250 \mathrm{~mm} \\
M_{R}=F_{r} \times r=39,24 \mathrm{Nm}
\end{gathered}
$$

Estimated moment of inertia cylindrical shell(eq. 35):


Figure 7.41: Cylindrical shell. Dashline represents the rotational axis.

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=500 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad r=\frac{d}{2}, \quad m=7,6 \mathrm{~kg} \\
I_{h}=m r^{2}=2,125 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Estimated moment of inertia for the two end walls of the housing (eq. 25):


Figure 7.42: End wall. Dashline represents the rotational axis.

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=510 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad r=\frac{d}{2}, \quad m=7,5 \mathrm{~kg} \\
I_{e}=2 \times \frac{m r^{2}}{2}=0,98 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Moment of inertia for two turn wheels. Estimates all mass as a hoop (eq. 36):


Figure 7.43: Turn wheel. Dashline represents the rotational axis.

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=402 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad r=\frac{d}{2}, \quad m=8,5 \mathrm{~kg} \\
I_{r}=2 \times m r^{2}=0,69 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Total moment of inertia housing:

$$
I_{\text {tot }, \text { housing }}=I_{h}+I_{e}+I_{r}=3,795 \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
$$

Estimated moment of inertia for 4 sprocket (eq. 25):


Figure 7.44: Sprocket. Dashline represents the rotational axis.

$$
\begin{gathered}
d=69 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad r=\frac{d}{2}, \quad m=0,4 \mathrm{~kg} \\
I_{s}=4 \times \frac{\mathrm{mr}^{2}}{2}=9,522 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Estimated moment of inertia for the sprocket shaft. The whole shaft is calculated with one diameter, $d$ (eq. 25):


Figure 7.45: Sprocket shaft. Dashline represents the rotational axis.

$$
\begin{gathered}
m=0,54 \mathrm{~kg}, \quad d=25 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad r_{a}=\frac{d}{2} \\
I_{\text {shaft }}=\frac{m r_{a}^{2}}{2}=4,22 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Total moment of inertia for the sprockets and shaft:

$$
I_{\text {tot }, \text { shaft }}=I_{s}+I_{\text {shaft }}=9,94 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{kgm}^{2}
$$

Frictional force working on the turn wheel with radius $r$ as mass forced by gravity (eq. 5):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=201 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad g=9,81 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}, \quad F_{R, t w}=\frac{M_{R}}{r}=195 \mathrm{~N} \\
& m=\frac{F_{R, t w}}{g}=20 \mathrm{~kg}
\end{aligned}
$$

The housing have to rotate 180 degrees to empty. The rotational speed of the turn wheel $n_{1}$, and the sprocket $n_{2}$ will be calculated with 4 seconds $t_{s}$ to rotate and 1 second $t_{a}$ to accelerate (eq. $26 \& 38$ ):

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=\frac{0,5}{4 s} \times 60 s=7,5 R P M, \quad n_{2}=n_{1} \times i=50,25 R P M \\
\omega=\frac{n_{2} \times \pi}{30}=5,26 \frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{~s}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\alpha_{a}=\frac{\omega}{t_{a}}=5,26 \frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}
$$

The required start torque for the gearmotor (eq. 27 - 29) :

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{\text {aks }}=\alpha_{a}\left(\frac{I_{t o t, \text {,housing }}}{i^{2}}+I_{\text {tot,shaft }}+\frac{m r^{2}}{i^{2}}\right)=0,545 \mathrm{Nm} \\
M_{m}=\frac{M_{R}}{i}=5,86 \mathrm{Nm} \\
M_{\text {start }}=M_{a k s}+M_{m}=6,4 \mathrm{Nm}
\end{gathered}
$$

The power required (eq. 30) :

$$
P=\frac{M_{m} \times \pi \times n_{2}}{30}=31 \mathrm{~W}
$$

Estimations for the moments of inertia were used to calculate the required start torque and power for the rotation of the housing. The moment of inertia for the wheel supports and the chain as well as the friction from the air purged sealing were excluded from these calculations.

### 7.5 Piston rod

It was necessary to determine the moment about the pivot point from the de-agglomerator lid to evaluate if the piston rods could move the lid.


Figure 7.46: The de-agglomerator lid and piston rod assembly. The center of mass is an approximation.

$$
F_{r}: \text { Force from the piston rod }
$$



Figure 7.47: Piston force and arm arrangement.

$$
r=197,5 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad \theta=81^{\circ}
$$

Moment from the piston rod (eq. 11):

$$
M=r \times \sin (81) \times F_{r}
$$



Figure 7.48: Force from the center of mass arrangement.
The mass of the de-agglomerator lid were estimated using Solidworks and hand calculations.

$$
m=42 \mathrm{~kg}, \quad l=265 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad g=9,81 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}, \quad F_{C M}=m g=412 \mathrm{~N}
$$

Calculating the moment from the centre of mass about the pivot point (eq. 11):

$$
M_{C M}=F_{C M} \times l \times \cos (30)=94,6 \mathrm{Nm}
$$

Required force from the piston rod:

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{C M}=M \\
F_{r}=\frac{M_{C M}}{r \times \sin (81)}=485 \mathrm{~N} \rightarrow \text { For both pistons }
\end{gathered}
$$

The piston rods chosen, can handle a force up to 294,5 $N$ at 6 bar each (53) .

### 7.4 Other

Calculations were done for other component as well, along with the concept generation and design process. These were done to assure that the stresses were below half of the yield strength for parts that were looked upon as "weak".

## 8. Manufacture and cost

This chapter will discuss the manufacturing methods for every part and use this as a basis for a cost analysis.

### 8.1 Coding of the parts and assemblies

To have control over the parts and assemblies for the mixer/vacuum coater, there had to be made a numbering system:

$$
\underbrace{A}_{\text {Type }}-\underbrace{X X X}_{\text {Assembly no. }}-\underbrace{X X X}_{\text {Part no. }}
$$

Every self-made part in this thesis is indexed with the letter $N$ at the start of the code.

$$
\underbrace{N}_{\text {New part }}-\underbrace{002}_{\text {Mixer shaft }}-\underbrace{003}_{\text {Middle paddle }}
$$

Solutions provided by Forberg will start with the letter F.

$$
\underbrace{F}_{\text {Solution provided by Forberg }}-\underbrace{001}_{\text {Air purged sealing }}-\underbrace{\cdots}_{\text {Blank }}
$$

If there is only one numbered area, this means that it is an assembly.
Standard parts are represented with their own name.

### 8.2 Production methods

This chapter addresses the production methods in brief for each part that is created for this specific mixer/vacuum coater. The methods discussed will be the basis of the cost analysis. Manufacturing drawings can be seen in Appendix C.

Table 8.1: Production methods.

| Name/Number | Figure | - Shear |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| methodstion |  |  |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| End wall flanged |  | - Plasma |
| N-001-004 | cutting |  |
| N-001-005 |  | - Drilling |
| Main flange |  | cutting |
| N-001-006 |  | - Drilling |
| Turn wheel A |  | - Threading |
| N-001-007 |  | - Plasma |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detection plate <br> A <br> N-001-009 |  | - Plasma cutting |
| Detection plate <br> B <br> N-001-010 |  | - Plasma cutting |
| Chain tightener <br> B <br> N-001-011 |  | - Plasma cutting <br> - Drilling |
| Chain tightener <br> A <br> $\mathrm{N}-001-012$ |  | - Plasma <br> cutting <br> - Drilling <br> - Milling |
| Chain fastener N-001-013 |  | - Plasma cutting - Drilling |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clamp mount $\mathrm{N}-001-014$ |  | - Plasma cutting -Drilling |
| Housing <br> assembly <br> N-001 |  | - Welding <br> - Bolting |
| Shaft $\mathrm{N}-002-001$ |  | - Lathe <br> - Drilling <br> - Milling |
| Middle rod <br> N-002-002 |  | - Lathe <br> - Milling |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle paddle <br> $\mathrm{N}-002-003$ |  | - Shear cutting - Plasma cutting |
| End rod $\mathrm{N}-002-004$ |  | - Lathe <br> - Milling |
| End paddle N-002-005 |  | - Shear cutting <br> - Plasma cutting |
| Mixer rotor N-002 |  | - Welding <br> - Grinding <br> - Heat <br> treatment |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| methods |  |  |


| Name/Number | Figure | - Shear |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mounting rail | cutting |  |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moment cross $\mathrm{N}-003-010$ |  | - Plasma cutting |
| Modified <br> Forberg plate A N-003-011 |  | - Plasma cutting <br> - Drilling |
| Modified <br> Forberg plate B <br> N-003-012 |  | - Plasma cutting <br> - Drilling |
| De- <br> agglomerator lid <br> N-003 |  | - Welding <br> - Bolting <br> - Gluing the sealing into the grooved flange |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shaft N-004-001 |  | - Milling <br> - Lathe <br> - Drilling |
| Bent flat steel A N-004-002 |  | - Shear <br> cutting <br> - Bending <br> - Drilling |
| Bent flat steel B $\mathrm{N}-004-003$ |  | - Shear cutting - Bending <br> - Drilling |
| De- <br> agglomerator <br> N-004 |  | - Bolting <br> - Welding |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| methods |  |  |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nozzle holder F-003 |  | - Lathe |
| Nozzle housing N-005 |  | - Welding |
| Semi-cylindrical <br> wall <br> N-006-001 |  | - Shear cutting - Drilling |
| End wall $\mathrm{N}-006-002$ |  | - Plasma cutting |
| Vacuum stud plate <br> $\mathrm{N}-006-003$ |  | - Shear cutting <br> - Drilling <br> - Bending |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vacuum lid N-006 |  | - Welding <br> - Bolting <br> - Gluing the <br> sealing into <br> the grooved <br> flange |
| Wheel support <br> frame A <br> N-008-001 <br> $\mathrm{N}-008-002$ <br> N -008-003 |  | - Plasma <br> cutting <br> - Drilling <br> - Welding |
| Axle <br> $\mathrm{N}-008$-006 |  | - Lathe <br> - Milling |
| Sprocket rod <br> $\mathrm{N}-008-007$ |  | - Lathe <br> - Milling |
| Wheel rod N-008-009 |  | - Lathe |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheel N-008-008 |  | - Lathe |
| Ball bearing house <br> N-008-006 |  | - Lathe |
| Actuator plate N-008-007/N- 009-001 |  | - Shear cutting - Bending <br> - Drilling |
| Wheel support driver $\mathrm{N}-008$ |  | - Welding <br> - Insert ball bearings, sprockets and circlips. |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheel support driven N-009 |  | - Welding <br> - Insert ball <br> bearings, <br> sprockets and circlips. |
| Wheel support frame $B$ N-010-001 $\mathrm{N}-010-002$ |  | - Plasma cutting - Drilling <br> - Welding |
| Wheel support $\mathrm{N}-010$ |  | - Insert ball bearing and circlips |
| Main frame N-007-001 |  | - Plasma cutting <br> - Welding |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Box A } \\ & \text { N-007-002 } \end{aligned}$ |  | - Shear <br> cutting <br> - Drilling <br> - Welding |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Box B } \\ & \text { N-007-003 } \end{aligned}$ |  | - Shear <br> cutting <br> - Drilling <br> - Welding |
| Funnel N-007-004 |  | - Shear cutting - Welding |
| Sensor plate N-007-005 | $5$ | - Plasma cutting - Bending <br> - Drilling |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pivot mounting plate N-011 |  | - Shear cutting <br> - Drilling <br> - Welding |
| Pivot arm <br> N-012 |  | - Plasma cutting <br> - Drilling <br> - Milling <br> - Welding |
| Axial <br> displacement <br> restrictor <br> $\mathrm{N}-013$ |  | - Shear <br> cutting <br> - Drilling <br> - Welding |
| Cabinet plate N-007-006 |  | - Shear cutting <br> - Drilling |
| Mounting plate for frame wheels N-007-007 |  | - Shear <br> cutting <br> - Drilling <br> - Grinding |


| Name/Number | Figure | Production <br> methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Funnel flange <br> N-007-008 |  | - Shear <br> cutting <br> - Plasma <br> cutting <br> - Welding |
| Side wall N-007-009 |  | - Shear cutting |
| Lid lock mount N-007-010 |  | - Plasma cutting <br> - Welding |
| Bin mount (modified) <br> F-005-001 |  | - Plasma cutting |

### 8.3 Cost analysis

Through conversation with the workshop at NMBU, it was concluded that the cost analysis would be a rough estimate of the actual cost of the machine produced in Norway. However, the machine is to be made in Latvia. The assembly and production of components of a new machine are usually not without errors, as it can occur small faults in the design, which means that modifications has to be done.

For AISI 304 the price per kilogram is about 100 NOK/kg (54), S355 is about 15 NOK/kg (55) and 450 NOK for the hourly wage of the workers producing the parts is used. The prices differ with quantity and different profiles (e.g. bar, sheet metal etc.).

Table 8.2: Overview over components and cost.

| Assembly | Part <br> Part No. | Qty. | Amount of material [kg] | Production time [hours] | Sum <br> [NOK] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main Housing |  | 1 |  | 8 | 3600 |
|  | Semi-cylindrical wall N-001-001 | 1 | 33,5 | 1 | 3800 |
|  | Semi-cylindrical flange $\mathrm{N}-001-002$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1000 |
|  | End wall <br> N-001-003 | 1 | 7,5 | 0,5 | 975 |
|  | End wall flanged N-001-004 | 1 | 8,4 | 2 | 1740 |
|  | Main flange <br> N-001-005 | 1 | 3,0 | 0,5 | 525 |
|  | End flange N-001-006 | 1 | 0,8 | 0,5 | 305 |
|  | Air purged sealing F001 5008525-550 | 2 | 7,5 | 3 | 4200 |
|  | Turn wheel A N-001-007 | 1 | 8,5 | 3 | 2200 |


| Assembly | Part <br> Part No. | Qty. | Amount of material [kg] | Production <br> time [hours] | Sum [NOK] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Turn wheel B $\mathrm{N}-001-008$ | 1 | 8,5 | 3 | 2200 |
|  | Detection plate $A$ N-001-009 | 2 | 0,04 | 0,5 | 458 |
|  | Detection plate B N-001-010 | 1 | 0,05 | 0,5 | 230 |
|  | Chain tightener B $\mathrm{N}-001-011$ | 1 | 0,02 | 0,3 | 137 |
|  | Chain tightener A N-001-012 | 1 | 0,06 | 1 | 456 |
|  | Chain fastener N-001-013 | 1 | 0,03 | 1 | 453 |
|  | Clamp mount N-001-014 | 1 | 0,03 | 1 | 453 |
| Mixer rotor |  | 1 |  | 4 | 1800 |
|  | Shaft N-002-001 | 1 | 23,9 | 2 | 3290 |
|  | Middle rod N-002-002 | 1 | 1,3 | 2 | 1030 |
|  | Middle paddle N-002-003 | 2 | 1,4 | 1,5 | 1630 |
|  | End rod N-002-004 | 2 | 1,3 | 2 | 2060 |
|  | End paddle N-002-005 | 4 | 1,0 | 1,5 | 3100 |
| De- <br> agglomerator lid |  |  |  | 6 | 2700 |
|  | Semi-cylindrical wall N-003-001 | 1 | 10,5 | 1 | 1500 |
|  | End wall motor | 1 | 1,6 | 0,5 | 385 |


| Assembly | Part <br> Part No. | Qty. | Amount of material [kg] | Production time [hours] | Sum <br> [NOK] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N-003-002 |  |  |  |  |
|  | End wall pneumatic N-003-003 | 1 | 1,5 | 0,5 | 375 |
|  | Grooved flange <br> N-003-004 | 2 | 3,3 | 3 | 3360 |
|  | Mounting rail N-003-005 | 4 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 220 |
|  | Stud plate <br> N-003-006 | 1 | 0,3 | 1 | 480 |
|  | Buffer plate <br> N-003-007 | 1 | 0,4 | 1 | 490 |
|  | Locking plate <br> N-003-008 | 1 | 0,3 | 0,5 | 255 |
|  | Sealing housing <br> N-003-009 | 1 | 0,02 | 1 | 452 |
|  | Moment cross <br> $\mathrm{N}-003-010$ | 1 | 0,06 | 1 | 456 |
|  | Modified Forberg plate <br> A N-003-011 | 1 | 0,2 | 0,5 | 245 |
|  | Modified Forberg plate <br> B N-003-012 | 1 | 0,2 | 0,5 | 245 |
|  | Pneumatic drive with ball bearing housing and flanges <br> F-002 | 1 | 800 | 2 | 1700 |
| De- <br> agglomerator |  |  |  | 5 | 2250 |
|  | Shaft | 1 | 3,6 | 4 | 2160 |


| Assembly | Part <br> Part No. | Qty. | Amount of material [kg] | Production time [hours] | Sum <br> [NOK] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N-004-001 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bent flat steel A $\mathrm{N}-004-002$ | 10 | 0,05 | 0,5 | 2300 |
|  | Bent flat steel B N-004-003 | 10 | 0,05 | 0,5 | 2300 |
| Nozzle housing |  |  |  | 3 | 1350 |
|  | Bent wall N-005-001 | 1 | 2,5 | 1,5 | 925 |
|  | End wall A N-005-002 | 1 | 0,2 | 0,2 | 110 |
|  | End wall B N-005-003 | 1 | 0,2 | 0,2 | 110 |
|  | Filter holder N-005-004 | 1 | 0,3 | 0,2 | 120 |
|  | Stud plate N-005-005 | 1 | 0,03 | 0,2 | 93 |
|  | Nozzle holder F-003 | 1 | 0,2 | 0,5 | 245 |
| Vacuum lid |  |  |  | 3 | 1350 |
|  | Semi-cylindrical wall $\mathrm{N}-006-001$ | 1 | 10,0 | 0,5 | 1225 |
|  | End wall <br> N-006-002 | 2 | 0,9 | 0,2 | 270 |
|  | Nozzle F-004 | 1 |  |  | 1000 |
|  | Vacuum stud plate N-006-003 | 1 | 0,3 | 0,5 | 255 |
| Frame |  |  |  | 16 | 7200 |
|  | Main frame (56) N-007-001 | 1 | 64 | 4 | 3000 |


| Assembly | Part <br> Part No. | Qty. | Amount of material [kg] | Production <br> time [hours] | Sum <br> [NOK] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Box A } \\ & \text { N-007-002 } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 17,9 | 2 | 1169 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Box B } \\ & \mathrm{N}-007-003 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 7,3 | 1,5 | 785 |
|  | Bin assembly F-005 | 1 | 18,0 | 3 | 3150 |
|  | Funnel N-007-004 | 1 | 14,4 | 2 | 2340 |
|  | Wheel support driver N-008 | 1 | 3,4 | 6 | 2751 |
|  | Wheel support driven N-009 | 1 | 3,4 | 6 | 2751 |
|  | Wheel support N-010 | 2 | 1,5 | 2 | 1845 |
|  | Sensor plate N-007-005 | 1 | 0,7 | 1 | 461 |
|  | Safety switch plate N-007-006 | 2 | 0,1 | 0,3 | 290 |
|  | Pivot mounting plate $\mathrm{N}-011$ | 2 | 0,5 | 0,2 | 98 |
|  | Pivot arm N-012 | 1 | 2,5 | 4 | 1838 |
|  | Axial displacement restrictor $\mathrm{N}-013$ | 2 | 0,5 | 1 | 1000 |
|  | Cabinet mounting plate N-007-006 | 1 | 2,2 | 0,5 | 258 |
|  | Wheel mounting plate N-007-007 | 6 | 0,46 | 0,3 | 851 |
|  | Funnel flange | 1 | 3,3 | 1,5 | 1005 |


| Assembly | Part Part No. | Qty. | Amount of material [kg] | Production time [hours] | Sum <br> [NOK] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N-007-008 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Side wall N-007-009 | 1 | 7,3 | 0,2 | 820 |
|  | Lid lock mount N-007-010 | 1 | 0,27 | 1,5 | 679 |
| Standard parts |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ball bearing mixer shaft (57) <br> SKF SYJ 50 TF1 | 2 |  |  | 2452 |
|  | Ball bearing wheel support (58) <br> SKF 62303-2RS1 | 4 |  |  | 1060 |
|  | Ball bearing sprocket <br> (58) <br> SKF W 6005-2RS1 | 6 |  |  | 600 |
|  | Ball bearing axial displacement restrictor (58) <br> SKF 6006-2RS1 | 2 |  |  | 182 |
|  | Sprocket (59) <br> DIN 8192 C 21Z06B-2 | 4 |  |  | 1788 |
|  | Chain (59) 2 meter DIN 8781 06B-2 | 1 |  |  | 715 |
|  | Circlip (60) <br> DIN $47117 \times 1$ | 16 |  |  | 128 |
|  | Circlip (60) <br> DIN 472 $47 \times 1.75$ | 8 |  |  | 376 |
|  | Circlip (60) <br> DIN $47125 \times 1.2$ | 8 |  |  | 136 |


| Assembly | Part <br> Part No. | Qty. | Amount of material [kg] | Production time [hours] | Sum <br> [NOK] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hex screws and nuts (61) | 88 |  |  | 766 |
|  | Washers (62) | 160 |  |  | 224 |
|  | Cabinet (63) <br> GN252015 | 1 |  |  | 328 |
|  | Pneumatic driver (64) FESTO DSNU-25-150-PPV-A | 2 |  |  | 680 |
|  | Mounting for pneumatic driver (64) FESTO LBN-20_25 | 2 |  |  | 80 |
|  | Rod eye (64) <br> FESTO SGS-M10X125 | 2 |  |  | 240 |
|  | Pivot point (64) <br> FESTO <br> SNC-32 | 2 |  |  | 180 |
|  | Magnetic sensor (65) SICK_IM18-10BPS-NC1 | 3 |  |  | 2400 |
|  | Electro mechanical safety switch (65) <br> Sick i10RA213 | 2 |  |  | 500 |
|  | Electronic rotary latch (66) <br> R4-EM-R13-131 |  |  |  | 728 |
|  | Frame wheel (67) <br> LKPXA-TPA_126G | 6 |  |  | 2628 |
|  | Vacuum pump (44) $\text { V-VC } 50$ | 1 |  |  | 17500 |
|  | Mixer motor (68) | 1 |  |  | 21509 |


| Assembly | Part <br> Part No. | Qty. | Amount of material [kg] | Production time [hours] | Sum <br> [NOK] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MR CI 64 24×200 90LB <br> 4-poles <br> B8 mounting |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chain motor (68) <br> MR Cl 40 11x140 63A 6- <br> poles <br> B6 mounting | 1 |  |  | 8344 |
|  | De-agglomerator motor HMA2 71 1-4 4-poles | 1 |  |  | 922 |
| Mixer/vacuum coater |  |  |  |  | 161325 |

## Commentary to table 8.2:

Some of the ball bearing prices were not available for some of the ball bearings. The cost of these components were approximated by looking at bearings of the same dimensions.

A compressor is needed for the mixer/vacuum coater to be able to move the lid as well as being able to fasten the de-agglomerator. The cost of this compressor is excluded from the cost analysis. It is presumed that a compressor would already be in available as it is commonplace to have pumps like these at production sites.

## 9. Presentation

This chapter introduces rendered images of the mixer/vacuum coater as well as technical descriptions.

### 9.1 Rendered images



Figure 9.1: Mixer/vacuum coater with the de-agglomerator lid.


Figure 9.2: Mixer/vacuum coater with the de-agglomerator lid. Section-cut housing.


Figure 9.3: Mixer configuration and vacuum coater configuration.


Figure 9.4: Mixer configuration in a production environment. Human (James) model provided by Sergio Skaletti (69)

### 9.2 Technical descriptions

### 9.2.1Positioning of the housing

The positioning of the housing will be automated with sensors to achieve the desired position for the mixer/vacuum coater when execute different tasks.


Figure 9.5: Overview over the mixer/vacuum positioning by sensors and plates. A) Filling/cleaning position, B) Mixing position, C) First emptying position, D) Second emptying position

Table 9.1: Overview over the mixer/vacuum positioning by sensors and plates.

| Position | Sensor | Plate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Filling/cleaning | 3 | 3 |
| Mixing | 1 | 1 |
| First emptying position | 3 | 1 |
| Second emptying position | 2 | 2 |

### 9.2.3 Pneumatic schematic

For lifting the two lids for the mixer/vacuum coater, pneumatic piston rods are used. Using the program Scheme editor 6 (27) and studying other pneumatic schematics (70) the following schematic will work as a suggestion.


Figure 9.6: Pneumatic scheme for lid movement.

## Components:

1. Pneumatic source
2. Maintenance unit. Pressure regulator, manometer, lubricator and filter.
3. $3 / 2$ directional valve. Single solenoid with spring return.
4. $5 / 3$ directional valve. Pneumatically operated, closed mid position with spring return.
5. Check-choke valve. Strainer on the return air from the double-acting cylinder.
6. Double-acting cylinder. Adjustable cushioning.

As seen in Figure 9.6, there are two identical arrangements for the piston rods. The 3/2 valves are used for controlling the pneumatically operated $5 / 3$ valve, this is indicated by dashed lines. The strainers are used for controlling the speed, in both directions, for the double-acting cylinder.

## 10. Discussion

At the start of the thesis, the working principle of the machine were assessed as well as some competitive mixers. A meeting with Fôrtek was held to discuss different shapes for the mixer rotor and housing in addition to being able to look at the mixers they use. Some design remarks were made regarding the combination of a mixer and a vacuum coater, which set the boundaries for what solutions the mixer/vacuum had to exclude/include.

The concept generation process was started by studying the working principle, competitors and the 1-litre prototype from last year made by Didrik Heidal Dolva and Eirik Madland Størdal. Initially, an up-scaled version of the prototype were modelled, but the main dimensions of the mixer were changed due to unwanted stresses and deformations. A meeting with Forberg were held to introduce the main parts of the mixer/vacuum coater and to acquire comments on the design at an early stage. 3D models of three of Forberg's machines were obtained to study how they function and how to optimize the mixer/vacuum coater for a convenient production by using some of their solution as well as their suppliers of standard parts. Parts that contribute to the aesthetics of the machine was not modelled due to the limited timeframe of the thesis.

The mixer/vacuum coater would benefit from being two dedicated machines (chapter 11.1.1.) instead of a combination of the two. In a production process, one is interested in batches per hour and quality of mixed product the machine can offer. For a combination of mixing and vacuum coating, one is reducing the batches per hour by a significant amount due to the lid changing and cleaning in between mixing and vacuum coating. The machine developed in this thesis is foremost a test machine to assure that the working principle have potential in the feed industry.

The structural analysis chapter consist of calculated stresses, deformation, start torque and power for the components seen as crucial. An approach to approximate the force acting on the mixer rotor was to sweep the area the paddles make in half a rotation and considering the swept volume as the mass the paddles have to move. This assessment was used throughout the calculations. For start torque of the motors, estimations for the moments of inertias were calculated and later verified in Solidworks. Some quick calculations were also
done alongside the 3D modelling process to assure that the stresses were below the set yield strength limit.

In chapter 8, the production methods and costs for the different parts were evaluated. The production time for the components were roughly estimated while the cost of some standard parts were set as equal to components of a similar form. For every newly created part, AISI 304 or S355 were set as the material of choice.

## 11. Conclusion

The main objective of this thesis was to develop and design a 60 -litre mixer with vacuum coating capability. The mixer/vacuum coater design was to be based on last years' 1-litre prototype that was developed, constructed and tested by M.Sc Didrik Heidal Dolva and M.Sc Eirik Madland Størdal. The preservation of the working principle used in said mixer was of great importance for the current thesis as the tests conducted by the aforementioned students showed promising results.

For the mixer/vacuum coater to be able to perform both mixing and vacuum coating it was decided that it would be beneficial for the mixer to have two separate lids: one with a de-agglomerator for mixing, and one for vacuum coating. It was also decided that it would be favourable to empty the housing by rotation, as bottom hatches in vacuum coaters was strongly discouraged by Forberg. This configuration of the original design would make it possible to make a prototype that could be used for testing of the working principle for both vacuum coating and mixing.

All parts in contact with the powders and particles being mixed will be of AISI 304 stainless steel. The housing is to be made out of 5 mm sheet metal as this will make the mixer able to obtain vacuum conditions within the mixer without suffering from structural fatigue.

When the prototype of the mixer is manufactured, the subsequent testing will help determine the potential of the working principle and to what extent the original design is scalable.

If the mixer/vacuum coater is to be scaled to suit an even higher batch volume, the possibility of splitting the mixer in to one dedicated mixer and one dedicated vacuum coater is strongly recommended as this would make each of the designs less complicated and thus making them easier to produce and sell.

### 11.1 Further work

- Instructions for assembling the machine.
- Routing for pneumatics, vacuum, liquid addition, air purged sealing and electronics.
- Balancing and vibration control of the de-agglomerator.
- Experimentation with different angles for the de-agglomerator's bent flat steel.


### 11.1.1. Separate machines

When testing of the mixer/vacuum coaters performance is completed, one can consider the possibility of developing two separate machines. The basis of these two machines would be the main components presented in this thesis as well as the performance for the mixer/vacuum coater.

## Mixer:



Figure 11.1: Mixer housing.

As seen in the figure above, the mixer would consist of one housing with a service door and a bottom hatch for discharge. Rotation of the whole housing would not be necessary, as this is only common for vacuum coater (bottom hatch results in crushed pellets). One of the end walls could be a flange coupling with the rest of the housing or one could consider a clamp coupling to enable the possibility of a retractable shaft. This solution offers a compact design, as well as easier operation than the mixer/vacuum coater.

The components that could be excluded as a result of making a dedicated mixer would be the following components:

- Chain drive associated components required to rotate the housing.
- Pneumatic piston rods for movement of the different lids.


Figure 11.2: Smaller version of the mixer.
For smaller mixers one can consider a solution with a de-agglomerator cover, shown in the figure above. This enables the use of a large opening for filling, cleaning and liquid addition.

## Vacuum coater:



Figure 11.3: Vacuum housing.

The vacuum coater would consist of a funnel with a butterfly valve as this makes for a good vacuum seal. The housing would have to rotate to stop at different station for filling and emptying. The possibility of also adding a station for cleaning through the butterfly valve would be possible, as Forberg does on their rotating vacuum coater.

The components that could be excluded as a result of making a dedicated mixer would be the:

- De-agglomerator associated components.
- Pneumatic piston rods for movement of the different lids.

This dedicated vacuum coater could be cheaper than a twin shaft vacuum coater, by a significant amount. The twin shaft rotating vacuum coater now has two shaft which each has its own gear and they are synchronized with an axle between the two. For bigger vacuum coaters, the two shafts are powered by a gearmotor each. As we can see from the cost analysis the cost of the mixer/vacuum coaters gearmotor is 21000 NOK, but there is of course less power required for each motor for the two shafts compared to the single shaft. As we understand the gears are the most expensive component on the gearmotor. By only having single shaft, the production will be cheaper as well as the material and standard components. The housing can rotate about its shaft which in return requires less power than rotating two shaft, since it is centered. The housing is in a more compact fashion compared to the twin shaft housing.

Table 11.1: Difference in number of components between a twin shaft and single shaft vacuum coater.

| Component | Single shaft | Twin shaft |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bottom hatch | 1 | 2 |
| Air purged sealing | 2 | 4 |
| Gearmotor (mixing and rotation) | 2 | 3 |
| Shaft | 1 | 2 |
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## Appendix A

www.duesen-schlick.de

Liviny for folutions.

## Düsen-Schlick GmbH

Hutstraße 4
96253 Untersiemau/Coburg
Germany

## Zerstäubungsdüsen . Atomizing Nozzles

| Firma. Company | Ansprechpartner . Contact | Telefon. Phone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forberg International | Felix Lerengen | +4748156620 |
| Straße . Street | Ort . Town / City | Fax. Fax |
| Kajaveien 15 | Aas |  |
| Postleitzahl . Post Code | E-mail . E-mail |  |
| 1432 | chle@nmbu.no |  |

1. Einsatzgebiet (Anwendung) . Area of Application (applications)

Was möchten Sie mit den Düsen erreichen? . What is to be achieved with the nozzles?
Adding a percentage of fluid to a mixing process for feed and food production.
Adding molasses is the worst case
2. Sprühbild . Spray pattern

- Vollkegel . Full-cone
- Hohlkegel . Hollow-cone
- Flachstrahl. Hat jet
- Glattstrahl (Vollstrahl) . Smooth-jet

3. Stoffspezifische Kenngrößen der Flüssigkeit . Type of fluid:

| Dichte . Density | $1,4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Viskosität . Viscosity | 4 Pas |
| Rheologie . Rheology | Pseudoplastic, tixotropic |
| Oberflächenspannung . Surface tension |  |
| Temperatur . Temperature | max 70 degrees celsius |

## Dusen-Schlick GmbH

Hutstraße 4 . D-96253 Untersiemau/Coburg Phone + 49 (0) 9565/94 81-0. Fax + 49 (0) 9565/28 70 Info@duesen-schlick.de . www.duesen-schlick.de
www.duesen-schlick.de
4. Flüssigkeitsmenge . Fluid Quantity

| Maximal . Maximum 36 | $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{min}$ | $\nabla$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minimal. Minimum 6 $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{min}$ | $\nabla$ |  |

5. Flüssigkeitsdifferenzdruck / Treibmitteldruck . Fluid pressure
$\Delta \mathrm{p}$ Flüssigkeit $\square$ bar . Pressure drop available ( $\Delta p$ ) in bar
bei Zweistoffdüsen max. vorhandener Treibmitteldruck , z. B. Dampf/Luft, $\qquad$ bar (ü). with two-substance nozzles also existing pressure, e. g. steam/gas, bar (g)
6. Streukegel / Streuwinkel . Angular size of dispersion cone


## 7. Zerstäubungsfeinheit . Fineness of atomisation

gewūnschte Tropfengröße in Mikrometer (z. B. Sauter $\emptyset$, volumetrisch mittlerer $\emptyset$ ) . Size of drops $0,5 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $1,5 \mathrm{~mm} .0,5 \mathrm{~mm}$ is preferable. Adding molasses to flour is the worst case
8. Umgebungseinflüsse / Verunreinigungen . Environmental of influences / Impurities present the fluid

At low temperature molasses can form sugar crystals
9. Materialvorauswahl . Material

- Edelstahl (säurefest) . Stainless steel (acid-resistant)

【 Edelstahl (hitzebeständig) . Stainless steel (heat-resistant)

- Hartmetall . Hard metal
- Hastelloy . Hastelloy
- Inconel . Inconel
- Keramik . Ceramic
- Messing . Brass
- PP. PP
- PTFE . PTFE
- PVC . PVC
- PVDF . PVDF
- RCH1000 . RCH1000
- SiSiC . SiSiC
- Sonstige . Others

70 degree celsius is the maximum temperature

## Appendix B

Ansys mesh quality and support/forces applied.

## Vacuum:



## A: Static Structural

Static Structural
Time: 1, s
20.04.2016 11:50

A Fixed Support
B Pressure: $-8,1 \mathrm{e}-002 \mathrm{MPa}$



Paddle:



## Shaft:



Thermal expansion:



## Appendix C

The manufacturing drawings was made in A3 format. The printed version of this thesis will however have the majority of the drawing on A4 paper. The scale-field on the drawings that are printed on A4 paper are to be disregarded as these were made for A3 paper.

| Order | Order of which the manufacturing drawings are presented. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Exploded complete assembly RMVC | 15.Chain fastener N-001-013 | 29.Stud plate N-003-006 | 43.End wall A N-005-002 |
| 2.Main Housing N-001 | 16.Clamp mount N-001-014 | 30.Buffer plate N-003-007 | 44.End wall B N-005-003 |
| 3.Semi-cylindrical wall N-001-001 | 17.Mixer Rotor N-002 | 31.Locking plate N-003-008 | 45.Filter holder N -005-004 |
| 4.Semi-cylindrical <br> flange $\mathrm{N}-001-002$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18.Shaft } \\ & \text { N-002-001 } \end{aligned}$ | 32.Sealing housing N-003-009 | 46.Stud plate N-005-005 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5.End wall } \\ & \text { N-001-003 } \end{aligned}$ | 19.Middle rod N-002-002 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 33.Moment cross } \\ & \text { N-003-010 } \end{aligned}$ | 47.Vacuum lid N-006 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6.Flanged wall } \\ & \mathrm{N}-001-004 \end{aligned}$ | 20.Middle paddle N-002-003 | 34.Modified Forberg plate A N-003-011 | 48.Vacuum top wall N-006-001 |
| 7.Main flange N-001-005 | 21.End rod N-002-004 | 35.Modified Forberg plate $B$ N-003-012 | 59.Vacuum end wall N-006-002 |
| 8.End flange N-001-006 | 22.End paddle N-002-005 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 36.De-agglomerator } \\ & \text { N-004 } \end{aligned}$ | 50.Vacuum stud plate N-006-003 |
| 9.Turn wheel A N-001-007 | 23.De-agglomerator <br> lid N-003 | 37.Shaft <br> N-004-001 | 51.Frame <br> N-007 |
| 10.Turn wheel B N-001-008 | 24.Semi cylindrical wall N-003-001 | 38.Bent flat steel A N-004-002 | 52.Main frame N-007-001 |
| 11.Detection plate A N-001-009 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25.End wall A } \\ & \text { N-003-002 } \end{aligned}$ | 39.Bent flat steel B N-004-003 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53.Box A } \\ & \text { N-007-002 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12.Detection plate $B$ N-001-010 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26.End wall B } \\ & \text { N-003-003 } \end{aligned}$ | 40. Motor coupling N-004-004 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 54.Box B } \\ & \mathrm{N}-007-003 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13.Chain tightener B N-001-011 | 27.Grooved flange N-003-004 | 41.Nozzle housing N-005 | 55.Funnel N-007-004 |
| 14.Chain tightener A N-001-012 | 28.Mounting rail N-003-005 | 42.Bent wall N-005-001 | 56.Sensor plate N-007-005 |

Continuation of the table above:

| Order |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57.Cabinet mounting plate N-007-006 | 65.Flat steel stiffener N-008-002 | 73.Wheel support driven N-009 | 81.Rod eye $\mathrm{N}-012-002$ |
| 58. Wheel mounting plate N-007-007 | 66.Flat steel base N-008-003 | 74.Actuator plate N-009-001 | 82.Arm stiffener N-012-004 |
| 59.Funnel flange N-007-008 | 67.Bearing housing N-008-004 | 75. Wheel support $\mathrm{N}-010$ | 83.Pin holder N-012-006 |
| 60.Side wall N-007-009 | 68.Actuator plate $\mathrm{N}-008-005$ | 76.Wheel support plate N-010-001 | 84.Axial displacement restrictor $\mathrm{N}-013$ |
| 61.Lid lock mount N-007-010 | 69.Sprocket driver <br> shaft N-008-006 | 77.Flat steel base N-010-002 | 85.Flat steel vertical N-013-001 |
| 62.Bin mount (modified) F-005-001 | 70.Sprocket shaft N-008-007 | 78.Pivot mounting plate N-011 | 86.Flat steel horizontal N-013-002 |
| 63.Wheel support driver N-008 | 71.Wheel N-008-008 | 79.Pivot arm N-012 |  |
| 64.Wheel support plate N-008-001 | 72.Wheel shaft N-008-009 | 80.Lifting arm N-012-001 |  |









| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Coate: } \\ \hline \text { 09.04.16 } \\ \hline \text { Quanty: } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale: } \\ & 1: 5 \end{aligned}$ | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Semi-Cylindrical Flange <br> Main Housing |  |  |  | Replccement or: | Reploced br: |
|  |  |  |  | N-001-002 |  |
| real |  |  |  |  |  |






| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 09.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\qquad$ <br> Unit of measure: mm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale: } \\ & 1: 5 \end{aligned}$ | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flanged Wal Main Housing |  |  |  | Replcaement tor: Replcceabry: |
|  |  |  |  | N-001-004 |
| Referal: |  | cole |  |  |










| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 15.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn by: } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { F.L.L. } \& ~ H . G \\ \text { Mateial: } \\ \text { ASI } 304 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Projection: $\qquad$ Unit of measure: mm | Scale: $5: 1$ | $\mathbf{N M} \mathrm{MU}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chain Tightener - B |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| Main Housing |  |  |  | N-001-011 |  |
| Referral: |  | Calculation: <br> F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |



| Date: <br> Quantity: <br> 15.04 .16 | Drawn by: <br> F.L. $\&$ H.G <br> Material: <br> AlSI |  | Scale: $2: 1$ | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chain Tightener - A Main Housing |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-001-012 |  |
| Referal: |  | Calculation:F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |



| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Date: } \\ \hline \text { Quantity: } \\ \hline 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn by: } \& \text { F. H.G } \\ & \frac{\text { Material: }}{\text { A.SI }} 304 \end{aligned}$ |  | Scale: $4: 1$ | NMBL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chain Fastener Main Housing |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-001-0 |  |
| Referal: |  | Calculation: <br> F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 12.05 .16 \\ & \hline \text { Quantity: } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scale: } \\ 2: 1 \end{gathered}$ | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clamp Mount Main Housing |  |  |  | Replcemention | Reploced br: |
|  |  |  |  | N-001-01 |  |
| Reiemol |  |  |  |  |  |




The mentioned surface finsih applies to all of the exterior surfaces.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 12.05 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } \quad 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | Projection: <br> Unit of measure mm | Scale: $1: 4$ | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shaft |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| Mixer Ro | tor |  |  | N-002-0 |  |
| Referal: |  | Calculation: <br> .L \& H.G |  |  |  |



| $\text { Date: } 05.04 .16$ <br> Quantity: 1 | $\stackrel{\text { Drawn by: }}{\text { F.L. }}$ \& H.G <br> Material: AISI 304 | Projection: <br> Unit of measure mm | Scale: $1: 1$ | NMBS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle Rod |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| Mixer Rotor |  |  |  | N-002-002 |  |
| Referral: |  | Calculation:F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doate: } 05.04 .16 \\ & \hline \text { Quantity: } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Scale: 1:1 | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Rod ${ }^{\text {Replacement tor: }}$ Reploced by: |  |  |  |  |
| Mixer Rotor |  |  |  | N-002-004 |
| Referara: |  | Calcteration: |  |  |






| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date: } 19.04 .16 \\ & \hline \text { Quantity: } \quad 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn by: } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { F.L.L } \end{array} \text { H.G } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { MatealilisI } \\ \text { A. } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Projection: $\qquad$ <br> Unit of measure mm | Scale: $1: 2$ | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Wall - A |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| De-agglomerator Lid |  |  |  | N-003-002 |  |
| Referal: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculation: } \\ & \text { F.L \& H.G } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |






| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 19.04 .16 \\ & \hline \text { Quantity: } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Projection: } \\ \text { Unit of measure: } \begin{array}{c} \text { mm } \\ \mathrm{mm} \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale: } \\ & 1: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{N M M B U}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mounting Rail |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| De-agglomerator Lid |  |  |  | N-003-005 |  |
| Referal: |  | Calculation:F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 19.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projection: } \\ & \text { Unit of measure: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale: } \\ & 1: 1 \end{aligned}$ | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stud Plate <br> De-agglomerator Lid |  |  |  | Replacement tor: Repliceed br: |
|  |  |  |  | N-003-006 |
| Referal: |  | cole |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doate: } 19.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | Projection: Unit of measure: $m m$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale: } \\ & 1: 1 \end{aligned}$ | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffer Plate |  |  |  | Replocement for | Replaced br: |
| De-agglomerator Lid |  |  |  | N-003-007 |  |
| Referal: |  |  |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 19.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | Projection: $\qquad$ Unit of measure: mm | Scale: 1:1 | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Locking Plate |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| De-agglomerator Lid |  |  |  | N-003-008 |  |
| Referral: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculation: } \\ & \text { F.L\& H.G.G } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dale: } 19.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale: } \\ & 2: 1 \end{aligned}$ | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sealing Housing Replcement or: Replaced by: |  |  |  |  |
| De-agglomerator Lid |  |  |  | N-003-009 |
| Referal: |  |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 19.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn by: } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { F.terial: } \& ~ H . G \\ \text { MISI } 304 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Projection: $\qquad$ <br> Unit of measure: mm | Scale: 2:1 | $\mathbf{N M M B U}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moment Cross |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| De-agglomerator Lid |  |  |  | N-003-010 |  |
| Referal: |  | calculation: |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date: } 12.05 .16 \\ & \hline \text { Quantity: } \quad 1 \end{aligned}$ | Drawn by: F.L: $\&$ H.G Materialli\|isI 304 |  | Scale: 1:1 | $\mathbf{N M} \mathrm{BU}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Modified Forberg Plate - A |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| De-agglomerator Lid |  |  |  | N-003-011 |  |
| Referala: |  | calculation |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 12.05 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } \quad 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Scale: 1:1 | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Modified Forberg Plate - B |  |  |  | Replacement for: Reploceabb: |
| De-agglomerator Lid |  |  |  | N-003-012 |
| Reeieral |  | cole |  |  |




SECTION B-B
SCALE 1:2


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 19.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | Projection: $\qquad$ Unit of measure: mm | Scale: $1: 2$ | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shaft |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| De-agglomerator |  |  |  | N-004-001 |  |
| Referal: |  | Calculation: <br> F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |





| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 20.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } \\ & \hline 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projection: } \\ & \text { Unit of measure: } \\ & \mathrm{mm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale: } \\ & 1: 1 \end{aligned}$ | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bent Flat Steel - B |  |  |  | Replcacement or: | Reploced by: |
| De-agglomerator |  |  |  | N-004- |  |
| Reieral: |  | calculation: |  |  |  |





| Doate: 20.04 .16 <br> Quantity: |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scole: } \\ 2: 1 \end{gathered}$ | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motor Coupling De-agglomerato |  |  |  | Replcaement for | Reploced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-004-004 |  |
| $\mid \text { Reiefrol: }$ |  |  |  |  |  |




SECTION B-B SCALE $1: 2$




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dale: } 19.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projection: } \\ & \text { Unit of measure: } \\ & \mathrm{mm} \end{aligned}$ | Scale: 1:1 | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Wall - B <br> Nozzle Housing |  |  |  | Replccement tor: Reploced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-005-003 |
| Referal: |  |  |  |  |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date: } 19.04 .16 \\ & \hline \text { Quantity: } \quad 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | Projection: $\qquad$ <br> Unit of measure: mm | Scale: <br> 1:1 | NMBJ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Filter Holder |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| Nozzle Housing |  |  |  | N-005-004 |  |
| Referal: |  | Calculation: <br> F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 12.05 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { scale: } \\ & 2: 1 \end{aligned}$ | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stud Plate <br> Nozzle Housing |  |  |  | Replacement for: Replaced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-005-005 |
| Reteral: |  | Calcteration: |  |  |






| $\text { Date: } 05.05 .16$ <br> Quantity: 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn by: } \text { F.L. } \& \text { H.G } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { Material:iSI } 304 \\ \text { AlSI } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Projection: <br> Unit of measure: mm | Scale: 1:1 | NMBS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vacuum Stud Plate |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| Vacuum Lid |  |  |  | N-006-003 |  |
| Referral: |  | Calculation: <br> F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |








| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 22.0416 \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Quantity: } \\ 1 \end{array} l \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn by: } \\ & \text { F.L. \& H.G } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { Material: } \\ \text { ASI } 304 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Projection: mm | Scale: 1:5 | $\mathbf{N M M B U}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Funnel |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| Frame |  |  |  | N-007-004 |  |
| Referral: |  | Calculation: <br> F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 16.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Scale: 1:2 | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sensor Plate Frame |  |  |  | Replccement for: Replaced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-007-005 |
| Referal: |  |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 26.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } \quad 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | Projection: $\qquad$ Unit of measure mm | Scale: $1: 2$ | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cabinet Mounting Plate Frame |  |  |  | Replacement for: Replaced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-007-006 |
| Referal: |  | alchelation: |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 26.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | Projection: $\qquad$ Unit of measure: mm | Scale: $2: 1$ | $\mathbf{N M M B U}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheel Mounting Plate |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| Frame |  |  |  | N-007-0 |  |
| Referal: |  | Calculation: |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 27.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } \quad 1 \end{aligned}$ | Drawn bly: <br> Moteraililisl 304 <br> A.G |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scale: } \\ & \text { 1:5 } \end{aligned}$ | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Funnel Flange Frame |  |  |  | Replocement for: | Replaced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-007- |  |
| Referal: |  | Catemation: |  |  |  |







| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 06.05 .16 \\ & \hline \text { Quantity: } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn by: } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { F.L. } \& ~ H . G \\ \text { Material. } \\ \text { S355 } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Projection: $\qquad$ <br> Unit of measure: mm | Scale: 1:1 | $\mathbf{N M M B U}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lid Lock Mount Frame |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-007-0 |  |
| Referal: |  | Calculation:F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |



|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scole: } \\ & \quad 1: 2 \end{aligned}$ | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bin Mount (modified) <br> Bin System |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | F-005-001 |
| rat |  |  |  |  |




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 25.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Scale: 1:2 | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheel Support Plate Wheel Support Driver |  |  |  | Replacement or: Reploced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-008-001 |
| Reteral: |  | cole |  |  |




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 25.04 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn by: } \\ & \text { F.L H.G } \\ & \text { Materiol. } \\ & \text { S355 } \end{aligned}$ | Projection: $\qquad$ Unit of measure: mm | Scale: 5:1 | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flat Stee | Stiffene |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| Wheel S | upport Dr |  |  | N-008-0 |  |
| Referal: |  | Ealculation: L \& H.G |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doate: } 25.04 .16 \\ & \hline \text { Quontity: } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Scale: 1:1 | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flat Steel Base |  |  |  | Replacementior: | Reploced br: |
| Wheel Support Driver |  |  |  | N-008-003 |  |
| eera: |  | cele |  |  |  |





Wheel Support Driver











| 7 | 4 | Circlip DIN 471-18x 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 1 | SKF-62303-2RS 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 | N-008-008-Wheel |  |  |  |  | S355 |
| 4 | 1 | N-008-009 - Wheel Shaft |  |  |  |  | S355 |
| 3 | 2 | N-008-002 - Flat Steel Stiffener |  |  |  |  | S355 |
| 2 | 1 | N-010-002 - Flat Steel base |  |  |  |  | S355 |
| 1 | 2 | N-010-001-Wheel Support Plate |  |  |  |  | S355 |
| Pos. | QTY. | Part Name |  |  |  |  | Material |
| $\text { Date: } 26.04 .16 \underset{\text { Prawn by: }}{\text { F.L }} \& \text { H.G }$ |  |  |  | Projection: <br> Unit of measure: mm | Scale: $1: 2$ |  |  |
| Wheel Support |  |  |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| RMVC |  |  |  |  |  | N-010 |  |
| Referral: |  |  |  | Calculation: <br> F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 26.04 .16 \\ & \hline \text { Quantity: } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Projection: $\qquad$ Unit of measure: mm | Scale: 1:1 | $\mathbf{N}$ | BU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheel Support Plate |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| Wheel Support |  |  |  | N-010-001 |  |
| Referal: |  | Calculation:F.L\&H.G |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 26.04 .16 \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Quantity: } \\ 2 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn by: } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { F.terial. } \\ \text { M. H.G } \\ \text { S355 } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Projection: $\qquad$ <br> Unit of measure mm | Scale: $2: 1$ | NMBU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flat Steel Base |  |  |  | Replacement for: Replaced by: |
| Wheel Support |  |  |  | N-010-002 |
| Referal: |  | C. ${ }_{\text {Clalculation: }}^{\text {F.L \& H.G }}$ |  |  |



| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Date: } \\ \hline \text { Quantity: } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Projection $\qquad$ Unit of measure mm | Scale: 1:1 | $\mathbf{N M M B U}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pivot Mounting Plate |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
| RMVC |  |  |  | N-011 |  |
| Referal: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculation: } \\ & \text { LL\& H.G } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |




| $\text { Date: } 26.04 .16$ <br> Quantity: 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn by: } \\ & \text { F.L: \& H.G } \\ & \text { Materic: } 355 \\ & \text { S35 } \end{aligned}$ | Projection: <br> Unit of measure: mm | Scale: 1:2 | NMBS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lifting Arm Pivot Arm |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-012- |  |
| Referral: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculation: } \\ & \text { F.L \& H.G } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date: } 06.05 .16 \\ & \text { Quantity: } 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn by: } \\ & \text { F.L. \& H.G } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { Materigl: } \\ \text { S35 } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Projection: $\qquad$ Unit of measure: mm | Scale: $2: 1$ | NMBU |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arm Stiffener Pivot Arm |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-012-0 |  |
| Referal: |  | Calculation: <br> F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |



| Date: 06.05 .16 <br> Quantity: <br> 2 | Drawn by: F.L. <br> Materiol! S355 | Projection: <br> Unit of measure mm | Scale: 2:1 | NMBS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pin Holder Pivot Arm |  |  |  | Replacement for: | Replaced by: |
|  |  |  |  | N-012-006 |  |
| Referral: |  | Calculation:F.L \& H.G |  |  |  |








Norges miljø- og biovitenskapelig universitet Noregs miljø- og biovitskapelege universitet
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[^0]:    * Infinite in the sense that it will not succumb to structural failure in $10^{7}$ cycles

